Niger Delta gravity-driven deformation above the relict Chain and Charcot oceanic fracture zones, Gulf of Guinea: insights from analogue models
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Abstract

The Niger Delta is a classic example of a passive margin delta that has gravitationally deformed above an overpressured shale decollement. The outboard Niger Delta clastic wedge, including the Akata Formation overpressured shale decollement, is differentially thickened across relict oceanic basement steps formed at the Chain and Charcot fracture zones. In this study, five analogue models were applied to investigate the effects of a
differentially thickened overpressured shale decollement across relict stepped basement on Niger Delta gravity-driven deformation. Gravity-driven delta deformation was simulated by allowing a lobate, layered sandpack to deform by gravity above a ductile polymer. A first series of experiments had a featureless, horizontal basement whereas a second series had differentially thickened polymer above Niger Delta-like basement steps. Two syn-kinematic sedimentation patterns were also tested. Surface strains were analysed using digital image correlation and key models were reconstructed in 3D. All five model deltas spread radially outward and formed arcuate delta top grabens and arcuate delta toe folds. The arcuate structures were cut by dip-oriented radial grabens and delta toe oblique extensional tear faults. The basement steps models formed dual, divergent spreading directions that had perturbed updip delta top growth faults. Similarities between the analogue model structures and the Niger Delta strongly suggest that the lobate Niger Delta top has spread radially outward. The basement step models indicate the potential for partitioned Niger Delta gravity spreading across the Chain and Charcot fracture zones basement steps due to a thicker or more highly overpressured Akata Fm. shale detachment. Faster gravity spreading north of the Charcot fracture zone has potentially contributed to the Niger Delta toe ‘dual lobe’ geometry and influenced Niger Delta top growth fault patterns, and implies that the Niger Delta western lobe toe thrusts have been very active.
1. Introduction

The Niger Delta has prolific hydrocarbon reserves and is a well-documented example of gravity-driven deformation at a passive margin delta above an overpressured shale detachment (Fig. 1) (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Haack et al, 2000; Corredor et al., 2005; Cobbold et al., 2009). The Niger Delta clastic wedge is up to 12 km thick and has a concave-seaward, lobate profile in plan view (Fig. 1b) (Cobbold et al., 2009). The main deltaic sedimentation pulse began in the Eocene and the delta front has prograded outward approximately 300 km to its present-day position (Evamy et al., 1978). Gravity-driven deformation is characterized by delta top extensional faults and delta toe imbricate fold-thrusts that detach within the Akata Formation, a prodelta marine shale that is typically overpressured (Figs. 1c & d). Deformation began in the Eocene and continues to the present-day (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Cobbold et al., 2009).

The outboard Niger Delta has gravitationally deformed above stepped oceanic basement formed by the Chain and Charcot fracture zones (Figs. 1a & b). The fracture zones were transforms during mid-Atlantic opening in the Aptian and have been inactive since the Santonian (Lehner and de Ruiter, 1977; Briggs et al., 2009). Geodynamic models show that fossil transforms juxtapose oceanic lithosphere of different ages that thermally subside at different rates, producing differential sediment thickening across the transform (Sibuet and Mascle, 1978). This appears to be true at the Niger Delta, where regional isopach maps show differential thickening of the Niger Delta clastic...
wedge across the fossil fracture zones (Figs. 1a & b) (cf MacGregor et al., 2003; Cobbold et al., 2009). This has implications for the Akata Formation overpressured shale decollement, which is seen in seismic profiles to thicken and onlap across the fracture zones (see Figure 3 of Morgan, 2004). Thickening of the overpressured shale decollement across the stepped basement may have affected Niger Delta gravity-driven deformation but has not been fully evaluated.

3D seismic studies have also beautifully illustrated the localised high basement relief along the fracture zones, including 1.4 km-high buried volcanoes near the Chain Fracture Zone landward termination (Davies et al., 2005). Other studies have shown an elongate, ~7 km-high, buried basement ridge across the Charcot Fracture Zone, outboard of the Niger Delta deformation front (Davies et al., 2005; Briggs et al., 2009). It is quite probable that these local basement structures have likely played some role in buttressing or localising strains at the Niger Delta toe thrust belt. For example, tear faults and segmented thrusts have formed above the Chain Fracture Zone volcanoes (Morgan, 2003; 2004). Many have also noted that the prominent separation between the Niger delta toe thrust lobes above the Charcot Fracture Zone (Fig. 1c) (e.g. Cobbold et al., 2009).

