

# A note on the cardinality of certain classes of unlabeled multipartite tournaments

Gregory Gutin  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Brunel University  
Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, U.K.

## Abstract

A multipartite tournament is an orientation of a complete multipartite graph. Simple derivations are obtained of the numbers of unlabeled acyclic and unicyclic multipartite tournaments, and unlabeled bipartite tournaments with exactly  $k$  cycles, which are pairwise vertex-disjoint.

*Keywords:* Multipartite tournaments; Bipartite tournaments; Enumeration

Bollobás, Frank and Karoński [1] enumerated labeled acyclic bipartite tournaments. Rousseau [4] obtained a short elementary proof of this result; the proof is based on certain bijections. Another proof is given by Moon [3] who also enumerated unlabeled acyclic bipartite tournaments.

In this note, we enumerate unlabeled acyclic and unicyclic multipartite tournaments. We partly generalize these results by counting unlabeled *strictly  $k$ -cyclic* bipartite tournaments, that is, bipartite tournaments with exactly  $k$  cycles, which are pairwise vertex-disjoint. Our proofs are short and simple and based on certain bijections from classes of multipartite tournaments into sets of integral sequences or other classes of multipartite tournaments; unlike the proofs in [3] for the number of unlabeled acyclic bipartite tournaments, no calculations are required in the proofs of our results.

A  $p$ -partite (*multipartite*) tournament [2]  $T$  is an orientation of a complete  $p$ -partite graph  $G$ . The *colour classes* of  $T$  are the colour classes of  $G$ , i.e., the maximal independent sets of vertices in  $G$ . An *unlabeled  $p$ -partite* tournament is an ordered  $(p + 1)$ -tuple  $(T, V_1, \dots, V_p)$ , where  $T$  is a  $p$ -partite tournament and  $(V_1, \dots, V_p)$  an ordered  $p$ -tuple of its colour classes. (When  $(V_1, \dots, V_p)$  can be determined from the context we shall write  $T$  rather than  $(T, V_1, \dots, V_p)$ .) If the colour classes of  $T$  are of order  $n_1, \dots, n_p$  respectively ( $n_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ ), then  $T$  is called an  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -*tournament*. We say that unlabeled  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournaments  $(T, V_1, \dots, V_p)$  and  $(M, U_1, \dots, U_p)$  are *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism  $f$  from  $T$  to  $M$  such that  $f(V_i) = U_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Intuitively, this

means that vertices in the same colour class are interchangeable, but the colour classes themselves are not.

In what follows,  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_p$ . Let  $t_k(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  denote the number of inequivalent unlabeled strictly  $k$ -cyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournaments ( $k \geq 0$ ). A sequence  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  is called an  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -sequence if it contains  $n_j$  elements equal to  $j$ , for every  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , and no other elements. Clearly, the number of  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -sequences equals the multinomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_p}$ . The following result provides a graph-theoretical interpretation of multinomial coefficients.

**Theorem 1.** *The number  $t_0(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  of (inequivalent) unlabeled acyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournaments equals the number of  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -sequences. Thus  $t_0(n_1, \dots, n_p) = \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_p}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $T$  be an acyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournament with colour classes  $V_1, \dots, V_p$ . We can assign to  $T$  an  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -sequence  $s(T) = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  as follows. The vertices of zero in-degree in  $T$  are all in the same colour class: let them be  $x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}$ , all in  $V_{j_1}$ , and set  $s_1 = \dots = s_{r_1} = j_1$ . Let the vertices of zero in-degree in  $T - \{x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}\}$  be  $x_{r_1+1}, \dots, x_{r_2}$ , all in  $V_{j_2}$ , and set  $s_{r_1+1} = \dots = s_{r_2} = j_2$ . Continue in this way until all elements of  $s(T) = s_1, \dots, s_n$  are defined.

Conversely, given an  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -sequence  $s = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ , we construct an acyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournament  $T(s)$  as follows. For every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $i$ th vertex  $x_i$  of  $T(s)$  belongs to  $V_{s_i}$ , and it dominates (is dominated by) all vertices  $x_k$  not in  $V_{s_i}$  such that  $k > i$  ( $i > k$ ).

It is easy to see that these two constructions are inverses of each other, that is,  $T(s(T)) = T$  for each  $T$  and  $s(T(s)) = s$  for each  $s$ .  $\square$

It is easy to see that the formula in Theorem 1 is also valid when some of the cardinalities  $n_i$  are zero. This remark will be used in applications of Theorem 1.

Let  $T$  be a strictly  $k$ -cyclic multipartite tournament and let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be its cycles. Contracting every cycle  $C_i$  into a single vertex  $w_i$  gives an acyclic digraph  $T'$ . Let  $T^*(C_1, \dots, C_k)$  denote the digraph obtained from  $T'$  by deleting all arcs between pairs of vertices in  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ .

