

# QUASI-AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE INFINITE ROOTED 2-EDGE-COLOURED BINARY TREE

BRITA E.A. NUCINKIS AND SIMON ST. JOHN-GREEN

ABSTRACT. We study the group  $QV$ , the self-maps of the infinite 2-edge coloured binary tree which preserve the edge and colour relations at cofinitely many locations. We introduce related groups  $QF$ ,  $QT$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$ , prove that  $QF$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$  are of type  $F_\infty$ , and calculate finite presentations for them. We calculate the normal subgroup structure of all 5 groups, the Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants of  $QF$ , and discuss the relationship of all 5 groups with other generalisations of Thompson’s groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

R. Thompson’s groups  $F$ ,  $T$ , and  $V$  were originally used to, among other things, construct finitely presented groups with unsolvable word problem [Tho80, MT73]. Brown and Geoghegan proved  $F$  is  $FP_\infty$  [BG84], giving the first example of a torsion-free  $FP_\infty$  group with infinite cohomological dimension, and later Brown used an important new geometric technique to prove that  $F$ ,  $T$ , and  $V$  are all of type  $F_\infty$  [Bro87]. For more background on Thompson’s groups, see [CFP96].

Recently many variations on Thompson’s groups have been studied, and using techniques similar to Brown’s, many of these have been proven to be of type  $F_\infty$ . These include Brin’s groups  $sV$  [FMWZ13], generalisations of these [MPMN14], and the braided Thompson’s groups  $BV$  and  $BF$  [BFS<sup>+</sup>12] which were originally defined by Brin [Bri07].

Let  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  denote the infinite binary 2-edge-coloured tree and let  $QV$  be the group of all bijections on the vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  which respect the edge and colour relations except for possibly at finitely many locations. The group  $QV$  was studied by Lehnert [Leh08] who proved that  $QV$  is co-context-free, i.e. the co-word problem in  $QV$  is context-free. He also gave an embedding of  $V$  into  $QV$ . More recently, Bleak, Matucci, and Neunhöffer gave an embedding of  $QV$  into  $V$  [BMN13]. The group  $QV$  was called  $\text{QAut}(\mathcal{T}_{2,c})$  by Bleak, Matucci, and Neunhöffer, and was called both  $\text{QAut}(\mathcal{T}_{2,c})$  and  $G$  by Lehnert.

We write  $QV$  instead of  $\text{QAut}(\mathcal{T}_{2,c})$  in order to follow the convention of naming variations of Thompson’s groups using a prefix (e.g.  $BV$  for the braided Thompson’s groups [BS08] and  $nV$  for the Brin–Thompson groups [Bri04]). The  $Q$  may be thought of as standing for “quasi-automorphism”.

There is a natural surjection  $\pi : QV \rightarrow V$  (Lemma 2.1), and we denote by  $QF$  the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(F)$  and by  $QT$  the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(T)$ . The group  $QF$  was studied by Lehnert, where it is called  $G^0$  [Leh08, Definition 2.9]. The surjection  $\pi : QV \rightarrow V$  is not split (Proposition 2.9), although it does split when restricted to  $QF$  (Lemma 2.5). This situation is similar to that of the braided Thompson groups— $BF$  splits as an extension of  $F$  by the infinite pure braid group  $P_\infty$ , whereas  $BV$  is a non-split extension of  $V$  by  $P_\infty$  [Zar14, §1.2]. There is no braided analogue of  $T$ .

---

*Date:* September 19, 2016.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20J05.

*Key words and phrases.* Thompson’s Group, Finiteness Properties, Normal Subgroups, Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants.

The following theorem appeared in Lehnert's thesis, [Leh08, Satz 2.14, 2.30], although his proof that  $QF$  is of type  $FP_\infty$  uses Brown's criterion, a different approach from that used here.

**Theorems 3.6 and 4.10.**  $QF$  is  $F_\infty$  and has presentation

$$QF = \left\langle \sigma, \alpha, \beta \left| \begin{array}{l} \sigma^2, [\sigma, \sigma^{\alpha^2}], (\sigma\sigma^\alpha)^3, \sigma\sigma^\alpha\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}, \\ [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}\beta\alpha^2], \\ [\nu, \sigma] \text{ for all } \nu \in X. \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

where

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta, \beta^\alpha, \alpha^3\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-2}, \alpha^2\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-2} \\ \alpha\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We write  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \cup \{\zeta\}$  for the disjoint union of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  and a single vertex labelled  $\zeta$ . We write  $\tilde{Q}V$  for the group of all bijections on the vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \cup \{\zeta\}$  which respect the edge and colour relations except for possibly at finitely many locations. Again, there is a surjection  $\pi : \tilde{Q}V \rightarrow V$  and we write  $\tilde{Q}T$  for the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(T)$ . The groups  $\tilde{Q}V$  and  $\tilde{Q}T$  are easier to study than  $QV$  and  $QT$  because  $\pi : \tilde{Q}V \rightarrow V$  splits (Lemma 2.3).

In Section 3 we find finite presentations for  $\tilde{Q}V$  and  $\tilde{Q}T$  and in Section 4 we show  $\tilde{Q}V$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$  and  $QF$  are of type  $F_\infty$ .

Section 5 contains a complete classification of the normal subgroups of  $QF$ ,  $QT$ ,  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$ . We find that the structure is very similar to that of  $F$ ,  $T$ , and  $V$ .




FIGURE 1.  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$ , the rooted 2-edge-coloured infinite binary tree.

We identify the vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  with the set  $\{0, 1\}^*$  of finite length words on the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ , denoting the empty word by  $\varepsilon$  (see Figure 1), and hence view  $QV$  as acting on  $\{0, 1\}^*$ . Let  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  denote the finite support permutation group on  $\{0, 1\}^*$  and  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  the finite support alternating group on  $\{0, 1\}^*$ . Both these groups are normal in  $QV$ ,  $QT$ , and  $QF$ . Let  $Z = \{0, 1\}^* \cup \{\zeta\}$ , so that  $Z$  can be identified with the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \cup \{\zeta\}$ , then  $\text{Sym}(Z)$  and  $\text{Alt}(Z)$  have the obvious definition and are normal in  $\tilde{Q}V$  and  $\tilde{Q}T$ . Recall that  $F/[F, F] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , but since  $T$  and  $V$  are simple and non-abelian,  $[T, T] \cong T$  and  $[V, V] \cong V$  [CFP96, Theorems 4.1, 5.8, 6.9].

**Theorem 5.1** (Normal subgroup structure).

- (1) A non-trivial normal subgroup of  $QF$  is either  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , or contains

$$[QF, QF] = \text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \times [F, F].$$

(2) A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $\tilde{Q}T$  is either  $\text{Alt}(Z)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(Z)$ , or

$$[\tilde{Q}T, \tilde{Q}T] = \text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T.$$

(3) A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $\tilde{Q}V$  is either  $\text{Alt}(Z)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(Z)$ , or

$$[\tilde{Q}V, \tilde{Q}V] = \text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V.$$

(4) A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $QT$  is one of either  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , or

$$[QT, QT] = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T) \cap QT.$$

Moreover,  $(\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T) \cap QT$  is an extension of  $T$  by  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ .

(5) A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $QV$  is one of either  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , or

$$[QV, QV] = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV.$$

Moreover,  $(\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV$  is an extension of  $V$  by  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ .

In Section 6 we calculate the Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants, or Sigma invariants, of  $QF$  (they are uninteresting for the groups  $QT$ ,  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$  since they all have finite abelianisation). They have previously been computed for  $F$  by Bieri, Geoghegan, and Kochloukova [BGK10], and for  $BF$  by Zaremsky [Zar14, §3]. We also show that  $QF$  is an ascending HNN extension

$$QF \cong QF(1)_{*\theta,t},$$

where  $QF \cong QF(1)$ .

We find that  $\Sigma^i(QF) \cong \Sigma^i(F)$  for all  $i$ . More precisely, we define  $\pi^*\chi = \chi \circ \pi$  for any character  $\chi$  of  $F$  and prove that  $\pi^*$  induces an isomorphism between the character spheres  $S(F)$  and  $S(QF)$ .

Let  $\chi_0 : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\chi_1 : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the two characters given by  $\chi_0(A) = -1$ ,  $\chi_0(B) = 1$ , and  $\chi_1(A) = \chi_1(B) = 1$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are the standard generators of  $F$ .

**Theorem 6.3** (The Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants of  $QF$ ). *The character sphere  $S(QF)$  is isomorphic to  $S^1$  and for any ring  $R$ ,*

- (1)  $\Sigma^1(QF, R) = \Sigma^1(QF) = S(QF) \setminus \{[\pi^*\chi_0], [\pi^*\chi_1]\}$ .
- (2)  $\Sigma^i(QF, R) = \Sigma^i(QF) = S(QF) \setminus \{[a\pi^*\chi_0 + b\pi^*\chi_1] : a, b \geq 0\}$  for all  $i \geq 2$ .

In Section 7 we study the relationship of the groups studied in this note to previously studied families of groups which generalise Thompson’s groups and are known to be of type  $F_\infty$ . We show that the groups studied here cannot be proved to be of type  $F_\infty$  using Farley–Hughes’ study of Groups of Local Similarities [FH12, Theorem 1.1], or Martínez–Pérez–Nucinkis’ study of Automorphism Groups of Cantor Algebras [MPN13]. We also show that one cannot use Thumann’s study of Operad groups [Thu16] to determine whether  $QV$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .

*Remark 1.1* (Notation). A tree diagram representing an element of Thompson’s group  $V$  is a triple  $(L, R, f)$  where  $L$  and  $R$  are rooted subtrees of the infinite binary rooted tree with equal numbers of leaves, and  $f$  is a bijection from the leaves of  $L$  to the leaves of  $R$ . To represent an element of Thompson’s group  $F$  we require only the first two elements of the tuple—the bijection is understood to preserve the left-to-right ordering of the leaves. For further background on tree diagrams see [CFP96, §2].

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the referees for helpful comments.

2. INTRODUCING  $QF$ ,  $QT$ ,  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , AND  $\tilde{Q}V$ 

**2.1. The group  $QV$ .** As mentioned in the introduction the group  $QV$  is the group of all bijections on the vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$ , the infinite binary 2-edge-coloured tree, which respect the edge and colour relations except for possibly at finitely many locations. Given some  $\tau \in QV$  and  $a \in \{0,1\}^*$ , let  $s_a$  be the maximal suffix such that  $a = x_a \cdot s_a$  and  $\tau a = y_a \cdot s_a$  for some  $x_a, y_a \in \{0,1\}^*$  (here the operation  $\cdot$  is concatenation of words). Bleak, Mattucci and Neunhöffer prove that the set

$$\{(x_a, y_a) : a \in \{0,1\}^*\}$$

is finite [BMN13, Claim 8], and hence that the subset

$$M_\tau = \{(x, y) : \text{There are infinitely many } a \in \{0,1\}^* \text{ with } (x_a, y_a) = (x, y)\}$$

is finite also. Moreover, they show that the sets

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau = \{x : (x, y) \in M_\tau\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_\tau = \{y : (x, y) \in M_\tau\}$$

both form finite complete anti-chains for the poset  $\{0,1\}^*$ , where  $x \leq x'$  if  $x$  is a subword of  $x'$ . Write  $b_\tau$  for the bijection

$$b_\tau : \mathcal{L}_\tau \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\tau.$$

Note that restricting  $\tau$  to  $\mathcal{L}_\tau$  does not necessarily give the bijection  $b_\tau$ . This is because if  $\sigma$  is any finite permutation of  $\{0,1\}^*$  then  $b_{\sigma \circ \tau} = b_\tau$ .

Let  $L$  and  $R$  be the binary trees with leaves  $\mathcal{L}_\tau$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\tau$  respectively, then  $(L, R, b_\tau)$  is a tree-pair diagram for an element of  $V$  which we denote by  $v_\tau$ .

In fact,  $v_\tau$  can be viewed as the induced action on the space of ends of the simplicial tree  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$ , the set whose elements are enumerated by the right-infinite words  $\{0,1\}^\mathbb{N}$ . With its natural topology the space of ends is homeomorphic to a Cantor set with  $v_\tau$  acting as a homeomorphism. Explicitly, the action of  $v_\tau$  on  $\{0,1\}^\mathbb{N}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} v_\tau : \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N} &\longrightarrow \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N} \\ x \cdot s &\longmapsto y \cdot s \text{ for } (x, y) \text{ in } M_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This is well-defined since  $\mathcal{L}_\tau$  is a complete anti-chain in the poset  $\{0,1\}^*$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The map  $\pi : QV \longrightarrow V$  sending  $\tau \mapsto v_\tau$  is a group homomorphism.*

*Proof.* For any topological space  $X$  let  $\text{Ends}(X)$  denote the space of ends of  $X$ .

If  $\tau \in QV$  then  $\tau$  may not be a simplicial automorphism of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$ , but there will always exist a rooted subtree  $L \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  such that

$$\tau|_{\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus L} : \mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus L \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus \tau(L)$$

is a simplicial map. Thus  $\tau$  determines a map

$$\text{Ends}(\tau) : \text{Ends}(\mathcal{T}_{2,c}) \longrightarrow \text{Ends}(\mathcal{T}_{2,c}).$$

This is well-defined—if we choose to remove a different rooted subtree  $L'$  instead then, since removing a compact set doesn't affect the ends of a space, we see that

$$\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus (L \cup L') \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus \tau(L \cup L')$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus (L') \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus \tau(L')$$

induce the same map on  $\text{Ends}(\mathcal{T}_{2,c})$ .