In this study dry sand and silicone polymer analogue models were applied to investigate the effects of relict oceanic fracture zone stepped basement on Niger Delta gravity-driven deformation, focusing on the potential effects of a differentially thickened overpressured shale decollement. Sand-silicone
analogue models are a well-documented technique for modelling gravity-driven delta systems (e.g. Cobbold and Szatmari, 1991). The models allow deformation to be driven simply by the gravity potential of the initial delta load and applied syn-kinematic sedimentation patterns (e.g. Cobbold and Szatmari, 1991; Ge et al., 1997; McClay et al., 1998; Vendeville et al., 2005). Basement topographies and distal buttresses have been tested as boundary parameters (e.g. Loncke et al., 2010; Sellier et al., 2013). Published studies using this modelling technique have reproduced many typical gravity-driven delta strain patterns including linked proximal extensional and distal compressional systems and spoke-like, radial extensional grabens (e.g. Cobbold and Szatmari, 1991; McClay et al., 1998; Gaullier and Vendeville, 2005). Other published studies have contributed important insights to gravity-driven deformation across specific basement features at the offshore Nile fan and the Florence Rise, offshore Cyprus (Loncke et al., 2010; Sellier et al., 2013).

Here we present five 3D sand and silicone polymer analogue models that tested two parameters on gravity-driven deformation of a model lobate delta: (1) basement steps – a featureless, horizontal basement; and a Niger Delta-like stepped basement that partitioned a differentially thickened pre-deformation basal polymer; and, (2) syn-kinematic sedimentation styles – aggradational and progradational (Table 1 & Figs. 2, 3 & 4). The two syn-kinematic sedimentation patterns allowed the basement configurations to be tested against a range of differential loading patterns.
Deformation was closely monitored using digital image correlation (DIC) (e.g. Adam et al., 2005). Key models were digitally reconstructed to visualize internal geometries in 3D. Fault patterns were interpreted from plan view and cross-sectional photographs. In this study we emphasize the regional-scale structural zonations in the models – and limit the analysis of individual structures – as the sand-silicone analogue models have well-known limitations that do not permit a full range of structural styles to be reproduced when used as a proxy for overpressured shales. The limited range of structural styles is particularly evident at the model delta toe, where polymer-cored detachment fold styles are typically produced (e.g. McClay et al., 1998). These structural styles more closely resemble other deltas above salt, or other deltas with overpressured shale substrates such as the offshore western Gulf of Mexico (e.g. Rowan et al., 2004; Alzaga-Ruiz et al., 2009). Based on the model results, we show in this paper the following potential effects of differentially thickened overpressured shales across the Chain and Charcot oceanic basement fracture zones: (1) partitioning of radial outward gravity spreading into two main divergent directions at the Niger delta toe, providing a potential contributing factor for the dual lobe geometry, (2) localised oblique extensional strains above the basement steps, and (3) perturbed updip Niger delta top growth faults.

2. Experimental method

In all models a basal SGM36 silicone polymer layer simulated an overpressured shale substrate (Fig. 2a). A 4-6 mm uniform layer of dry quartz
sand was mechanically sieved above the basal polymer layer to form a pre-kinematic sequence (Fig. 2c). A 20 mm-thick delta lobe of layered dry quartz sand was mechanically sieved to form a pre-kinematic differential load (Fig. 2a). Pre-kinematic sand layers were coloured blue or green, white, and black (Fig. 2c). The lobate delta load was allowed to passively deform by gravity above the basal polymer layer over a period of up to 14.5 hours (Table 1).