Now we obtain a simple formula for  $t_k(n_1, n_2)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . The problem to obtain a compact formula for  $t_k(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  ( $p \geq 3$ ) for every  $k \geq 0$  seems to be much more difficult. We prove a relatively compact formula for  $t_1(n_1, \dots, n_p)$  in Theorem 3.

**Theorem 2.** *For every integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2} \min\{n_1, n_2\}$ ,  $t_k(n_1, n_2) =$*

$$\binom{n-3k}{n_1-2k, n_2-2k, k}.$$

**Proof:** For  $k = 0$ , the formula follows from Theorem 1. Thus we may assume that  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $T$  be a strictly  $k$ -cyclic  $(n_1, n_2)$ -tournament, and let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  be the cycles of  $T$ . Every cycle  $C_i$  is of length four, since otherwise the chord joining two vertices distance 3 apart around  $C_i$  would complete another cycle. Thus, the cycles are ‘interchangeable’. Therefore,  $t_k(n_1, n_2)$  equals  $t_0(n_1 - 2k, n_2 - 2k, k)$ , the number of unlabeled acyclic  $(n_1 - 2k, n_2 - 2k, k)$ -tournaments of the form  $T^*(C_1, \dots, C_k)$ . The result now follows by Theorem 1.  $\square$

Let  $S(p, k)$  denote the set of all unordered  $k$ -subsets of  $\{1, \dots, p\}$ . In what follows, we assume that  $\binom{m}{m_1, \dots, m_p} = 0$  if one of the integers  $m_i$  is negative. Note that

$$\binom{m}{m_1, \dots, m_p, 1} = m \binom{m-1}{m_1, \dots, m_p} \quad (1)$$

if  $m_1 + \dots + m_p = m - 1$ .

**Theorem 3.** *The number of unlabeled unicyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournaments ( $p \geq 3$ ) is*

$$t_1(n_1, \dots, n_p) = (n-3) \sum_{\pi \in S(p, 2)} \binom{n-4}{n_1^2(\pi), \dots, n_p^2(\pi)} + 2(n-2) \sum_{\pi \in S(p, 3)} \binom{n-3}{n_1^1(\pi), \dots, n_p^1(\pi)},$$

where  $n_j^c(\pi) = n_j - c$  if  $j \in \pi$ , and  $n_j^c(\pi) = n_j$  otherwise.

**Proof:** Let  $T$  be a unicyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournament with colour classes  $V_1, \dots, V_p$  and let  $C$  be the unique cycle in  $T$ . Two vertices of  $C$  that are not consecutive in  $C$  must be in the same colour class, since otherwise the chord between them would complete another cycle. Thus  $C$  is of length three, or of length four with vertices from two alternating colour classes.

Let us first assume that  $C$  has four vertices from  $V_i$  and  $V_j$ ,  $i < j$ , and  $\pi = \{i, j\}$ . Then the number of unlabeled unicyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournaments containing  $C$  equals the number of unlabeled acyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_i - 2, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_j - 2, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_p, 1)$ -tournaments of the form  $T^*(C)$ , which is  $t_0(n_1^2(\pi), \dots, n_p^2(\pi), 1)$ . By Theorem 1 and (1), this gives the first term in the formula for  $t_1(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ .

Now let  $C$  be a cycle with three vertices from classes  $V_i, V_j$  and  $V_k$ , respectively, and in this order. Let also  $\pi = \{i, j, k\}$ . Then the number of unlabeled unicyclic  $(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ -tournaments containing  $C$  equals  $t_0(n_1^1(\pi), \dots, n_p^1(\pi), 1)$ . This fact and the possibility to have two unlabeled triangles  $C$  with vertices from classes  $V_i, V_j$  and  $V_k$  (in this order and in the opposite one) gives the second term in the formula for  $t_1(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank Douglas Woodall, Anders Yeo, and the referees for numerous helpful remarks and suggestions.

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás, O. Frank, and M. Karoński, On 4-cycles in random bipartite tournaments, *J. Graph Theory* 7 (1983) 183-194.
- [2] G. Gutin, Cycles and paths in semicomplete multipartite digraphs, theorems and algorithms: a survey, *J. Graph Theory* 19 (1995) 481-505.
- [3] J.W. Moon, On the number of acyclic bipartite tournaments, *Congr. Numer.* 119 (1996) 173-184.
- [4] C.C. Rousseau, Elementary proof of a counting formula for acyclic bipartite tournaments, *J. Graph Theory* 20 (1995) 319-322.