If  $\sigma$  is some other element of  $QV$  then choosing a large enough finite rooted subtree  $L$ , both maps in the composition

$$\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus L \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus \tau(L) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{T}_{2,c} \setminus \sigma \circ \tau(L)$$

are simplicial maps. Now use that  $\text{Ends}(-)$  is a functor.  $\square$

The kernel of  $\pi$  are those permutations of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  which are the identity on all but finitely many points, the finite support permutation group  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ . Thus there is a group extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) \longrightarrow QV \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 1.$$

This extension does not split, see Proposition 2.9.

**2.2. The group  $\tilde{Q}V$ .** Recall that  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \cup \{\zeta\}$  denotes the 2-edge-coloured tree  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  together with an isolated vertex  $\zeta$ , so the vertex set of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c} \cup \{\zeta\}$  is  $Z = \{0, 1\}^* \cup \{\zeta\}$ . There is a map  $\pi$  from  $\tilde{Q}V$  to  $V$  defined as previously, whose kernel is  $\text{Sym}(Z)$ .

Let  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  be the total order on  $\{0, 1\}^*$  defined by following rule: Given a word  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*$  let  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the words obtained by concatenating  $x$  and  $y$  respectively with the infinite word containing only the symbol  $\frac{1}{2}$ , now we say that  $x \leq_{\text{lex}} y$  if and only if  $\tilde{x}$  is smaller than  $\tilde{y}$  in the lexicographical order where  $0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq 1$ . For example,  $00 \leq_{\text{lex}} 0$  but  $01 \geq_{\text{lex}} 0$ . We extend the  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  order to  $Z$  so that  $\zeta$  is strictly larger than all elements of  $\{0, 1\}^*$ .

*Remark 2.2.* If  $T$  is any finite binary tree, there is an order preserving bijection

$$b_T : \text{nodes}(T) \cup \{\zeta\} \longrightarrow \text{leaves}(T).$$

Moreover, adding a caret to  $T$  at any leaf doesn't affect the bijection on the remaining leaves.

**Lemma 2.3.** *The short exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(Z) \longrightarrow \tilde{Q}V \xrightarrow{\pi} V \longrightarrow 1$$

*is split.*

Using the computer algebra package GAP Bleak, Matucci, and Neunhöffer provide the same splitting [BMN13, Lemma 15].

*Proof.* Let  $(L, R, f)$  be a tree diagram for an element  $v$  of  $V$ , we define the element  $\iota(v)$  of  $\tilde{Q}V$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(v) : \{0, 1\}^* \cup \{\zeta\} &\longrightarrow \{0, 1\}^* \cup \{\zeta\} \\ x &\longmapsto \begin{cases} b_R^{-1} \circ f \circ b_L(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{nodes}(L) \cup \{\zeta\}, \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{leaves}(L), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and extend  $\iota(v)$  onto all  $\{0, 1\}^*$  by having  $\iota(v)$  preserve all edge and colour relations below  $\text{leaves}(L)$ .

If  $(L', R', f')$  is an expansion of  $(L, R, f)$  then  $(L', R', f')$  determines the same element  $\iota(v)$  (use Remark 2.2). Since any two tree diagrams representing the same element of  $V$  have a common expansion this proves  $\iota(v)$  is independent of choice of tree diagram.

We claim that  $\iota : v \mapsto \iota(v)$  is a group homomorphism. Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two elements of  $V$  represented by tree diagrams  $(L, R, f)$  and  $(R, S, g)$  (by performing expansions we may assume any two elements of  $V$  are represented by tree pair

diagrams in this form). Thus the composition of the two elements of  $V$  has tree pair diagram  $(L, S, g \circ f)$ . Now one verifies that for  $x \in \text{nodes}(L) \cup \{\zeta\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(v) \circ \iota(w)(x) &= b_S^{-1} \circ g \circ b_R \circ b_R^{-1} \circ f \circ b_L(x) \\ &= b_S^{-1} \circ g \circ f \circ b_L(x) \\ &= \iota(vw)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $x \in \text{leaves}(L)$ ,  $\iota(v) \circ \iota(w)$  and  $\iota(vw)$  agree.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* For an explicit description of this splitting for the generators of  $V$ , let  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  denote the generators of  $V$  as denoted by  $A, B, C$  and  $\pi_0$  in [CFP96, Figures 16 and 17]. Then  $\iota(A), \iota(B), \iota(C)$ , and  $\iota(D)$  are shown in Figures 2–5.

Hereafter we define  $\alpha = \iota(A)$ ,  $\beta = \iota(B)$ ,  $\gamma = \iota(C)$ , and  $\delta = \iota(D)$ .




FIGURE 2. Element  $\alpha = \iota(A)$ .




FIGURE 3. Element  $\beta = \iota(B)$ .





FIGURE 4. Element  $\gamma = \iota(C)$ .

**2.3. The group  $QF$ .** We define the group  $QF$  to be the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(F)$  of Thompson's group  $F$  under  $\pi : QV \rightarrow V$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *The short exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) \longrightarrow QF \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1$$

*is split.*


 FIGURE 5. Element  $\delta = \iota(D)$ .

*Proof.* Restrict the splitting map  $\iota$  defined in Lemma 2.3 to  $QF$ . Since elements  $(L, R)$  of  $F$  always map the right-hand leaf of  $L$  to the right-hand leaf of  $R$ , we see that  $\iota(f)$  fixes  $\zeta$  for all  $f \in F$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* If  $(L, R)$  is a tree-diagram for an element  $f$  of  $F$ , where  $L$  has nodes  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $R$  has nodes  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  then, assuming  $x_i \leq_{\text{lex}} x_{i+1}$  and  $y_i \leq_{\text{lex}} y_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $\iota(f)$  maps  $x_i \mapsto y_i$ . The action on all other elements of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  is determined by  $L$  and  $R$ —if  $l_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  leaf of  $L$  and  $r_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  leaf of  $R$  then  $\iota(f)$  takes the full subtree with root  $l_i$  to the full subtree with root  $r_i$ .

In particular, for all  $f \in F$ , the image  $\iota(f)$  preserves the  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  order on  $\{0, 1\}^*$ .

**2.4. The group  $QT$ .** We define the group  $QT$  to be the preimage  $\pi^{-1}(T)$  of Thompson's group  $T$  under  $\pi : QV \rightarrow V$ .

Restricting the map  $\pi$  to  $QT$  gives a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) \longrightarrow QT \xrightarrow{\pi} T \longrightarrow 1.$$

In [Leh08, Lemma 2.10], Lehnert proves that the splitting  $\iota : F \rightarrow QF$  does not extend to an embedding of  $T$  into  $QV$ . Examining the proof, he in fact shows the stronger statement below. Recall that  $A$  and  $B$  denote the standard generators of  $F$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** [Leh08, Lemma 2.10] *Let  $j$  be an embedding of  $F$  into  $QV$  such that  $j(A) = \iota(A)$ , then  $j$  does not extend to an embedding of  $T$  into  $QV$ .*

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $f$  be an element of  $F$  and let  $j : F \rightarrow QF$  be a splitting of  $\pi : QF \rightarrow F$ , then the actions of  $\iota(f)$  and  $j(f)$  on  $\{0, 1\}^*$  agree on cofinitely many points.*

*Proof.* Recall from Section 2.1 that given  $\tau \in QV$ , for all but finitely many  $a \in \{0, 1\}^*$  we have  $a = x_a \cdot s_a$  for some  $x_a \in \mathcal{L}_\tau$  and  $\tau(a) = b_\tau(x_a) \cdot s_a$ . Since the tree pair diagram for  $\pi(\tau)$  is  $(L, R, b_\tau)$ ,  $b_\tau$  is determined completely by  $\pi(\tau)$ . We conclude that  $\iota(f)$  and  $j(f)$  agree on all but finitely many elements of  $\{0, 1\}^*$ , since by assumption  $\pi \circ \iota(f) = \pi \circ j(f)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *The group extension*

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) \longrightarrow QT \xrightarrow{\pi} T \longrightarrow 1.$$

*is not split.*

*Proof.* Let  $j : T \rightarrow QT$  be a splitting of  $\pi$ , by Lemma 2.8 we have that  $j(A)$  and  $\iota(A)$  agree on cofinitely many points. Hence, after possibly extending via adding carets, we can find a tree-pair diagram  $(L, R, f)$  and positive integer  $k$  such that in  $L$  every leaf under 0 has length exactly  $k$ , and every leaf under 1 has length exactly  $k+1$  and similarly in  $R$  every leaf under 0 has length exactly  $k+1$ , and every leaf under 1 has length exactly  $k$ .

Following the argument of the proof of Lemma 2.7, we see that this is incompatible with every possible choice of  $j(C)$ .  $\square$

## 3. FINITE PRESENTATIONS

In this section we compute finite presentations for the groups  $QF$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$ . We use a method for finding a finite presentation for a semi-direct product  $N \rtimes Q$ , whereby we find a presentation for  $N$  such that  $Q$  acts by permutations and with finitely many orbits on both the generating set and the relating set. Then as long as  $Q$  is finitely presented, and the  $Q$ -stabilisers of the generating set are finitely generated, one can write down a finite presentation for  $N \rtimes Q$  [MPMN14, Lemma A.1]. This technique predates the referenced paper of Martínez-Pérez, Matucci, and Nucinkis, but we are not aware of anywhere else where the proof is given.

We apply this method to  $QF$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$  and  $\tilde{Q}V$  using their decompositions as semi-direct products with kernel an infinite symmetric group and quotient  $F$ ,  $T$ , and  $V$  respectively.

Let  $N = \langle S_N | R_N \rangle$  and  $Q = \langle S_Q | R_Q \rangle$  be groups such that  $Q$  acts on  $S_N$  by permutations and the action induces an action on  $R_N$  with  $Q(R_N) = R_N$ . Let  $S_0$  be a set of representatives for the  $Q$ -orbits in  $S_N$  and  $R_0$  a set of representatives for the  $Q$ -orbits in  $R_N$ .

For any set  $X$ , we write  $X^*$  for the finite length words of  $X$  and we write  $q^{-1}sq$  to denote the action of  $q \in S_Q$  on  $s \in S_0$ . Let  $r \in R_0$ , then  $r$  is a word in  $S_N^*$  and hence can be expressed as a word in  $(S_0 \cup S_Q)^*$  using the conjugation notation just defined. Denote the set of these words, one for each  $r \in R_0$ , by  $\hat{R}_0$ .

**Lemma 3.1** ([MPMN14, Lemma A.1]). *With the notation above,*

$$N \rtimes Q \cong \langle S_0, S_Q | \hat{R}_0, R_Q, [x, s] \text{ for all } s \in S_0 \text{ and all } x \in X_s \rangle,$$

where  $X_s$  is a generating set for  $\text{Stab}_Q(s)$  and the semi-direct product is built using the given action of  $Q$  on  $N$ .

3.1. A finite presentation for  $QF$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$F$  acts transitively on*

$$\Sigma_n = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_1^n \{0, 1\}^* : x_1 \preceq_{\text{lex}} x_2 \preceq_{\text{lex}} \dots \preceq_{\text{lex}} x_n \right\}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the action is via the splitting  $\iota : F \rightarrow QF$ , the inclusion  $QF \rightarrow QV$ , and the usual action of  $QV$  on  $\{0, 1\}^*$ .

*Proof.* For  $i \geq 1$ , let  $0^i$  be the following element of  $\{0, 1\}^*$

$$0^i = \overbrace{0 \dots 0}^{i\text{-times}},$$

and let  $0^0 = \varepsilon$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $y_i = 0^{n-i}$ .

For any  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Sigma_n$ , we build an element of  $F$  such that

$$\iota(\gamma) : (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Let  $R$  be the smallest rooted subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  containing all the  $x_i$  as nodes and let  $L_0$  be the smallest subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  containing all the  $y_i$  as nodes.

For any two nodes  $z_1 \preceq_{\text{lex}} z_2$  in a finite rooted subtree  $T$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} d_T(z_1, z_2) &= |\{z \in \text{nodes}(T) : z_1 \preceq_{\text{lex}} z \preceq_{\text{lex}} z_2\}| \\ d_T(z_1, *) &= |\{z \in \text{nodes}(T) : z_1 \preceq_{\text{lex}} z\}| \\ d_T(*, z_2) &= |\{z \in \text{nodes}(T) : z \preceq_{\text{lex}} z_2\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $d_{L_0}(*, y_0) = d_{L_0}(y_i, y_{i+1}) = d_{L_0}(y_n, *) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Expand  $L_0$  to  $L$  by adding carets until  $d_L(y_i, y_{i+1}) = d_R(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for all  $i$ . This is possible because adding a caret at a leaf between  $y_i$  and  $y_{i+1}$  increases  $d_L(y_i, y_{i+1})$



by 1. Now use the description of Remark 2.6 to check that the element  $(L, R)$  maps  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  onto  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.**

- (1) *The  $F$ -stabiliser of any element in  $\Sigma_n$  is isomorphic to the group  $\prod_1^{n+1} F$ .*  
(2) *The  $F$ -stabiliser of  $(0, \varepsilon) \in \Sigma_2$  has generating set*

$$\text{Stab}_{\Sigma_2}((0, \varepsilon)) = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \beta, \beta^\alpha, \alpha^3 \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-2}, \alpha^2 \beta^2 \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-2} \\ \alpha \beta^2 \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-1}, \alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta^2 \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

We use the method in [Bel04, §1] to translate from tree diagrams to words in the generators  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $y_i = 0^{n-i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $y^n = \varepsilon$ . For any  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , let  $x \cdot \{0, 1\}^*$  denote all finite words beginning with  $x$ . We calculate the stabiliser of  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \Sigma_n$ , since  $F$  acts transitively on  $\Sigma_n$  this determines the stabilisers of all elements of  $\Sigma_n$  up to conjugacy.