2.1 Syn-kinematic sedimentation

During deformation syn-kinematic sedimentation was infilled at regular time intervals (see Fig. 2b, Fig 4 & Table 1). The syn-kinematic sand layers alternated between red, white, and black colours (Fig. 2c). The half-hour to hourly frequency of the syn-kinematic sedimentation events was sufficient to minimise emergent polymer structures (e.g. diapirs, overhangs, and nappes) that are more characteristic of gravity-driven deltas above salt.

Models 1 & 4 had aggradational syn-kinematic sedimentation on the delta top only, whereas Models 2, 3 & 5 had progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation on both the delta top and delta toe (Figure 4 & Table 1). Delta top sedimentation was performed by infilling the delta top grabens and mechanically sieving a 3-4 mm-thick layer of dry quartz sand layer on the delta top. Delta top syn-kinematic sedimentation was maintained at a constant position relative to delta front. This resulted in a slow incremental advance of the delta top syn-kinematic sedimentation per timestep. Delta toe sedimentation was performed by hand sieving a thin 1-3 mm layer of dry
quartz sand above and between the fold belt synclines that terminated 10 cm ahead of the leading fold-thrust. Models with progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation displayed a rapid outward deformation front advance, and hence Models 3 & 5 were designed with a double-length basal polymer layer to reduce distal polymer layer pinchout boundary effects (Fig. 4).

2.2 Basement steps

The Series 1 models had a featureless, horizontal basement overlain by a 1 cm-thick polymer layer (Fig. 3a & Table 1). The Series 2 models had basement steps that were oriented to simulate the Niger Delta oceanic fracture zones relative to the delta top (Fig. 3 & Table 1). The basement steps were infilled by a flat-topped polymer layer that partitioned the basal polymer thicknesses along-strike (Fig. 3). The thickest basal polymer was located within basement Domains B & C, consistent with regional Niger Delta sediment thickness (Fig. 3c) and 2D seismic profiles that show thickened Akata Formation overpressured shales between the Chain and Charcot fracture zones (Morgan, 2004). The Series 2 basement configuration did not attempt to model other localised oceanic basement ridges and smaller-scale grabens documented by seismic studies (e.g. Davies et al., 2005; Briggs et al., 2009), but their potential effects are later discussed in Section 4.

2.3 Materials and scaling
Dry quartz sand has a Navier-Coulomb rheology and has been used extensively to simulate the brittle deformation of sedimentary rocks in the upper 10 km of the Earth’s crust (e.g. Malavieille, 1984; McClay, 1990). The dry quartz sand used in the experiments had a density of 1.42 g/cm$^3$ and a cohesion of 95 to 105 Pa. Ring shear testing of the sand indicated the following angles of internal friction: an initial peak angle of 33.8°, a stable static angle of 33.5°, and a stable dynamic angle of 31.3°.

SGM36 is a trade name for polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), a Newtonian viscous material manufactured by Dow Corning Ltd. It has a density of 965 kg m$^{-3}$ and an effective viscosity of 19-24 kPa s at a temperature of 25°C (Weijermars, 1986). The use of SGM36 in analogue modelling is well documented (cf. Weijermars, 1986). SGM36 has been widely used to simulate ductile substrates such as salt or overpressured shales in analogue experiments (e.g. Ge et al., 1997; McClay et al., 1998; McClay et al., 2000; McClay et al., 2003). Here it is noted that shales are plastic and deform only after deviatoric stresses overcome the shale strength (cf. Rowan et al. 2004; Morency et al., 2007), whereas the viscous SGM polymer will instantly deform after loading. For our experiments, overpressured shale plastic behaviour was approximated by instantaneously loading the viscous polymer with the initial differential load (i.e. the initial delta lobe in Fig. 2a).

The scaling factor between model and prototype was $10^{-5}$ such that 1 cm in the models was approximately 1 km of brittle, sedimentary rock in the upper crust (cf. McClay, 1990). The time scaling factor of the experiments was $10^{-9}$
to $10^{-10}$. This timescale was appropriate to simulate instantaneous loading by very rapid sedimentary progradation (Vendeville et al., 1987).