We claim the stabiliser of  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is exactly those elements of  $F$  for which  $\iota(f)$  preserves setwise  $y_0 \cdot \{0, 1\}^*$  and  $y_i \cdot 1 \cdot \{0, 1\}^*$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

If  $f \in F$  and  $\iota(f)$  maps some  $x \in y_0 \cdot \{0, 1\}^*$  to an element in  $y_i \cdot 1 \cdot \{0, 1\}^*$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  then, since  $\iota(f)$  preserves the  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  ordering, it is impossible for  $\iota(f)$  to map  $y_1$  to  $y_1$  as required. Similarly, again because  $\iota(f)$  respects the  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  ordering, any  $\iota(f)$  setwise preserving these sets will necessarily map  $y_1$  to  $y_1$ . Repeating this argument for elements in  $y_j \cdot 1 \cdot \{0, 1\}^*$  completes the proof of (1).

Rephrasing the above, the stabiliser of  $(0, \varepsilon)$  is exactly those elements of  $F$  which preserve setwise the subtrees under 00, 01, and 1. Those elements preserving, for example the subtree under 00, and acting trivially elsewhere give a copy of  $F$  on that interval. Since we have three such intervals, the stabiliser is  $F \times F \times F$ .

Generators of the elements setwise preserving the subtree under 1 and acting trivially elsewhere are  $\{\beta, \beta^\alpha\}$  where  $\alpha = \iota(A)$  and  $\beta = \iota(B)$ . Generators of the elements setwise preserving the subtree under 00 and acting trivially elsewhere are  $\alpha^3 \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-2}$  and  $\alpha^2 \beta^2 \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-2}$ . Generators of the elements setwise preserving the subtree under 01 and acting trivially elsewhere are  $\alpha \beta^2 \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-1}$  and  $\alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta^2 \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The finite support symmetric group on  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  has presentation*

$$\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) = \left\langle \sigma_{x,y} \quad \begin{array}{l} \forall x, y \in \{0, 1\}^* \\ x \leq_{\text{lex}} y \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{x,y}^2 \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Sigma_2 \\ [\sigma_{x,y}, \sigma_{z,w}] \quad \forall (x, y, z, w) \in \Sigma_4 \\ (\sigma_{x,y} \sigma_{y,z})^3 \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_3 \\ \sigma_{x,y} \sigma_{y,z} \sigma_{x,y} \sigma_{x,z} \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \Sigma_3 \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

where  $\sigma_{x,y}$  denotes the transposition of  $x$  and  $y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be some collection of elements of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  with  $x_i \leq_{\text{lex}} x_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . The finite symmetric group on  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  has a well-known presentation

$$\text{Sym}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \left\langle \sigma_{x_1, x_2}, \dots, \sigma_{x_{n-1}, x_n} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{x_i, x_{i+1}}^2, (\sigma_{x_i, x_{i+1}} \sigma_{x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}})^3, \\ [\sigma_{x_i, x_{i+1}}, \sigma_{x_j, x_{j+1}}] \quad \forall |i-j| \geq 2, \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

where  $\sigma_{x_i, x_j}$  denotes the transposition of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . We expand this presentation using Tietze transformations. First add new generators  $\sigma_{x_i, x_{i+2}}$  for each suitable  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ , each such generator can be added with the relator

$$\sigma_{x_i, x_{i+1}} \sigma_{x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}} \sigma_{x_i, x_{i+1}} \sigma_{x_i, x_{i+2}}$$

Next add new generators  $\sigma_{x_i, x_{i+3}}$ , each can be added with the relator

$$\sigma_{x_i, x_{i+2}} \sigma_{x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}} \sigma_{x_i, x_{i+2}} \sigma_{x_i, x_{i+3}}$$

and so on adding  $\sigma_{x_i, x_{i+j}}$  for all  $i$  and increasing  $j$ , until all the required generators are present. Finally we can add all relators

$$\sigma_{x_i, x_j} \sigma_{x_j, x_k} \sigma_{x_i, x_j} \sigma_{x_i, x_k} \quad \forall i \leq j \leq k$$

which are not already present. We've obtained the presentation

$$\text{Sym}(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \left\langle \sigma_{x_i, x_j} \quad \forall i \leq j \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{x_i, x_j}^2 \quad \forall i \leq j, \\ (\sigma_{x_i, x_j} \sigma_{x_j, x_k})^3 \quad \forall i \leq j \leq k, \\ [\sigma_{x_i, x_j}, \sigma_{x_k, x_l}] \quad \forall i \leq j \leq k \leq l \\ \sigma_{x_i, x_j} \sigma_{x_j, x_k} \sigma_{x_i, x_j} \sigma_{x_i, x_k} \quad \forall i \leq j \leq k. \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

It is now easy to check that this can be extended to the entire finite support symmetric group  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5** ([CFP96, §3]). *Thompson's group  $F$  has presentation*

$$F = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}\beta\alpha^2] \rangle.$$

**Theorem 3.6.**  *$QF$  has presentation*

$$QF = \left\langle \sigma, \alpha, \beta \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \sigma^2, [\sigma, \sigma^{\alpha^2}], (\sigma\sigma^\alpha)^3, \sigma\sigma^\alpha\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}, \\ [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}\beta\alpha^2], \\ [\nu, \sigma] \text{ for all } \nu \in X. \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

where

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta, \beta^\alpha, \alpha^3\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-2}, \alpha^2\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-2} \\ \alpha\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \end{array} \right\}$$

and  $\sigma = \sigma_{0, \varepsilon}$ .

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 3.1 to the description of  $QF$  as the semi-direct product  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes F$  (Lemma 2.5), using the presentation of  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  given in Lemma 3.4, and the presentation of  $F$  given in Proposition 3.5.

Let  $f \in F$ , then necessarily  $f(x) \leq_{\text{lex}} f(y)$  (see Remark 2.6) and  $f^{-1}\sigma_{x,y}f = \sigma_{f(x), f(y)}$ . Hence by Lemma 3.2,  $F$  acts transitively on the generating set of  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  and acts with three  $F$ -orbits on the relating set.

Let  $\sigma = \sigma_{0, \varepsilon}$ , this is a representative of the generating set. We take the representatives of the relating set,

$$\{\sigma^2, [\sigma, \sigma_{1,11}], (\sigma\sigma_{\varepsilon,1})^3, \sigma\sigma_{\varepsilon,1}\sigma\sigma_{0,1}\}.$$

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the generators of  $F$  from Proposition 3.5. We can express the transpositions appearing in the relating set as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1,11} &= \sigma^{\alpha^2} \\ \sigma_{\varepsilon,1} &= \sigma^\alpha \\ \sigma_{0,1} &= \sigma^{\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Since the stabiliser of  $\sigma_{x,y}$  for some  $x \leq_{\text{lex}} y$  is equal to the stabiliser of the pair  $(x, y)$  in  $\Sigma_2$ , Lemma 3.3 gives that the stabiliser of the  $F$ -action on the generators of  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  is a copy of  $F \times F \times F$ , generated by the set

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta, \beta^\alpha, \alpha^3\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-2}, \alpha^2\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-2} \\ \alpha\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

In summary, with the notation of Lemma 3.1,  $X_\sigma = X$  is as above,  $S_0 = \{\sigma\}$ ,  $S_Q = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ ,

$$\widehat{R}_0 = \{\sigma^2, [\sigma, \sigma^{\alpha^2}], (\sigma\sigma^\alpha)^3, \sigma\sigma^\alpha\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}\},$$

and

$$R_Q = \{[\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}\beta\alpha^2]\}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.7.**

$$QF/[QF, QF] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus C_2$$

*Proof.* Abelianising the relators we find that the only surviving relation is  $\sigma^2$ . □

**3.2. A finite presentation for  $\tilde{Q}T$ .** Recall that  $\tilde{Q}T$  denotes the preimage of  $T$  under  $\pi : \tilde{Q}V \rightarrow V$ . In this section we compute a finite presentation for  $\tilde{Q}T$ .

Let  $[-, -, -]_{\text{lex}}$  be the cyclic order induced by the  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  ordering, so  $[x, y, z]_{\text{lex}}$  if and only if  $x \leq_{\text{lex}} y \leq_{\text{lex}} z$  or  $y \leq_{\text{lex}} z \leq_{\text{lex}} x$  or  $z \leq_{\text{lex}} x \leq_{\text{lex}} y$ .

The next lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.2.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $Z = \{0, 1\}^* \cup \{\zeta\}$ .  $T$  acts transitively on*

$$\Lambda_n = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_1^n Z : [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_{\text{lex}}, x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i \neq j \right\}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the action is via the splitting  $\iota : T \rightarrow \tilde{Q}T$ , the inclusion  $\tilde{Q}T \rightarrow \tilde{Q}V$ , and the usual action of  $\tilde{Q}V$  on  $Z$ .

*Proof.* As in Lemma 3.2, let  $y_i = 0^{n-i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We build an element  $\gamma$  of  $T$  such that  $\iota(\gamma)$  maps an arbitrary element  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Lambda_n$  onto  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

Let  $L$  be the smallest rooted subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  such that  $L$  contains all the  $x_i$  as nodes. Let  $f$  be the cyclic permutation of the leaves of  $L$  such that the element  $t$  of  $T$  represented by  $(L, L, f)$  satisfies  $\iota(t)x_i \leq \iota(t)x_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .

If  $\iota(t)x_n \neq \zeta$  then, via Lemma 3.2, there exists  $f \in F$  such that  $\iota(ft)x_i = y_i$  for all  $i$ .

Assume  $\iota(t)x_n = \zeta$ . Let  $L'$  be formed from  $L$  by adding a caret on the left-hand-most leaf, and let  $f'$  be the cyclic permutation of the leaves of  $L'$  which sends each leaf to its immediate left-hand neighbour. Let  $t'$  be the element of  $T$  represented by  $(L', L', f')$ , then  $\iota(t')x_i \leq_{\text{lex}} \iota(t')x_{i+1}$ , and  $\iota(t')x_n \neq \zeta$ . Once again we may use Lemma 3.2. □

**Lemma 3.9.**

- (1) *The  $T$ -stabiliser of any element in  $\Lambda_n$  is isomorphic to  $\prod_1^n F$ .*
- (2) *The  $T$ -stabiliser of  $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \in \Lambda_2$  has generating set*

$$\text{Stab}((\varepsilon, \zeta)) = \langle \beta, \beta^\alpha, \alpha^2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \rangle.$$

*Proof.* The  $T$ -stabiliser of  $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \zeta)$  is exactly the  $F$ -stabiliser of  $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ , which is isomorphic to  $\prod_1^n F$  by Lemma 3.3, this proves part (1).

Finally, the stabiliser of the subtree with root 1 is  $\langle \beta, \beta^\alpha \rangle$  and that of the subtree with root 0 is  $\langle \alpha^2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ . □

The proof of the next lemma is identical to that of Lemma 3.4.

**Lemma 3.10.** *The finite support symmetric group on  $\text{Sym}(Z)$  has presentation*

$$\text{Sym}(Z) = \left\langle \sigma_{x,y} \quad \forall x, y \in \Lambda_2 \quad \left( \begin{array}{ll} \sigma_{x,y}^2 & \forall (x, y) \in \Lambda_2 \\ [\sigma_{x,y}, \sigma_{z,w}] & \forall (x, y, z, w) \in \Lambda_4 \\ (\sigma_{x,y}\sigma_{y,w})^3 & \forall (x, y, z) \in \Lambda_3 \\ \sigma_{x,y}\sigma_{y,z}\sigma_{x,y}\sigma_{x,z} & \forall (x, y, z) \in \Lambda_3 \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$$

**Proposition 3.11** ([CFP96, §5]). *Thompson's group  $T$  has presentation*

$$T = \left\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \left| \begin{array}{l} [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}\beta\alpha^2], \\ \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta, \alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta\alpha^{-2}\gamma\beta^2, \\ \alpha^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta)^2, \gamma^3, \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

**Theorem 3.12.**  $\tilde{Q}T$  has presentation

$$\tilde{Q}T = \left\langle \sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \left| \begin{array}{l} \sigma^2, [\sigma, \sigma^{\alpha^2}], (\sigma\sigma^\alpha)^3, \sigma\sigma^\alpha\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}, \\ [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-2}\beta\alpha^2], \\ \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta, \alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta\alpha^{-2}\gamma\beta^2, \\ \alpha^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta)^2, \gamma^3, \\ [\nu, \sigma] \text{ for all } \nu \in X. \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

where

$$X = \{\beta, \beta^\alpha, \alpha^2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\}$$

and  $\sigma = \sigma_{0,\varepsilon}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.6, except using Lemma 3.10 and Proposition 3.11.  $\square$

**Question 3.13.** Is  $QT$  finitely presented?

**Corollary 3.14.**

$$\tilde{Q}T/[\tilde{Q}T, \tilde{Q}T] \cong C_2$$

*Proof.* Abelianising the relators kills the generators  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , leaving only the generator  $\sigma$  and the relation  $\sigma^2$ .  $\square$

In Corollary 5.3 we show that the abelianisation of  $QT$  is also isomorphic to the cyclic group of order 2.

**3.3. A finite presentation for  $\tilde{Q}V$ .** In this section we compute a finite presentation for  $\tilde{Q}V$ . Recall that  $Z = \{0, 1\}^* \cup \{\zeta\}$ . We also define

$$\beta_n = \alpha^{-(n-1)}\beta\alpha^{n-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

$$\gamma_n = \alpha^{-(n-1)}\gamma\beta^{n-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

$$\delta_1 = \gamma_2^{-1}\delta\gamma_2,$$

$$\delta_n = \alpha^{-(n-1)}\delta_1\alpha^{n-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 2.$$

These definitions will allow us to express the presentation of  $\tilde{Q}V$  in a simpler form. The same definitions appear in [CFP96, p.13,p.16], where they are called  $X_n$ ,  $C_n$ , and  $\pi_n$  respectively.