2.4 Basal polymer boundaries

The edge of shale overpressures is a parameter that has potential influence on gravity-driven deformation but is not well-constrained at the Niger Delta. Studies of overpressured shales suggest that the distal overpressure boundary will move dynamically outward ahead of the deformation front (e.g. Cobbold et al., 2009; Ings and Beaumont, 2010), which implies that delta toe advance is usually not limited by the edge of overpressure. In the experiments presented here, overpressured shales were simulated by either a single-length (i.e. 80 x 100 cm) or a double-length (158 x 100 cm) static basal polymer layer (Fig. 4). The static basal polymer layer was designed to be long enough to allow forward delta advance with minimal edge effects. However, the static dimensions of the simplified overpressured shale proxy (i.e. the basal polymer) coupled with delta progradation inevitably produced edge effects. These edge effects are carefully described in the model results and included a linear extensional fault across the proximal polymer edge and a linear fold belt across the distal polymer edge.

2.5 Monitoring and analysis

During the experiments the top model surface was photographed at 1 minute intervals. In Models 2 to 5 a laser scanner also recorded the surface
topography at hourly intervals. At the end of the experiments the completed models were impregnated with a gelling agent, serially sectioned at 4 mm increments and photographed. Photographs from the serial sections were used to reconstruct the internal geometries of the models using Paradigm VoxelGeo seismic interpretation software (e.g. Wu et al., 2009; Frankowicz et al., 2009). Digital image correlation (DIC) and strain analysis of the top surface photographs was conducted using LaVision 2D optical deformation and surface flow monitoring software (cf. Adam et al., 2005).

3. Experimental Results

All analogue model illustrations show the progradation direction of the model deltas from left to right. The left-hand side of the model with the delta top is herein referred to as 'landward' and the right-hand side is 'seaward'. Plan view photographs are shown for Model 1, whereas photographs for subsequent experiments are shown in the supplemental material (Figs. S1 to S6).

3.1 Series 1 Experiments

Model 1 – Aggradational syn-kinematic sedimentation, featureless basement

At 2 hours of differential loading, the delta top formed arcuate grabens near the shelf-slope break (Figs. 5a & b). Arcuate, segmented folds were formed at the delta toe (Figs. 5a & b). A linear graben formed above the left-hand
basal polymer edge due to its boundary effect (Fig. 5b). DIC analyses show a
uniform radial outward spreading pattern and peak spreading velocities at the
delta slope (Fig. 6a). Both the delta top extensional strains and delta toe
compressional strains displayed an arcuate, linear pattern. A translational
zone formed at the delta slope that had little to no strains and high horizontal
displacements (Figs. 6d & g).

As Model 1 evolved, new delta top regional and counter-regional faults
propagated seaward of older faults that advanced the delta top grabens
seaward (Fig. 5d). Dip-oriented, radial grabens initiated at the shelf-slope
break (Figs. 5c & d). The radial graben extensional faults propagated up- and
down-slope. T-shaped grabens were formed at the shelf-slope break by the
near-orthogonal linkage of delta top grabens and radial graben (Figs. 5c & d).
At the toe-of-slope a pronounced polymer ridge formed that had minor
emergent polymer (Fig. 5f). The delta toe fold belt propagated seaward and
had a general forward-breaking sequence (Figs. 5c & d). A fold belt initiated
at the right-hand polymer pinchout due to its boundary effect and broke
backwards (i.e. from the pinchout back towards the delta toe) (Fig. 5d). DIC
analyses showed a continued radial outward spreading pattern (Figs. 6b & c).
The slope translational zone became more diffuse and was cut by the radial
extensional grabens (Figs. 6f & i). The delta toe compressional strains were
arcuate but segmented along-strike (Figs. 6e & f).

Figure 7 shows the final delta morphology and interpreted fault systems. The
main extensional systems included: (1) an arcuate delta top grabens sub-
parallel to the shelf-slope break, and (2) spoke-like radial grabens at the delta
gle break (Fig. 7a). The delta toe formed an arcuate fold belt that showed clear
along-strike segmentation (Fig. 7a).