**Lemma 3.15.**  $V$  acts transitively on

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_1^n Z : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i \neq j \right\},$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the action is via the splitting  $\iota : V \rightarrow \tilde{Q}V$  and the usual action of  $\tilde{Q}V$  on  $Z$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Delta_n$  and let  $L$  be the smallest subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  containing all the  $x_i$  as nodes. Choose a bijection  $f$  on the leaves of  $L$  such that the element  $\gamma$  of  $V$  represented by  $(L, L, f)$  has  $\iota(f)x_i \leq_{\text{lex}} \iota(f)x_{i+1}$ . Now use Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.16.** *The  $V$ -stabiliser of  $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \in \Delta_2$  has generating set*

$$\text{Stab}((\varepsilon, \zeta)) = \langle \alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta', \lambda, \mu \rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= (\alpha^2)(\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta)(\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}), \\ \beta' &= (\alpha\beta_2^2)(\beta_3^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \\ \gamma' &= (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta\delta_2\delta_1\delta)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \\ \delta' &= (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta_1\delta_0\delta_1)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \\ \lambda &= \alpha^2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \\ \mu &= \beta. \end{aligned}$$

See Figures 6–11 for representatives of the elements  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma'$ ,  $\delta'$ ,  $\lambda$ , and  $\mu$  as tree diagrams.

Between them, the elements  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma'$ , and  $\delta'$  generate the subgroup  $V'$  of  $V$  which fixes the subtrees under 01 and 11.

The method of finding expressions in the generators  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  is adapted from that in [Bel04, §1], and the applet [Kog08] was used for checking these calculations.

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a finite rooted subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  with at least two leaves and let  $S$  be the rooted subtree with leaves 00, 01, 10, and 11. We denote by  $T'$  the subtree obtained by taking the subtree of  $T$  with root 0 and glueing 0 to the node 00 of  $S$ , similarly we take the subtree of  $T$  with root 1 and glue it to the leaf 10 of  $S$ .

If  $f : \text{leaves}(L) \rightarrow \text{leaves}(R)$  is a bijection between the leaves of finite rooted subtrees of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  then we write  $f' : \text{leaves}(L') \rightarrow \text{leaves}(R')$  for the corresponding bijection (which fixes the leaves 01 and 11).

The homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : V &\longrightarrow V \\ (L, R, f) &\longmapsto (L', R', f') \end{aligned}$$

is an injection whose image is  $V'$ .

Let  $\alpha' = \varphi(A)$ ,  $\beta' = \varphi(B)$ ,  $\gamma' = \varphi(C)$ , and  $\delta' = \varphi(D)$  and let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be the elements shown in Figures 10 and 11. By construction,  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma'$ , and  $\delta'$  generate  $V'$ .

The elements  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ , and the group  $V'$  stabilise  $\varepsilon$  and  $\zeta$ , we claim that they also generate  $\text{Stab}_V((\varepsilon, \zeta))$ . Let  $\tau \in V$  be an element of the stabiliser represented by  $(L, R, f)$ , via Lemma 3.17 we may assume that  $\tau$  fixes the subtrees under 01 and 11, and thus is an element of  $V'$ .

Finally, we calculate  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma'$ , and  $\delta'$ . Each is a product of three elements of  $V$ : the first is an element of  $F$  which maps the tree  $L$  to a right-vine (a tree formed from the trivial tree by adding carets to the right-hand most leaf only), the second is the necessary permutation of the leaves on the right vine, and the third is the element of  $F$  which maps the right-vine to the tree  $R$ . The outcome of these calculations is as shown in the statement of the lemma. Note that the word length of these elements may not be minimal.  $\square$

Let  $T$  be a rooted subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  and recall the definition of

$$b_T : \text{nodes}(T) \cup \{\zeta\} \longrightarrow \text{leaves}(T)$$

from Section 2.1. We define  $l_\varepsilon(T)$  to be the word length in  $\{0, 1\}^*$  of the leaf  $b_T(\varepsilon)$  and define  $l_\zeta(T)$  to be the word length of the leaf  $b_T(\zeta)$ .




FIGURE 6. Element  $\alpha' = (\alpha^2)(\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta)(\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1})$  from Lemma 3.16.




FIGURE 7. Element  $\beta' = (\alpha\beta_2^2)(\beta_3^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$  from Lemma 3.16.




FIGURE 8. Element  $\gamma' = (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta\delta_2\delta_1\delta)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$  from Lemma 3.16.






FIGURE 9. Element  $\delta' = (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta_1\delta_0\delta_1)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$  from Lemma 3.16.

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $v \in V$  satisfy  $\iota(v)(\zeta) = \zeta$  and  $\iota(v)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ . There exist non-negative integers  $a, b, c,$  and  $d$  such that  $\iota(\lambda^{-a}\mu^{-b}v\lambda^c\mu^d)$  fixes the subtrees under 01 and 11.*

FIGURE 10. Element  $\lambda = \alpha^2 \beta^{-1} \alpha^{-1}$  from Lemma 3.16.FIGURE 11. Element  $\mu = \beta$  from Lemma 3.16.

*Proof.* Note that  $\iota(\lambda)(\varepsilon) = \iota(\mu)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$  and  $\iota(\lambda)(\zeta) = \iota(\mu)(\zeta) = \zeta$ . To prove the lemma, it suffices to find non-negative integers  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  and tree diagram representative  $(L, R, f)$  for  $\lambda^{-a} \mu^{-b} v \lambda^c \mu^d$  such that

$$l_\varepsilon(L) = l_\varepsilon(R) = l_\zeta(L) = l_\zeta(R) = 2.$$

Consider the element  $\lambda^n$  for some non-negative integer  $n$ . This element has tree diagram representative  $(L, R, f)$ , where  $l_\varepsilon(L) = n + 2$ ,  $l_\varepsilon(R) = 2$ ,  $l_\zeta(L) = 1$ , and  $l_\zeta(R) = 1$ . Similarly consider the element  $\mu^n$  for some non-negative integer  $n$ . This element has tree diagram representative  $(L, R, f)$ , where  $l_\zeta(L) = n + 2$ ,  $l_\zeta(R) = 2$ ,  $l_\varepsilon(L) = 1$ , and  $l_\varepsilon(R) = 1$ .

Let  $v \in V$  be such that  $\iota(v)(\zeta) = \zeta$  and  $\iota(v)(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$  and let  $(L, R, f)$  be a tree diagram representative for  $v$ . If either  $l_\zeta(L)$ ,  $l_\zeta(R)$ ,  $l_\varepsilon(L)$ , or  $l_\varepsilon(R)$  are strictly less than 2 then expand  $L$  and  $R$  by adding carets until they are equal or greater than 2.

Let  $a = l_\varepsilon(L) - 2$ ,  $b = l_\zeta(L) - 2$ ,  $c = l_\varepsilon(R) - 2$ , and  $d = l_\zeta(R) - 2$ . Then, one calculates that  $\lambda^{-a} \mu^{-b} v \lambda^c \mu^d$  has tree diagram representative  $(L, R, f)$  with

$$l_\varepsilon(L) = l_\varepsilon(R) = l_\zeta(L) = l_\zeta(R) = 2,$$

as required.  $\square$

Modifying Lemma 3.10 slightly we obtain the following.

**Lemma 3.18.** *The finite support symmetric group on  $\text{Sym}(Z)$  has presentation*

$$\text{Sym}(Z) = \left\langle \sigma_{x,y} \quad \forall x, y \in \Delta_2 \quad \left| \begin{array}{ll} \sigma_{x,y} = \sigma_{y,x} & \forall (x, y) \in \Delta_2 \\ \sigma_{x,y}^2 & \forall (x, y) \in \Delta_2 \\ [\sigma_{x,y}, \sigma_{z,w}] & \forall (x, y, z, w) \in \Delta_4 \\ (\sigma_{x,y} \sigma_{y,w})^3 & \forall (x, y, z) \in \Delta_3 \\ \sigma_{x,y} \sigma_{y,z} \sigma_{x,y} \sigma_{x,z} & \forall (x, y, z) \in \Delta_3 \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

**Proposition 3.19** ([CFP96, p.18]). *Thompson's group  $V$  has presentation*

$$V = \left\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta : \begin{array}{l} [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta_2], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta_3], \beta\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1}, \beta\gamma_3(\gamma_2\beta_2)^{-1}, \gamma_2^2(\gamma_1\alpha)^{-1} \\ \gamma_1^3, \delta_1^2, \delta_3\delta_1(\delta_1\delta_3)^{-1}, (\delta_2\delta_1)^3, \delta_1\beta_3(\beta_3\delta_1)^{-1}, \\ \beta\gamma_2\gamma_1(\gamma_1\beta_2)^{-1}, \beta\delta_3(\delta_2\beta)^{-1}, \gamma_3\delta_2(\delta_1\gamma_3)^{-1}, (\delta_1\gamma_2)^3 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

**Theorem 3.20.**  *$\tilde{Q}V$  has presentation*

$$\tilde{Q}V = \left\langle \sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \left[ \begin{array}{l} \sigma\sigma^{\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}}, \sigma^2, [\sigma, \sigma^{\alpha^2}], (\sigma\sigma^\alpha)^3, \sigma\sigma^\alpha\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}, \\ [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta_2], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta_3], \beta\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1}, \beta\gamma_3(\gamma_2\beta_2)^{-1}, \gamma_2^2(\gamma_1\alpha)^{-1} \\ \gamma_1^3, \delta_1^2, \delta_3\delta_1(\delta_1\delta_3)^{-1}, (\delta_2\delta_1)^3, \delta_1\beta_3(\beta_3\delta_1)^{-1}, \\ \beta\gamma_2\gamma_1(\gamma_1\beta_2)^{-1}, \beta\delta_3(\delta_2\beta)^{-1}, \gamma_3\delta_2(\delta_1\gamma_3)^{-1}, (\delta_1\gamma_2)^3 \\ [\nu, \sigma] \text{ for all } \nu \in X. \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

where

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha^2)(\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta)(\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}), (\alpha\beta_2^2)(\beta_3^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \\ (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta\delta_2\delta_1\delta)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta_1\delta_0\delta_1)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \alpha^2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \beta \end{array} \right\}$$

and  $\sigma = \sigma_{0,\varepsilon}$ .

*Proof.* As in Theorems 3.6 and 3.12, except using the presentations from Lemma 3.18 and 3.19.

Explicitly, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_Q &= \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\} \\ R_Q &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta_2], [\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta_3], \beta\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1}, \beta\gamma_3(\gamma_2\beta_2)^{-1}, \gamma_2^2(\gamma_1\alpha)^{-1} \\ \gamma_1^3, \delta_1^2, \delta_3\delta_1(\delta_1\delta_3)^{-1}, (\delta_2\delta_1)^3, \delta_1\beta_3(\beta_3\delta_1)^{-1}, \\ \beta\gamma_2\gamma_1(\gamma_1\beta_2)^{-1}, \beta\delta_3(\delta_2\beta)^{-1}, \gamma_3\delta_2(\delta_1\gamma_3)^{-1}, (\delta_1\gamma_2)^3 \end{array} \right\} \\ S_0 &= \{\sigma\} = \{\sigma_{0,\varepsilon}\} \\ \tilde{R}_0 &= \{\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}}, \sigma^2, [\sigma, \sigma^{\alpha^2}], (\sigma\sigma^\alpha)^3, \sigma\sigma^\alpha\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}\} \\ X_\sigma &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha^2)(\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}\gamma\beta)(\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}), (\alpha\beta_2^2)(\beta_3^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \\ (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta\delta_2\delta_1\delta)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), (\alpha\beta_2)(\delta_1\delta_0\delta_1)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \alpha^2\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \beta. \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Compared to the calculation for  $\tilde{Q}T$ , there is one new element of  $R_0$ , namely  $\sigma\sigma^{\alpha\delta\alpha^{-1}}$  which corresponds to the relation

$$\{\sigma_{x,y} = \sigma_{y,x} \text{ for all } x, y \in \Delta_2\}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.21.**

$$\tilde{Q}V/[\tilde{Q}V, \tilde{Q}V] \cong C_2$$

*Proof.* Abelianising the presentation leaves the generator  $\sigma$  and the relator  $\sigma^2$ . □

In Corollary 5.3 we show that the abelianisation of  $QV$  is also isomorphic to  $C_2$ .

**Question 3.22** ([Leh08, p.31]). Is  $QV$  finitely presented?

#### 4. TYPE $F_\infty$

In this section we show that the groups  $QF$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$  and  $\tilde{Q}V$  are of type  $F_\infty$ . The idea of the proof for  $QF$  is to consider  $\text{Sym}(\{0,1\}^*)$  as a countably generated Coxeter group then to form the Davis complex  $\mathcal{U}$  (a certain contractible CW-complex on which  $\text{Sym}(\{0,1\}^*)$  acts properly), and then extend this to an action of  $QF$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  which has stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$ . For  $\tilde{Q}T$  and  $\tilde{Q}V$  we substitute  $\text{Sym}(Z)$  for  $\text{Sym}(\{0,1\}^*)$ .



Let  $(W, S)$  be a countably generated Coxeter group, so  $W$  is generated by a countable set of involutions  $S$ . We start by giving a quick overview of the construction of the Davis complex of a Coxeter group, for background see [Dav08, §5, §7]. After this we show that if  $Q$  is a group acting by automorphisms on  $W$  such that  $q(S) = S$  for all  $q \in Q$  then there is an action of  $W \rtimes Q$  on the Davis complex, where the semi-direct product is formed using the given action of  $Q$  on  $W$ . This is already well-known, see for example [Dav08, §9.1], so we only give an overview.