In cross-section, the Model 1 delta top was formed by regional and counter-
regional growth fault arrays that separated partially grounded rafts (Figs. 7b-f).
Growth fault footwalls had reactive polymer ‘roller’ geometries (Figs. 7b-f). An
expulsion rollover formed at the toe-of-slope, seaward of the initial pre-
kinematic instantaneous load, and was recorded by a polymer bulge and
thickened syn-kinematic layers (Figs. 7b-f). The boundary effect growth fault
formed across the left-hand polymer limit was regional-dipping and long-lived
(Figs. 7b-f). The delta toe fold belt consisted of tight, isoclinal to overturned,
polymer-cored detachment folds (Figs. 7b-f).

The 3D reconstruction of Model 1 permitted visualisation of the final basal
polymer geometries, final model top surface, and interpreted fault
architectures (Fig. 8). In particular, the final basal ductile polymer layer
genera show the arcuate and segmented nature of the delta top
extensional and delta toe contractional structures (Fig. 8a).

Models 2 & 3 – Progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation, featureless

basement

Models 2 & 3 had progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation (ie. on both the
delta top and delta toe) and differed only by their basal polymer layer
dimensions (Table 1 & Figure 4). During the experiments, rapid delta toe advance was eventually buttressed by the distal polymer boundary (i.e. edge of overpressure) (e.g. Fig. 9). Here Model 3 is presented in detail because it had a double-length polymer layer that allowed further delta toe advance. The full results of Model 2 are shown in the supplemental material (Figs. S1 to S3) and are summarised relative to Model 3 later in this section.

Model 3 initially formed arcuate plan view delta top grabens and delta toe folds similar to Model 1 (Fig. 9a). The delta load spread radially outward and formed a narrow delta slope translational zone similar to Model 1 (Figs. 9d & j).

As Model 3 evolved, the delta top counter-regional growth faults became dominant, showing higher displacements relative to the regional growth faults (Figs. 9b & c). The delta slope and inner delta toe were cut by oblique extensional tear faults (Figs. 9c & i). The tear faults formed within the translational zone and accommodated differential radial spreading (Figs. 4k & l). The delta toe fold belt advanced rapidly and had very active, segmented frontal folds (Figs. 9c & i). A second boundary effect fold belt formed above the right-hand polymer that had closely-spaced, linear folds (Fig. 9c). DIC analyses showed a general radial spreading pattern and rapid broadening of the translational zone (Fig. 9). Model 2 had a similar initial evolution to Model 3 but was clearly buttressed by the distal polymer pinchout after 8 hours of deformation (Figs. S1 to S3). The Model 2 delta toe fold belt formed a high
relief fold across the distal polymer edge and an extensive delta toe tear fault array (Figs. S1 to S3).

The final Model 3 delta top had similarities to Model 1 but had dominant counter-regional growth faults (Figs. 10a, b to f). The Model 3 delta toe fold belt was formed by buried and widely-spaced, forward-vergent folds above a broad swell of inflated polymer (Figs. 10b to f).

3.2 Series 2 Experiments

Model 4 – Aggradational syn-kinematic sedimentation and basement steps

Model 4 had the same initial parameters as Model 1 but was underlain by basement steps (Table 1 & Fig. 3). During the experiment, the delta top aggradational syn-kinematic sedimentation also included parts of the delta slope to maintain the prescribed lobate syn-kinematic sedimentation pattern.

At the initial stages arcuate delta top grabens and delta toe folds formed that were segmented across the basement steps (Fig. 11a). The delta toe fold belt formed a slight salient across Domains B & C, which were underlain by thicker basal polymer (Figs. 11a & g). Similar to other models a boundary effect linear graben initiated above the inboard basement step (Fig. 11a). DIC analyses showed subradial outward spreading at Domain A (Fig. 11d). In contrast at Domains B & C had higher spreading velocities and spreading directions were aligned sub-parallel to the basement steps (Fig. 11d).
As Model 4 evolved, the delta top grabens advanced by initiating new delta top extensional faults seaward of older faults similar to Model 1 (Figs. 11b & c). Extensional grabens initiated at the delta slope above older, buried delta toe fold-thrusts (e.g. Figs. 11b & c). These slope grabens were conspicuous by their narrow widths and had similar spacings to the delta toe folds (e.g. Figs. 11b & c). The sub-arcuate delta toe fold belt continued to advance seaward (Fig. 11b). A second fold belt that had linear folds initiated at the right-hand polymer pinchout due to its boundary effect (Fig. 11b). Oblique extensional tear faults formed across the basement steps (Figs. 11b & k). The tear faults showed extensional strains and accommodated divergent spreading across the basement steps (e.g. Fig. 11e). Peak horizontal displacements were centred at Domain B and seaward of the inboard basement step (Fig. 11k).

The final laser scan and 3D basal polymer reconstruction revealed subarcuate delta top grabens and delta toe folds (Figs. 12a & 13). These structures were segmented across the basement steps by oblique extensional tear faults that were cored by a polymer ridge (Figs. 12a & 13). In cross-section, the delta top was deformed by regional and counter-regional growth faults and the delta toe formed polymer-cored detachment fold trains similar to Model 1 (Figs. 12b to e). Growth fault patterns were perturbed near the inboard basement step (Fig. 12a). Here expulsion of the thicker initial basal polymer produced a large rollover and outboard polymer bulge (Fig. 12d).
Model 5 – Progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation and basement steps, and double-length polymer layer

Model 5 initially formed segmented delta top grabens and a subarcuate delta toe fold belt similar to Model 4 (Fig. 14a). A tear fault formed across the Domain B & C basement step (Fig. 14a). Spreading directions were clearly partitioned across the Domain A & B basement step (Fig. 14d). Peak spreading velocities occurred at the delta top and slope across the Domain B & C basement steps (Figs. 14d & j).

As Model 5 evolved the delta top grabens stepped forward and counter-regional faults became dominant (Figs. 14b & c). This showed some similarities to Model 3, which also had progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation (Fig. 9). The tear faults formed across the basement steps propagated seaward (Figs. 14b & c). The delta toe fold belt advanced rapidly and was segmented across the basement steps (Figs. 14b & c). DIC analyses show continued dual, divergent outward spreading directions that were partitioned across the central basement step (Figs. 14e & f).

The Model 5 final deformed state had subarcuate delta top grabens and delta toe folds that were highly segmented (Fig. 15a). Oblique extensional tear faults were formed across the basement steps (Fig. 15a). Delta top and slope fault patterns were perturbed near the inboard basement step (Fig. 15a). The final Model 5 cross-section geometries showed similarities to Model 3, including dominant counter-regional growth faults relative to the regional
growth faults and buried, low-amplitude forward and backward-vergent delta
toe fold-thrusts above a broad polymer swell (e.g. Figs. 15b to f). Near the
inboard basement step, expulsion of the thicker initial basal polymer produced
a large expulsion rollover and outboard polymer bulge (Fig. 15e).

4. Application to the Niger Delta

4.1 Evidence for radial spreading

Representation deformation styles from the four main models in this study are
compared in Figure 16. As first shown by previous studies (e.g. Cobbold and
Szatmari, 1991; Gaullier and Vendeville, 2005; Loncke et al., 2010; Sellier et
al., 2013) radial outward spreading in our models produced first-order
concentric and radial strains (Fig. 16). In our models these strain patterns
formed regardless of syn-kinematic sedimentation patterns or basement
configurations (Fig. 16). Similar to previous studies, concentric strains in our
models were characterised by an arcuate delta top grabens and an arcuate
delta toe fold belt (e.g. Fig. 16e). Radial strains included segmented delta top
graben, delta slope radial grabens, delta toe tear faults and segmented delta
toe fold-thrusts (e.g. Fig. 16e). As first shown by Cobbold and Szatmari
(1991) and Gaullier and Vendeville (2005), radial and concentric strains are
characteristic of radial spreading. They are geometric features necessitated
by the progressive increase in delta lobe radius and lobe perimeter length
during radial outward spreading.
When compared to the Niger Delta, similarities in radial and concentric strain zonations relative to delta morphology between the models and natural prototype provide new evidence for radial spreading at the Niger Delta. In particular, both model and prototype have formed segmented counter-regional growth faults at the shelf break, delta slope radial grabens, delta toe tear faults, and segmented delta toe fold-thrusts (e.g. Figs. 1c & Fig 16). Our Model 1 produced distinct plan view T-shaped grabens at the shelf-slope break from the linkage of delta top grabens to radial grabens (Fig. 7a). These structures are consistent with previous analogue models (e.g. Gaulier and Vendeville, 2005) and are highly reminiscent of T-shaped grabens at the Niger Delta shelf-slope break (e.g. Rouby et al., 2011).