For any subset  $T$  of  $S$  we denote by  $W_T$  the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $T$ . Recall that a *spherical subset* is a finite subset  $T$  of  $S$  for which  $W_T$  is finite. The group  $W_T$  is known as a *spherical subgroup*. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the poset of spherical subsets in  $(W, S)$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  the poset of cosets of spherical subgroups, thus elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  may be written as  $wW_T$  for  $w \in W$  and  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ . The poset  $\mathcal{C}$  admits a left action by  $W$ ,

$$w' \cdot wW_T = (w'w)W_T.$$

The *Davis Complex*  $\mathcal{U}$  is the geometric realisation of  $\mathcal{C}$  and thus admits a left action by  $W$  as well. Note that the  $W$ -orbits of  $n$ -simplices in  $\mathcal{U}$  are  $(n+1)$ -element subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$  generating a finite subgroup of  $W$  [DL98, p.2].

**Proposition 4.1.** [DL98, p.3] *The Davis complex  $\mathcal{U}$  is contractible.*

Consider a group  $Q$  acting by automorphisms on  $W$  such that every  $q \in Q$  satisfies  $q(S) = S$ . We denote by  $G$  the semi-direct product  $W \rtimes Q$  formed using this action.

The action of  $Q$  on  $S$  extends to an action of  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ , by setting

$$q\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} = \{qs_1, \dots, qs_n\}.$$

We use this to define a  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$$(h, q) \cdot wW_T = hw^{q^{-1}}W_{qT}.$$

One checks that this is well-defined and preserves the poset structure. The  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{C}$  induces a  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

The next lemma appears in [Dav08, Propostion 9.1.9], as does the first part of Proposition 4.3.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The  $W \rtimes Q$ -isotropy subgroup of  $wW_T \in \mathcal{C}$  is  $(W_T)^{w^{-1}} \rtimes Q_T$ , where  $Q_T$  is the  $Q$ -isotropy of  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  denote the set of unordered  $n$ -element subsets of  $S$ , equivalently the spherical subsets of size  $n$ . The set  $\mathcal{S}_n$  admits a  $Q$ -action, the restriction of that on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *If, for all positive integers  $n$ ,  $Q$  acts on  $\mathcal{S}_n$  with finitely many  $Q$ -orbits and with stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$ , then  $G$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Since the set of  $W$ -orbits of  $n$ -simplices in  $\mathcal{U}$  is exactly the set  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ , there are as many  $G$ -orbits of  $n$ -simplices in  $\mathcal{U}$  as  $Q$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ . Thus  $G$  acts cocompactly on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

By construction, the  $Q$ -isotropy of any point in  $\mathcal{U}$  is the  $Q$ -isotropy of some spherical subgroup  $W_T$ . Thus assumption (2) implies that the  $Q$ -stabiliser of any point has type  $F_\infty$ , so combining with Lemma 4.2 and [Bie81, Proposition 2.7], the  $G$ -stabiliser of any point in  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $F_\infty$ . Theorem 4.4 below completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** [Geo08, Theorem 7.3.1] *If there exists a contractible  $G$ -CW complex which is finite type mod  $G$  and has stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$ , then  $G$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .*

At this point we specialise to the Coxeter group  $\text{Sym}(Z)$ . Let  $S$  be the set of unordered 2-element subsets of  $Z$ . This is the set of generators of  $\text{Sym}(Z)$  given in Lemma 3.10. Recall from Section 3.3 that  $\Delta_n$  is the set of ordered  $n$ -element subsets of  $Z$ , so there is a surjective  $V$ -map  $\Delta_2 \rightarrow S$ , given by projection. Recall that  $\mathcal{S}_n$  denotes the set of unordered  $n$ -element subsets of elements of  $S$  (note this is not equivalent to the set of unordered  $2n$ -element subsets of  $S$ ). There is also a surjective  $V$ -map  $\Delta_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *The  $V$ -stabiliser of  $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\} \in \mathcal{S}_n$  is of type  $F_\infty$  for all  $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\} \in \mathcal{S}_n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $V$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{S}_n$  it is sufficient to check that the stabiliser  $\text{Stab}_V(\{(0^{2n-2}, 0^{2n-1}), \dots, (\varepsilon, \zeta)\})$  is  $F_\infty$ .

Let  $\{(0^{2n-2}, 0^{2n-1}), \dots, (\varepsilon, \zeta)\} \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , and let  $A$  be the preimage of this element under the  $V$ -map  $\Delta_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$ . There is an homomorphism  $\pi : \text{Stab}_V(A) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{2n}$  which records the permutation on the elements  $\{0^{2n-2}, \dots, 0, \varepsilon, \zeta\}$ . The kernel of  $\pi$  is exactly  $\text{Stab}_V(\{(0^{2n-2}, 0^{2n-1}), \dots, (\varepsilon, \zeta)\})$  which is  $F_\infty$  by Corollary 4.17 so combining with [Bie81, Proposition 2.7] and the fact that  $\text{Sym}_n$  is finite and hence  $F_\infty$ , we deduce that  $\text{Stab}_V(A)$  is  $F_\infty$ .

Observing that  $\text{Stab}_V(A) = \text{Stab}_V(\{(0^{2n-2}, 0^{2n-1}), \dots, (\varepsilon, \zeta)\})$  completes the proof for the  $V$ -stabiliser.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *The group  $\tilde{Q}V$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the statement for  $\tilde{Q}V$  first, using Proposition 4.3. Since  $V$  acts transitively on  $\Delta_{2n}$ , it acts transitively on  $\mathcal{S}_n$  also. The stabilisers are of type  $F_\infty$  is Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

Next, we will prove the group  $\tilde{Q}T$  is  $F_\infty$ , for which we require the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.**  *$T$  acts with finitely many orbits and stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$  on  $\Delta_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Cyc}_n$  denote the subgroup of  $\text{Sym}_n$  generated by the cyclic permutation  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ . Let  $R$  be a set of representatives of the cosets  $\text{Sym}_n / \text{Cyc}_n$ . For any  $\sigma \in R$ , we define a  $T$ -map

$$\begin{aligned} i_\sigma : \Lambda_n &\longrightarrow \Delta_n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\longmapsto (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking a product of these gives an  $T$ -map,

$$\coprod_{\sigma \in R} \Lambda_n \xrightarrow{i_\sigma} \Delta_n,$$

which one checks is a bijection. Since the  $T$ -stabiliser of any element of  $\Lambda_n$  is  $F_\infty$  (Lemma 3.9), the  $T$ -stabiliser of any element of  $\Delta_n$  is also.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *The group  $\tilde{Q}T$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Again, we let  $S$  be the unordered 2-element subsets of  $Z$ . Using the argument of Lemma 4.5 we deduce from Lemma 4.7 that  $T$  acts with finitely many orbits and with stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$  on  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . The statement follows from Proposition 4.3.  $\square$

To prove that  $QF$  is of type  $F_\infty$ , we will again use Proposition 4.3, but now we are considering the  $F$ -action on the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  so we let  $S$  be the unordered 2-element subsets of  $\{0, 1\}^*$ . Thus the correct analogue of Lemma 4.7 is the following.

**Lemma 4.9.**  *$F$  acts with finitely many orbits and stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$  on*

$$X_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_1^n \{0, 1\}^* : x_i \neq x_j \forall i \neq j\}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.7, we construct a bijection of  $F$ -sets

$$\coprod_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_n} \Sigma_n \xrightarrow{\coprod i_\sigma} X_n,$$

where  $i_\sigma$  is the map

$$\begin{aligned} i_\sigma : \Sigma_n &\longrightarrow X_n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\longmapsto (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since the  $F$ -stabiliser of any element of  $\Sigma_n$  is  $F_\infty$  (Lemma 3.3), the  $F$ -stabiliser of any element of  $X_n$  is also.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *The group  $QF$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Using the argument of Lemma 4.5 we deduce from Lemma 4.9 that  $F$  acts with finitely many orbits and stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$  on  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . The statement now follows from Proposition 4.3.  $\square$

**4.1. The group  $\text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, \dots, 0, \varepsilon, \zeta))$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .** In this section we study the action of  $V$  on  $\Delta_n$  and prove in Corollary 4.17 that it acts with stabilisers of type  $F_\infty$ .

Let  $T$  be a rooted subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  and recall the definition of

$$b_T : \text{nodes}(T) \cup \{\zeta\} \longrightarrow \text{leaves}(T)$$

from Section 2.1. For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  we define  $l_x(T)$  to be the word length in  $\{0, 1\}^*$  of the leaf  $b_T(x)$ . This definition has already been seen in Section 3.3, just before Lemma 3.17.

Let  $v \in V$  satisfy  $\iota(v)(x) = x$  and let  $(L, R, f)$  be a representative for  $v$ . We define

$$\tilde{\chi}_x(v) = l_x(L) - l_x(R).$$


**Lemma 4.11.** *For any  $x \in Z$ , the map  $\tilde{\chi}_x$  is a group homomorphism  $\text{Stab}_V(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{\chi}_x$  is defined only on  $\text{Stab}_V(x)$ , the values of  $\tilde{\chi}_x$  are invariant under adding carets. Thus, since any two tree diagram representatives of an element of  $V$  have a common expansion, values taken by  $\tilde{\chi}_x$  don't depend on the tree diagram representative. Let  $v, w$  be any two elements of  $\text{Stab}_V(x)$ , let  $(L, R, f)$  be a tree diagram representative for  $v$  and let  $(R, S, g)$  be a tree diagram representative for  $w$ . Then,

$$\tilde{\chi}_x(vw) = l_x(L) - l_x(S) = l_x(L) - l_x(R) + l_x(R) - l_x(S) = \tilde{\chi}_x(f) + \tilde{\chi}_x(g). \quad \square$$

Let  $T_1$  be the tree with 2 leaves and let  $T_n$  be obtained from  $T_{n-1}$  by adjoining carets to the first and second leaves (measuring from smallest to largest using  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ ). In particular,  $T_n$  has  $2n$  leaves. See Figure 12 for a picture of  $T_3$ . Let  $L_n$  be the subgroup of  $V$  consisting of elements which fix the full subtrees with root the  $2i^{\text{th}}$ -leaf of  $T_n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For example, the group  $L_3$  is the subgroup of  $V$  fixing the full subtrees under 001, 011, and 11. One checks that  $L_n \in \text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, \dots, 0, \varepsilon, \zeta))$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** *The group  $L_n$  is isomorphic to  $V$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .*

FIGURE 12. The tree  $T_3$  from the proof of Lemma 4.12.

*Proof.* The group  $L_n$  is a copy of  $V_{2,n}$ , Thompson's group  $V$  but on the disjoint union of  $n$ -trees. These trees are exactly the full subtrees with roots the  $(2i-1)^{\text{th}}$ -leaves of  $T_n$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . There is a result of Higman that  $V_{2,n}$  is isomorphic to  $V$  [Hig74].  $\square$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\lambda_{n,i}$  be the element of  $F$  with tree diagram representative  $(L_{n,i}, R_{n,i})$  where  $L_{n,i}$  is obtained from  $T_n$  by adjoining a caret to the  $2i^{\text{th}}$ -leaf, and  $R_{n,i}$  is obtained from  $T_n$  by adjoining a caret to the  $(2i-1)^{\text{th}}$ -leaf of  $T_n$ . For example,  $\lambda_{2,1}$  and  $\lambda_{2,2}$  are the elements  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of Section 3.3 and  $\lambda_{3,2}$  is shown in Figure 13.

One calculates that:

**Lemma 4.13.** *For all positive integers  $n$ , all integers  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ , and all integers  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_\zeta &: L_n \mapsto 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon &: L_n \mapsto 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{0^i} &: L_n \mapsto 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_\zeta &: \lambda_{n,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = n, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon &: \lambda_{n,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = n-1, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{0^i} &: \lambda_{n,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = n-1-i, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $v \in \text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, \dots, \varepsilon, \zeta))$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exist non-negative integers  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  such that the element  $\lambda_{n,1}^{-a_1} \cdots \lambda_{n,n}^{-a_n} v \lambda_{n,n}^{b_1} \lambda_{n,1}^{b_n}$  is contained in  $L_n$ .*

*Proof.* In the case  $n=2$  this is Lemma 3.17, for  $n>2$  the proof is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.15.** *The group  $\text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, 0^{n-1}, \dots, \varepsilon, \zeta))$  is generated by  $L_n$  and  $\lambda_{n,i}$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ .*


*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 4.14.  $\square$

If  $G$  is a group,  $\theta: G \rightarrow G$  an endomorphism, and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  any symbol, then we denote by  $G *_{\tilde{\lambda}, \theta}$  the corresponding HNN-extension. Recall that an HNN-extension is said to be *ascending* if  $\theta$  is injective.

**Proposition 4.16.**

$$\text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, 0^{n-2}, \dots, \varepsilon, \zeta)) \cong L_n *_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, \theta_1} *_{\tilde{\lambda}_2, \theta_2} \cdots *_{\tilde{\lambda}_n, \theta_n},$$

where the injective homomorphisms  $\theta_i$  correspond to conjugation by  $\lambda_{n,i}^{-1}$ .


 FIGURE 13.  $\lambda_{3,2}$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\theta_i : L_n \rightarrow L_n$  be the injective homomorphism  $v \mapsto \lambda_{n,i} v \lambda_{n,i}^{-1}$ , and let

$$\Phi : (V' *_{\tilde{\mu}, \theta_1} *_{\tilde{\lambda}_i, \theta_2} \dots) \longrightarrow \text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, 0^{n-1}, \dots, \varepsilon, \zeta)),$$

be the map specialising  $\tilde{\lambda}_i$  to  $\lambda_{n,i}^{-1}$ . Since  $L_n$  together with the  $\lambda_{n,i}$  generate  $\text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, \dots, \varepsilon, \zeta))$  (Lemma 4.15), the map  $\Phi$  is a surjection. Let  $v \in \text{Ker } \Phi$ , since the HNN-extensions are ascending, we can write

$$v = \lambda_1^{-a_1} \lambda_2^{-a_2} \dots \lambda_n^{-a_n} w \lambda_n^{b_n} \dots \lambda_1^{b_1},$$

for some  $w \in L_n$  and some non-negative integers  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

For any fixed  $i$ , the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} L_n *_{\tilde{\lambda}_1, \theta_1} *_{\tilde{\lambda}_2, \theta_2} \dots *_{\tilde{\lambda}_n, \theta_n} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ L_n &\longmapsto 0 \\ \tilde{\lambda}_j &\longmapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

factors through  $\text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, \dots, \varepsilon, \zeta))$  using Lemma 4.13, thus  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i$ . In particular,  $w \in \text{Ker } \Phi$ , thus  $w = 1$ , since  $\Phi$  is the identity when restricted to  $L_n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.17.** *Given  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Delta_n$ , the group  $\text{Stab}_V((x_1, \dots, x_n))$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $V$  acts transitively on  $\Delta_n$  it is sufficient to check that the stabiliser  $\text{Stab}_V((0^{n-2}, \dots, \varepsilon, \zeta))$  is  $F_\infty$ . This follows since by Lemma 4.12  $L_n$  is isomorphic to  $V$  and hence  $F_\infty$  and HNN-extensions of groups of type  $F_\infty$  are themselves  $F_\infty$  [Bie81, Proposition 2.13(b)].  $\square$

## 5. NORMAL SUBGROUPS

In this section we give a complete description of the normal subgroups of  $QF$ ,  $QT$ ,  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$ .

Recall that  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  has only one non-trivial proper normal subgroup, this is the finite support alternating group  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , the subgroup of all permutations with even parity. Moreover,  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  and  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  are normal in the infinite support symmetric group on  $\{0, 1\}^*$ , because conjugating any finite permutation by any bijection  $\{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  preserves the cycle type of the finite permutation.

Recall also that  $F/[F, F] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  but, since  $T$  and  $V$  are simple and non-abelian,  $[T, T] \cong T$  and  $[V, V] \cong V$  [CFP96, Theorems 4.1, 5.8, 6.9].

**Theorem 5.1** (Normal subgroups of  $QF$ ).

- (1) *A non-trivial normal subgroup of  $QF$  is either  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , or contains*

$$[QF, QF] = \text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F].$$

- (2) *A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $\tilde{Q}T$  is either  $\text{Alt}(Z)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(Z)$ , or*

$$[\tilde{Q}T, \tilde{Q}T] = \text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T.$$

- (3) *A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $\tilde{Q}V$  is either  $\text{Alt}(Z)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(Z)$ , or*

$$[\tilde{Q}V, \tilde{Q}V] = \text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V.$$

- (4) *A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $QT$  is one of either  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , or*

$$[QT, QT] = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T) \cap QT.$$

*Moreover,  $(\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T) \cap QT$  is an extension of  $T$  by  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ .*

- (5) *A proper non-trivial normal subgroup of  $QV$  is one of either  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ ,  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , or*

$$[QV, QV] = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV.$$

*Moreover,  $(\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV$  is an extension of  $V$  by  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ .*

This theorem is similar to results for the braided Thompson groups  $F_{\text{br}}$  and  $V_{\text{br}}$ . The braided Thompson group  $F_{\text{br}}$  is a split extension with kernel the group  $P_{\text{br}}$ , a direct limit of finite pure braid groups, and quotient  $F$ . The braided Thompson group  $V_{\text{br}}$  is a non-split extension with kernel  $P_{\text{br}}$  and quotient  $V$ . Zaremsky shows that for any normal subgroup  $N$  of  $F_{\text{br}}$ , either  $N \leq P_{\text{br}}$  or  $[F_{\text{br}}, F_{\text{br}}] \leq N$  [Zar14, Theorem 2.1], and a proper normal subgroup  $N$  of  $V_{\text{br}}$  is necessarily contained in  $P_{\text{br}}$  [Zar14, Corollary 2.8]. There is no braided version of Thompson's group  $T$ . We borrow some of the methods in this section from [Zar14, §2].

The next proposition should be compared with [Ore51, Theorem 5], that every element of the full permutation group on an infinite set is a commutator, so in particular the commutator subgroup of the full permutation group on  $\{0, 1\}^*$  is itself.

We write  $\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle_G$  for the normal closure in  $G$  of a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , and for an element  $g \in G$  we write  $\langle\langle g \rangle\rangle_G$  for the normal subgroup generated by  $g$ .

**Proposition 5.2.**

- (1)  $\langle\langle [F, F] \rangle\rangle_{QF} = [QF, QF] = \text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F]$ .

- (2)  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{\tilde{Q}T} = [\tilde{Q}T, \tilde{Q}T] = \text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T$ .
- (3)  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{\tilde{Q}V} = [\tilde{Q}V, \tilde{Q}V] = \text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V$ .
- (4)  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QT} = [QT, QT] = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes T) \cap QT$ , *moreover this subgroup is an extension with kernel  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  and quotient  $T$ .*
- (5)  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QV} = [QV, QV] = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV$ , *moreover this subgroup is an extension with kernel  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  and quotient  $V$ .*

*Proof.* For part (1), consider  $[\alpha, \beta] \in [\iota F, \iota F]$  then for  $\sigma_{1,11} \in \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , we have

$$\sigma_{1,11}[\alpha, \beta]^{-1} \sigma_{1,11}[\alpha, \beta] \in \langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle.$$

Calculating explicitly,

$$\sigma_{1,11}[\alpha, \beta]^{-1} \sigma_{1,11}[\alpha, \beta] = \sigma_{1,11,1110}.$$

The smallest normal subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  containing a 3-cycle is  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  so  $\text{Ker } \pi|_{\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle} = \text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ . Combining this with the fact that  $[F, F] \leq \pi(\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle)$  gives

$$\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F] \leq \langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle.$$

Since  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QF} \leq [QF, QF]$ , we know that  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F] \leq [QF, QF]$  and we now show they are equal.

Consider the following map induced by  $\pi$ ,

$$\pi' : QF/(\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F]) \longrightarrow F/[F, F].$$

Applying  $\pi'$  to the quotient  $[QF, QF]/(\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F])$  gives the trivial group. Since the kernel of  $\pi'$  is contained in  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)/\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) = C_2$ , the quotient  $[QF, QF]/(\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F])$  is either trivial or  $C_2$ . However, if the quotient  $[QF, QF]/(\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F])$  was  $C_2$  then  $[QF, QF] = \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F]$  which would contradict Corollary 3.7. Hence  $[QF, QF] = \text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*) \rtimes [F, F]$ . This completes the proof of (1).

For parts (2) and (3) substitute Corollary 3.14 or Corollary 3.21 for Corollary 3.7 and use that  $[T, T] \cong T$  and  $[V, V] \cong V$  [CFP96, Theorems 5.8, 6.9].

For part (5), by the argument at the beginning of this proof,  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  is contained in  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QV}$  and  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QV}$  cannot contain any finite cycle with odd parity, else  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{\tilde{Q}V}$  would also, and we already know that it doesn't. Also,  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QV}$  projects onto a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $V$ , so it must project onto  $V$ . We conclude that  $\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QV}$  is an extension of  $V$  by  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ . Observe that,

$$\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QV} \leq \left( \langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{\tilde{Q}V} \right) \cap QV = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV.$$

Since  $(\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV$  is also an extension of  $V$  by  $\text{Alt}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , we necessarily have

$$\langle\langle \iota F, \iota F \rangle\rangle_{QV} = (\text{Alt}(Z) \rtimes V) \cap QV.$$

The proof of (4) is similar to that of (5). □

**Corollary 5.3.** *The abelianisation of  $QF$  is isomorphic  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus C_2$  and the abelianisations of  $QT$ ,  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$  are all isomorphic to  $C_2$ .*

**Lemma 5.4.**

- (1) *Let  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  and  $1 \neq f \in \iota F$  be arbitrary, then there exists  $h \in \iota F$  such that  $[h, \sigma] = 1$  but  $[h, f] \neq 1$ .*
- (2) *Let  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(Z)$  and  $1 \neq v \in \iota V$  be arbitrary, then there exists  $h \in \iota F$  such that  $[h, \sigma] = 1$  by  $[h, f] \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be the full sub-tree under some  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , where  $T$  is chosen to intersect the support of  $f$  but not the support of  $\sigma$ , this is possible since the support of  $f$  is infinite but the support of  $\sigma$  is finite. Thus any  $h$  with  $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq T$  satisfies  $[h, \sigma] = 1$ .

Let  $F'$  denote the copy of  $F$  acting on  $T$ , with the usual generators denoted  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$ . If  $f$  restricts to an action on  $T$  then, since  $F'$  is centreless, there exists some  $h \in F'$  which doesn't commute with  $f$ . If  $f$  doesn't restrict to an action on  $T$  then there is necessarily an orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  which is neither contained in  $T$  nor its complement. Since  $\alpha'$  acts non-trivially on all of  $T$ , one of the  $\alpha'$  orbits intersects  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  but is not equal to  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , thus  $\alpha'$  and  $h$  do not commute.

The proof of (2) is analagous.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $G$  be one of  $QF$ ,  $QT$ ,  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $\tilde{Q}V$ , and let  $f \in G$  such that  $\pi(f) \neq 1$ , then  $\langle\langle f \rangle\rangle_G \cap \iota F \neq \{\text{id}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma f \in QF$ , so that  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  and  $f \in \iota F$  with  $\pi(f) \neq 1$ . Let  $h \in \iota F$  be as in Lemma 5.4(1), then

$$\sigma h f h^{-1} = h \sigma f h^{-1} \in \langle\langle \sigma f \rangle\rangle.$$

So,

$$[f, h] = (\sigma f)^{-1} \sigma h f h^{-1} \in \langle\langle \sigma f \rangle\rangle,$$

since  $[f, h]$  is a non-trivial element of  $\iota F$ , this is sufficient. This proves the statement for  $QF$ .

For  $G = \tilde{Q}T$  or  $\tilde{Q}V$ , start with an element  $\sigma t \in \tilde{Q}T$  (respectively  $\sigma v \in \tilde{Q}V$ ), so that  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(Z)$  and  $t \in \iota T$  (resp.  $t \in \iota V$ ). Let  $h \in \iota F$  be as in Lemma 5.4(2), then the proof is as for  $QF$ .

For  $G = QT$  or  $QV$ , start with  $\sigma t \in QT$  (respectively  $\sigma v \in QV$ ), so that  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  and  $t \in \iota T$  (resp.  $t \in \iota V$ ). Let  $h \in \iota F$  be as in Lemma 5.4(1), then the proof is as for  $QF$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $QF$  with  $N \not\leq \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$ , then using Lemma 5.5  $N$  contains an element  $f \in \iota F$ . For any  $g \in \iota F$ , we have  $g^{-1} f^{-1} g \in N$  and hence

$$[g, f] = g^{-1} f^{-1} g f \in N.$$

Without loss of generality,  $f \in [\iota F, \iota F]$ .

Since  $[\iota F, \iota F]$  is simple [CFP96, Theorem 4.5], we deduce that  $[\iota F, \iota F] \leq N$ , and thus  $\langle\langle [\iota F, \iota F] \rangle\rangle \leq N$ . Proposition 5.2(1) completes the proof.

For the other parts, start with an element  $f$  of either  $\tilde{Q}T$ ,  $\tilde{Q}V$ ,  $QT$ , or  $QV$  and then use the appropriate parts of Lemmas 5.5 and Proposition 5.2.  $\square$

## 6. BIERI–NEUMANN–STREBEL–RENZ INVARIANTS

In this section we compute the Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants  $\Sigma^i(QF)$  and  $\Sigma^i(QF, R)$  for any commutative ring  $R$ . The invariant  $\Sigma^1(G)$  was introduced by Bieri, Neumann, and Strebel in [BNS87] and the higher invariants  $\Sigma^i(QF)$  for  $i \geq 2$  were introduced in [BR88]. In general, for any group  $G$ , there is a hierarchy of invariants

$$\Sigma^1(G) \supseteq \Sigma^2(G) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \Sigma^i(G) \supseteq \cdots,$$

furthermore we set  $\Sigma^\infty(G) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \Sigma^i(G)$ . There are also homological versions  $\Sigma^i(G, R)$  for any commutative ring  $R$  fitting into a similar hierarchy. Furthermore,  $\Sigma^i(G) \subseteq \Sigma^i(G, R)$  for all  $i$  all commutative rings  $R$  and also  $\Sigma^1(G, R) = \Sigma^1(G)$  for all commutative rings  $R$ .



Some of the interest in the Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants comes from the following theorem, which classifies the finiteness length of normal subgroups  $N$  of a group  $G$  which contain the commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$ .

**Theorem 6.1** ([BR88, Theorem B][Ren89]). *Let  $G$  be a group of type  $F_n$  (respectively  $FP_n$  over  $R$ ) and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup containing the commutator  $[G, G]$ . Then  $N$  is  $F_n$  (resp.  $FP_n$  over  $R$ ) if and only if every non-zero character  $\chi$  of  $G$  such that  $\chi(N) = 0$  satisfies  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^n(G)$  (resp.  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^n(G, R)$ ).*

Recall that a *character* of a group  $G$  is a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is viewed as a group under addition, and the *character sphere*  $S(G)$  is the set of equivalence classes of non-zero characters modulo multiplication by a positive real number. Thus  $S(G)$  is isomorphic to a sphere of dimension  $n - 1$  where  $n$  is the torsion-free rank of  $G/[G, G]$ .

Since  $F/[F, F] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , the character sphere  $S(F)$  is isomorphic to  $S^1$ . We denote by  $\chi_0$  and  $\chi_1$  the two characters of  $F$  given by  $\chi_0(A) = -1$ ,  $\chi_0(B) = 0$ ,  $\chi_1(A) = 1$ , and  $\chi_1(B) = 1$ , these two characters are linearly independent and hence do not represent antipodal points on  $S(F)$ .

**Theorem 6.2** (The Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants of  $F$  [BGK10]). *The character sphere  $S(F)$  is isomorphic to  $S^1$  and, for any commutative ring  $R$ ,*

- (1)  $\Sigma^1(F, R) = \Sigma^1(F) = S(F) \setminus \{[\chi_0], [\chi_1]\}$ .
- (2)  $\Sigma^i(F, R) = \Sigma^i(F) = S(F) \setminus \{[a\chi_0 + b\chi_1] : a, b \geq 0\}$  for all  $i \geq 2$ .

Since the abelianisation of  $QF$  also has torsion-free rank 2, the character sphere  $S(QF)$  is again isomorphic to  $S^1$ . By pre-composing  $\chi_0$  and  $\chi_1$  with  $\pi : QF \rightarrow F$  we obtain characters  $\pi^*\chi_0$  and  $\pi^*\chi_1$ . Once again these are linearly independent. In this section we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.3** (The Bieri–Neumann–Strebel–Renz invariants of  $QF$ ). *The character sphere  $S(QF)$  is isomorphic to  $S^1$  and, for any ring  $R$ ,*

- (1)  $\Sigma^1(QF, R) = \Sigma^1(QF) = S(QF) \setminus \{[\pi^*\chi_0], [\pi^*\chi_1]\}$ .
- (2)  $\Sigma^i(QF, R) = \Sigma^i(QF) = S(QF) \setminus \{[a\pi^*\chi_0 + b\pi^*\chi_1] : a, b \geq 0\}$  for all  $i \geq 2$ .

*Remark 6.4* ( $\nu$ -symmetry). For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , let  $\bar{x}$  be the element obtained by swapping 0 and 1 in the word  $x$ . The map  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  induces an automorphism  $\nu : QV \rightarrow QV$ , which projects to the automorphism of  $F$  denoted  $\nu$  in [BGK10, §1.4]. Furthermore,  $\nu$  induces an automorphism  $\nu^*$  of  $\Sigma^i(QF)$  for all  $i$ , and one checks that  $\nu^*[\chi_0] = [\chi_1]$ . Following Bieri, Geoghegan, and Kochloukova we will call this the  $\nu$ -symmetry of  $\Sigma^i(QF)$ . Recall that for any group  $G$  the invariants  $\Sigma^i(G)$  and  $\Sigma^i(G, R)$  are invariant under automorphisms of  $G$ .

**Lemma 6.5** ([Mei97, Corollary 2.8][Mei96, Corollary 3.12]). *Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow Q$  be an split surjection and  $\chi$  a character of  $Q$ . Then if  $[\pi^*\chi] \in \Sigma^i(G)$  (respectively  $\Sigma^i(G, R)$ ) then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^i(Q)$  (resp.  $\Sigma^i(Q, R)$ ).*

**Theorem 6.6** ([BGK10, Theorems 2.1(1), 2.3]). *Let  $H$  be a group of type  $F_\infty$  and let  $G = H*_{\theta,t}$  be an ascending HNN-extension such that  $\theta$  is not surjective.*

- (1) *Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the character given by  $\chi(H) = 1$  and  $\chi(t) = 1$ , then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^\infty(G)$ .*
- (2) *Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a character such that  $\chi|_H \neq 0$  and  $[\chi|_H] \in \Sigma^\infty(H)$  then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^\infty(G)$ , for any  $i \geq 0$ .*

Recall that the characters  $\pi^*\chi_i$  are completely determined by the values they take on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (defined in Remark 2.4) and satisfy  $\pi^*\chi_i(\alpha) = \chi_i(A)$  and  $\pi^*\chi_i(\beta) = \chi_i(B)$  for all  $i$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are the standard generators of  $F$ .

We now show that  $QF$  can be written as an ascending HNN-extension similarly to those of  $F$  [BG84, Proposition 1.7] and  $BF$  [Zar14, Lemma 1.4].

Let  $QF(1)$  be the subgroup of  $QF$  which fixes all of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  except the subtree with root 1. It is easy to see that  $QF(1)$  is isomorphic to  $QF$ . Using Theorem 3.6 we see that  $QF$  is generated by  $\{\alpha, \beta, \sigma_{\varepsilon, 1}\}$ . This shows that  $QF(1)$  is generated by  $\beta, \beta^\alpha$  and  $\sigma_{1, 11} = \sigma_{\varepsilon, 1}^\alpha$ . Moreover, conjugating  $QF(1)$  by  $\alpha$  maps  $QF(1)$  isomorphically onto the subgroup of  $QF$  which fixes all but the subtree with root 11.

**Lemma 6.7.** *The group  $QF$  can be written as an ascending HNN-extension  $QF = QF(1)*_{\theta, t}$ , where  $QF(1) \cong QF$ .*

The proof is similar to [Zar14, Lemma 1.4].

*Proof.* Let  $\theta : QF(1) \rightarrow QF(1)$  be the monomorphism  $\tau \mapsto \alpha^{-1}\tau\alpha$  and let  $\psi : QF(1)*_{\theta, t} \rightarrow QF$  be the map given by setting  $t$  to be  $\alpha$ . The map  $\psi$  is surjective since  $\psi(\beta) = \beta$ ,  $\psi(t) = \alpha$ , and  $\psi(\sigma_{1, 11}^{t^{-1}}) = \sigma_{\varepsilon, 1}$ .

Let  $g \in \text{Ker } \psi$ , since  $QF(1)*_{\theta, t}$  is an ascending HNN-extension we can write  $g$  in normal form as  $g = t^n h t^{-m}$  where  $n, m \geq 0$  and  $h \in QF(1)$ . Let  $\chi : QF(1)*_{\theta, t} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the homomorphism sending  $t$  to 1 and sending  $QF(1)$  to 0. The homomorphism  $\chi$  factors through  $\psi$ —one can check there is a homomorphism  $QF \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  sending  $\alpha$  to 1 and  $\beta, \sigma_{\varepsilon, 1}$  to 0. Thus, if  $g \in \text{Ker } \psi$  then  $g \in \text{Ker } \chi$  and so  $n = m$ . In particular,  $\alpha^n \psi(h) \alpha^{-n} = \text{id}_{QF}$  so  $h \in \text{Ker } \psi$ . However  $\psi$  is the identity on  $QF(1)$ , thus  $h = 1$  and  $\psi$  is injective.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.3.* Lemma 6.5 implies that for all  $i$ , we have  $\Sigma^i(QF, R) \subseteq \Sigma^i(F, R)$ . In particular,

$$\Sigma^2(QF, R) \subseteq S(QF) \setminus \{[a\pi^*\chi_0 + b\pi^*\chi_1] : a, b \geq 0\}$$

The character which takes 1 on  $\alpha$  and 0 elsewhere is exactly  $-\pi^*\chi_0$  so using Theorem 6.6(1) we find that  $[-\pi^*\chi_0] \in \Sigma^\infty(QF)$  and by Remark 6.4,  $[-\pi^*\chi_1] \in \Sigma^\infty(QF)$  as well.

Next we claim that

$$\{[\chi] \in S(QF) : \chi(\beta) < 0\} \subseteq \Sigma^\infty(QF),$$

the argument is similar to that of [BGK10, Corollary 2.4]. Let  $\chi : QF \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a character with  $\chi(\beta) < 0$ , then

$$\psi^*[\chi|_{QF(1)}](\alpha) = \chi(\beta) < 0,$$

$$\psi^*[\chi|_{QF(1)}](\beta) = \chi(\beta^\alpha) = \chi(\beta) < 0.$$

So  $\psi^*[\chi|_{QF(1)}] = -[\chi_1]$  and thus  $[\chi|_{QF(1)}] \in \Sigma^\infty(QF(1))$ . Since  $QF(1)$  is isomorphic to  $QF$  and hence of type  $F_\infty$  (Theorem 4.10), applying Theorem 6.6(2) completes the claim.

The above implies that  $[a\chi_0 + b\chi_1] \in \Sigma^\infty(QF)$  if  $b < 0$ , so by  $\nu$  symmetry  $[a\chi_0 + b\chi_1] \in \Sigma^\infty(QF)$  if  $a < 0$  also. Thus,

$$\{[a\pi^*\chi_0 + b\pi^*\chi_1] : a, b \geq 0\} \subseteq \Sigma^\infty(QF)^c$$

which completes the descriptions of  $\Sigma^i(QF)$  and  $\Sigma^i(QF, R)$  for all  $i \geq 2$ .

It remains to complete the description of  $\Sigma^1(QF)$ , we use Theorem 6.1 for this. Let  $\chi \in S(QF) \setminus \{[\pi^*\chi_0], [\pi^*\chi_1]\}$  and consider the normal subgroup  $N = \text{Ker } \pi^*\chi$ . We will show that  $N$  is finitely generated, so necessarily  $\chi \in \Sigma^1$ .

From Theorem 6.2 we know that  $\pi(N)$  is finitely generated. Since  $\text{Ker } \pi|_N = \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  we have a group extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \pi(N) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Thus, using the method of Section 3 it is sufficient to find a generating set of  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1\}^*)$  on which  $\pi(N)$  acts by permutations and with finitely many orbits,

this is given by Lemma 6.8 below, since a generating set for  $\text{Sym}(\{0,1\}^*)$  is given by the set  $\Sigma_2$ , which we recall below.  $\square$

Recall from Section 3.1 that for all  $n$ ,

$$\Sigma_n = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_1^n \{0,1\}^* : x_1 \preceq_{\text{lex}} x_2 \preceq_{\text{lex}} \dots \preceq_{\text{lex}} x_n \right\},$$

and  $\Sigma_n$  admits an action of  $F$  via the splitting  $\iota : F \rightarrow QF$ , the inclusion  $QF \rightarrow QV$ , and the usual action of  $QV$  on  $\{0,1\}^*$ .

Recall the definition of  $\tilde{\chi}_\zeta$  from Section 4.1. By comparing the values they take on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , one checks that  $\pi^* \chi_1 = \tilde{\chi}_\zeta$ .

**Lemma 6.8.** *Let  $\chi \in S(QF)$  and  $N = \text{Ker } \chi$  then  $\pi(N)$  acts transitively on  $\Sigma_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Sigma_2$  and let  $(L, R)$  be a representative for an element  $f$  of  $F$  such that  $\iota(f)(x_1) = 0$  and  $\iota(f)(x_2) = \varepsilon$  (use, for example, Lemma 3.2). Applying Lemma 6.9 below with  $a = -\chi_1(f)$  gives an element  $f_1$ , and applying the lemma a second time with  $a = -\chi_0(f)$  gives a second element  $f_0$ .

We claim that  $\nu(f_0)f_1f$  is the required element of  $F$ . Note that since  $f_0$  fixes  $\varepsilon$  and 1 by construction, the element  $\nu(f_0)$  fixes  $\bar{1} = 0$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$ . Finally,

$$\chi_0(\nu(f_0)f_1f) = \chi_0(\nu(f_0)) + \chi_0(f_1) + \chi_0(f) = \chi_1(f_0) + \chi_0(f) = 0,$$

and similarly one shows that  $\chi_1(\nu(f_0)f_1f) = 0$ .

Let  $\tau = \iota(\nu(f_0)f_1f)$ . Since  $\chi_1(\nu(f_0)f_1f) = \chi_0(\nu(f_0)f_1f) = 0$ , also  $\pi^* \chi_1(\tau) = \pi^* \chi_0(\tau) = 0$ , so the element  $\tau$  is in the kernel of any character in  $S(QF)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.9.** *For any integer  $a$  there exists an element  $f \in F$  such that  $\iota(f) \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon$ ,  $\iota(f) \cdot 0 = 0$ ,  $\iota(f) \cdot 1 = 1$ ,  $\chi_0 \iota(f) = 0$ , and  $\chi_1 \iota(f) = a$ .*

*Proof.* Assume for now that  $a > 0$ . Let  $T$  be the smallest tree containing  $\varepsilon$ , 1, and 11 as nodes. Let  $L$  be obtained from  $T$  by attaching  $a$  carets iteratively to the largest leaf of  $T$  (using the  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  ordering). Let  $R$  be obtained from  $T$  by attaching  $a$  carets iteratively to the second largest caret of  $T$ . Denote by  $f$  the element of  $F$  with tree diagram representative  $(L, R)$ . For example when  $a = 2$  we obtain the element of Figure 14. One checks that  $\chi_1(f) = a$  and that  $\iota(f)$  fixes  $\varepsilon$ , 0, and 1.

If  $a < 0$  then perform the steps above for  $-a$  and then replace  $f_0$  by  $f_0^{-1}$ .  $\square$




FIGURE 14. Element  $f$  from Lemma 6.9 when  $a = 2$ .

## 7. RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHER GENERALISATIONS OF THOMPSON'S GROUPS

In [Thu16] Thumann studies the class of *Operad groups*, this class contains the generalised Thompson groups  $F_{n,r}$  and  $V_{n,r}$ , the higher dimensional Thompson groups  $nV$ , and the braided Thompson groups  $BV$ . Moreover, the class of *diagram groups* appearing in [GS97] may be described as Operad groups, as may the class of *self-similarity groups* described by Hughes [Hug09]—for a proof of these facts see [Thu16, §3.5]. For each of these classes of groups there exist results showing that certain groups in the class are of type  $F_\infty$  [Far03, Theorem 1.3][FH12, Theorem 1.1][Thu16, Theorem 4.3]. We show in Section 7.1 that while  $QV$  admits an easy description in all three of these classes, it cannot be proved to be of type  $F_\infty$  using these descriptions and the results listed above.

There is a generalisation of Thompson's groups due to Martínez-Pérez and Nucinkis, describing a family of automorphism groups of Cantor algebras [MPN13]. We show in Proposition 7.4 that none of the groups studied in this paper appear in this family of groups.

Witzel and Zaremsky have introduced *Thompson groups for systems of groups* [WZ14], this class contains the Thompson groups  $F$  and  $V$  and also the braided Thompson groups  $BF$  and  $BV$ . They study the finiteness lengths of some groups in this class. We do not know of a way to express any of the groups studied here as a group in this class and we are unsure of the relationship between Witzel–Zaremsky's class of Thompson groups for systems of groups and either Thumann's class of Operad groups or the class of groups of Martínez-Pérez and Nucinkis.

**7.1. Operad groups.** Following [Thu16] we denote by

$$\text{End} : \mathbf{MON} \rightarrow \mathbf{OP}$$

the endomorphism functor taking a strict monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  to the endomorphism operad  $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the left adjoint of  $\text{End}$ . Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$  and an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  we write  $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}, X)$  for the fundamental group of  $\mathcal{C}$  based at  $X$ . The *operad group* associated to an operad  $\mathcal{O}$  and object  $X \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$  is the group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}), X)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{QV}$  be the operad with colours  $l$  and  $n$  (one should think of these as representing a leaf and a node of a finite subtree of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$ ) and with a single operation  $\mathcal{O}(l, n, l; l) = \{\varphi\}$  (one should think of this operation as adding a caret).

**Lemma 7.1.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$QV \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{QV}), l).$$

*Proof.* This is essentially [FH14, Example 4.4], where Farley and Hughes describe  $QV$  as a braided diagram group over the semi-group presentation

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle l, n : (l, lnl) \rangle.$$

One can then convert this to a description of  $QV$  as a group acting on a compact ultrametric space via a small similarity structure [FH14, Theorem 4.12] and then in turn to a description as an operad group [Thu16, §3.5]. Following this method one obtains the operad  $\mathcal{O}_{QV}$  given above.  $\square$

The next lemma demonstrates that one cannot use the result of Thumann [Thu16, Theorem 4.3]) to show that  $QV$  is  $F_\infty$  because  $\mathcal{O}_{QV}$  is not colour-tame, see [Thu16, Definition 4.2]. Recall that a colour word is said to be *reduced* if no subword is in the domain of a non-identity operation.

**Lemma 7.2.**  *$\mathcal{O}_{QV}$  is not colour-tame.*

*Proof.* The operad  $\mathcal{O}_{QV}$  is planar and has a finite set of colours, however the colour word

$$\overbrace{n \cdot n \cdots n}^{i \text{ times}},$$

is reduced for all  $i \geq 0$ .  $\square$

Using [FH14, Theorem 4.12] to convert the description of  $QV$  as a braided diagram group over the semi-group presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  to a description of  $QV$  as a self-similarity group gives the following. Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}$  be the tree obtained from  $\mathcal{T}_{2,c}$  by forgetting the colouring and adding to every node a single child. Let  $X$  be the ultrametric space  $X = \text{Ends}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}})$  obtained by setting  $d_X(p, p') = e^{-i}$  if  $p$  and  $p'$  are any two paths (without backtracking) which contain exactly  $i$  edges in common. Balls in  $X$  are all of the form

$$B_v = \{p \in \text{Ends}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}) : v \text{ lies on } p\},$$

for some vertex in  $v$ . If  $v$  and  $w$  are both leaves or both nodes then  $\text{Sim}_X(B_v, B_w)$  contains a single element and otherwise  $\text{Sim}_X(B_v, B_w)$  is empty.

The next lemma demonstrates that one cannot use the result of Farley and Hughes [FH12, Theorem 1.1] together with the description of  $QV$  as the self-similarity group associated to the ultrametric space  $X$  and the similarity structure  $\text{Sim}_X$  to prove that  $QV$  is  $F_\infty$ . This is because [FH12, Theorem 1.1] requires that  $\text{Sim}_X$  is rich in simple contractions [FH12, Definition 5.11].

**Lemma 7.3.**  *$\text{Sim}_X$  is not rich in simple contractions.*

*Proof.* Given an arbitrary constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  it suffices to exhibit a pseudo-vertex  $v$  of height  $k$  such that for every pseudo vertex  $w \subseteq v$ , either  $\|w\| = 1$  or there is no simple contraction of  $v$  at  $w$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  be an arbitrary constant and choose  $k$  leaves  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \text{Ends}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}})$  with no children. Each of these leaves is a ball  $B_{n_i}$  and so

$$v = \{[\text{incl}_{B_{n_i}}, B_{n_i}] : i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

is a pseudo-vertex of height  $k$ . Since any simple expansion of any pseudo-vertex necessarily introduces balls containing leaves with children, there can be no simple contraction of  $v$ .  $\square$

**7.2. Automorphisms of Cantor algebras.** In [MPN13], Martínez-Pérez and Nucinkis study certain automorphism groups  $G_r(\Sigma)$ ,  $T_r(\Sigma)$ , and  $F_r(\Sigma)$  of certain Cantor algebras.

**Proposition 7.4.** *None of  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}V$ ,  $QT$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $QF$  are isomorphic to either  $G_r(\Sigma)$ ,  $T_r(\Sigma)$ , or  $F_r(\Sigma)$  for any  $\Sigma$  and  $r$ .*

*Proof.* By [MPN13, Theorems 4.3, 4.8], any group  $G_r(\Sigma)$  or  $T_r(\Sigma)$  has at most finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups isomorphic to a given finite subgroup. This is false however for  $QV$  and  $QT$  as one can find infinitely many non-conjugate subgroups isomorphic to the cyclic group of order 2: let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$  and  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots\}$  be two disjoint countably infinite subsets of  $\{0, 1\}^*$  and let  $G_i$  be the subgroup of  $QF$  which transposes  $x_j$  and  $y_j$  for all  $j \leq i$ . Clearly  $G_i \cong C_2$  for all  $i$ , but the  $G_i$  are all pairwise non-conjugate (this is because conjugation preserves cycle type in infinite support permutation groups). Thus none of  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}V$ ,  $QT$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , and  $QF$  may be isomorphic to  $G_r(\Sigma)$  or  $T_r(\Sigma)$ .

Since  $F_r(\Sigma)$  is always torsion-free [MPN13, Remark 2.17], none of  $QV$ ,  $\tilde{Q}V$ ,  $QT$ ,  $\tilde{Q}T$ , or  $QF$  may be isomorphic to  $F_r(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Bel04] James M. Belk, *Thompson's group  $F$* , Ph.D. thesis, 2004.
- [BFS<sup>+</sup>12] Kai-Uwe Bux, Martin Fluch, Marco Schwandt, Stefan Witzel, and Matthew C. B. Zaremsky, *The braided Thompson's groups are of type  $F_\infty$* , preprint, arXiv:1210.2931 (2012).
- [BG84] Kenneth S. Brown and Ross Geoghegan, *An infinite-dimensional torsion-free  $FP_\infty$  group*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 2, 367–381. MR 752825 (85m:20073)
- [BGK10] Robert Bieri, Ross Geoghegan, and Dessislava H. Kochloukova, *The sigma invariants of Thompson's group  $F$* , Groups Geom. Dyn. **4** (2010), no. 2, 263–273. MR 2595092 (2011h:20113)
- [Bie81] Robert Bieri, *Homological dimension of discrete groups*, second ed., Queen Mary College Mathematical Notes, Queen Mary College Department of Pure Mathematics, London, 1981. MR 715779 (84h:20047)
- [BMN13] Collin Bleak, Francesco Matucci, and Max Neunhöffer, *Embeddings into Thompson's group  $V$  and  $co\mathcal{CF}$  groups*, preprint, arXiv:1312.1855 (2013).
- [BNS87] Robert Bieri, Walter D. Neumann, and Ralph Strebel, *A geometric invariant of discrete groups*, Invent. Math. **90** (1987), no. 3, 451–477. MR 914846 (89b:20108)
- [BR88] Robert Bieri and Burkhardt Renz, *Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **63** (1988), no. 3, 464–497. MR 960770 (90a:20106)
- [Bri04] Matthew G. Brin, *Higher dimensional Thompson groups*, Geom. Dedicata **108** (2004), 163–192. MR 2112673 (2005m:20008)
- [Bri07] ———, *The algebra of strand splitting. I. A braided version of Thompson's group  $V$* , J. Group Theory **10** (2007), no. 6, 757–788. MR 2364825 (2009g:20092)
- [Bro87] Kenneth S. Brown, *Finiteness properties of groups*, Proceedings of the Northwestern conference on cohomology of groups (Evanston, Ill., 1985), vol. 44, 1987, pp. 45–75. MR 885095 (88m:20110)
- [BS08] Kai-Uwe Bux and Dmitriy Sonkin, *Some remarks on the braided Thompson group  $BV$* , preprint, arXiv:0807.0061 (2008).
- [CFP96] J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, and W. R. Parry, *Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups*, Enseign. Math. (2) **42** (1996), no. 3-4, 215–256. MR 1426438 (98g:20058)
- [Dav08] Michael W. Davis, *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, vol. 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008. MR 2360474 (2008k:20091)
- [DL98] Warren Dicks and Ian J. Leary, *On subgroups of Coxeter groups*, Geometry and cohomology in group theory (Durham, 1994), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 252, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 124–160. MR 1709956 (2000g:20067)
- [Far03] Daniel S. Farley, *Finiteness and  $CAT(0)$  properties of diagram groups*, Topology **42** (2003), no. 5, 1065–1082. MR 1978047 (2004b:20057)
- [FH12] Daniel Farley and Bruce Hughes, *Finiteness properties of some groups of local similarities*, preprint, arXiv:1206.2692 (2012).
- [FH14] ———, *Braided diagram groups and local similarity groups*, preprint, arXiv:1406.4587 (2014).
- [FMWZ13] Martin G. Fluch, Marco Marschler, Stefan Witzel, and Matthew C. B. Zaremsky, *The Brin-Thompson groups  $sV$  are of type  $F_\infty$* , Pacific J. Math. **266** (2013), no. 2, 283–295. MR 3130623
- [Geo08] Ross Geoghegan, *Topological methods in group theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 243, Springer, New York, 2008. MR 2365352 (2008j:57002)
- [GS97] Victor Guba and Mark Sapir, *Diagram groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1997), no. 620, viii+117. MR 1396957 (98f:20013)
- [Hig74] Graham Higman, *Finitely presented infinite simple groups*, Department of Pure Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, I.A.S. Australian National University, Canberra, 1974, Notes on Pure Mathematics, No. 8 (1974). MR 0376874 (51 #13049)
- [Hug09] Bruce Hughes, *Local similarities and the Haagerup property*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **3** (2009), no. 2, 299–315, With an appendix by Daniel S. Farley. MR 2486801 (2009m:20066)
- [Kog08] Roman Kogan,  *$nV$  Trees Applet*, <http://www.math.tamu.edu/~romwell/nvTrees/> (2008).
- [Leh08] Jörg Lehnert, *Gruppen von quasi-automorphismen*, Ph.D. thesis, 2008.

- [Mei96] Holger Meinert, *The homological invariants for metabelian groups of finite Prüfer rank: a proof of the  $\Sigma^m$ -conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **72** (1996), no. 2, 385–424. MR 1367084 (98b:20082)
- [Mei97] ———, *Actions on 2-complexes and the homotopical invariant  $\Sigma^2$  of a group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **119** (1997), no. 3, 297–317. MR 1453518 (98g:20084)
- [MPMN14] Conchita Martínez-Pérez, Francesco Matucci, and Brita E. A. Nucinkis, *Presentations for centralisers in generalisations of Thompson’s group  $V$* , preprint (2014).
- [MPN13] Conchita Martínez-Pérez and Brita E. A. Nucinkis, *Bredon cohomological finiteness conditions for generalisations of Thompson groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **7** (2013), no. 4, 931–959. MR 3134031
- [MT73] Ralph McKenzie and Richard J. Thompson, *An elementary construction of unsolvable word problems in group theory*, Word problems: decision problems and the Burnside problem in group theory (Conf., Univ. California, Irvine, Calif. 1969; dedicated to Hanna Neumann), Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Math., vol. 71, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973, pp. 457–478. MR 0396769 (53 #629)
- [Ore51] Oystein Ore, *Some remarks on commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 307–314. MR 0040298 (12,671e)
- [Ren89] Burkhardt Renz, *Geometric invariants and HNN-extensions*, Group theory (Singapore, 1987), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989, pp. 465–484. MR 981863 (90a:20075)
- [Tho80] Richard J. Thompson, *Embeddings into finitely generated simple groups which preserve the word problem*, Word problems, II (Conf. on Decision Problems in Algebra, Oxford, 1976), Stud. Logic Foundations Math., vol. 95, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1980, pp. 401–441. MR 579955 (81k:20050)
- [Thu16] Werner Thumann, *Operad groups and their finiteness properties*, preprint, arXiv:1409.1085 (2016).
- [WZ14] Stefan Witzel and Matthew C. B. Zaremsky, *Thompson groups for systems of groups, and their finiteness properties*, preprint, arXiv:1405.5491 (2014).
- [Zar14] Matthew Zaremsky, *On normal subgroups of the braided Thompson groups*, preprint, arXiv:1403.8132 (2014).

*E-mail address:* Brita.Nucinkis@rhul.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL HOLLOWAY, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, EGHAM, TW20 0EX

*E-mail address:* Simon.StJG@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, SO17 1BJ, UK