One difference between our models and the Niger Delta prototype is that the basement underlying the inboard Niger Delta has a slight 1° to 3° seaward tilt (Fig. 1d). Our Series 1 models had a simplified horizontal basement and did not attempt to simulate this feature of the natural prototype. However, published analogue models show that a seaward basement tilt has the potential to produce more down-to-the-basin (i.e. regional) growth faulting and gravity gliding (e.g. Mourgues et al., 2009). These factors may explain why the inner Niger Delta top is primarily deformed by regional growth faults (Fig. 1c) whereas our models formed grabens of paired regional and counter-regional growth faults (e.g. Fig. 7). Based numerical modelling results that had dynamic basement that was simulated by an elastic beam response (e.g. Ings and Beaumont, 2010), it can be inferred that a slight seaward tilt would
have increased deformation along the maximum gradient direction but would 
not have completely eliminated radial outward spreading.

4.2 Effects of Chain and Charcot fracture zone basement steps

In our Series 2 models, as in earlier published experiments (Loncke et al., 
2011; Sellier et al., 2013), two divergent spreading directions developed 
across the basement steps (e.g. Fig. 16). Model 5 in this study produced 
spreading patterns that were comparable to the inferred shortening directions 
at the Niger Delta toe (Fig. 17). This suggests that the Niger basement steps 
may have contributed to the formation of the delta toe lobes, but it is important 
to here consider whether the Niger Delta overpressured shales have acted in 
the same manner as the basal polymer in our Series 2 models. The Model 5 
spreading patterns resulted from faster outward flow of the overburden across 
a thicker basal polymer, a well-known effect seen in many analogue models 
(e.g. Loncke et al., 2010). At the Niger Delta, faster outward flows would 
require a thicker or more highly overpressured shale section to substantially 
weaken the shale detachment. The distribution of overpressures at the Niger 
Delta toe is not well-constrained due to a paucity of deepwater well 
penetrations, but it has been generally observed that Niger Delta 
overpressures and fluid flows initiate when the Akata Fm. has reached a 
burial depth of 4 km (Krueger and Grant, 2011). The sedimentary infill is 
generally thicker across the Charcot and Chain fracture zones (e.g. Figs. 1b & 
3c), which allows the possibility for higher overpressures across the Niger 
Delta basement steps.
At the Niger Delta top, the growth faults updip of the basement fracture zones show uncharacteristic proximal counter-regional growth fault and distal regional growth fault patterns (Fig. 17d). Downdip of the basement steps, many western lobe toe thrusts have been recently active in contrast to the less active southern lobe toe thrusts (Krueger and Grant, 2011). These features are potentially explained by the basement step Models 4 & 5 from this study, which also developed perturbed inboard delta top growth fault patterns and very high delta toe spreading rates across the basement steps (Figs. 16c & d, g & h). Although some of the perturbed delta top fault patterns in our models could be explained by the inboard basement step geometry (Fig. 3), similar perturbed delta top fault patterns have also formed in other analogue models without an inboard step (e.g. Loncke et al., 2011).

One notable difference between model and natural prototype is that Model 5 did not form a prominent fold belt re-entrant similar to the Niger Delta toe (Fig. 14a), despite the apparent similarity in spreading directions (Fig. 17). This may indicate that the Niger Delta toe re-entrant geometry is controlled in part by buttressing along a Charcot Fracture Zone basement high similar to Briggs et al. (2009), a localised feature that we did not attempt to simulate in the models presented here. The Niger Delta toe re-entrant directly overlies the Charcot Fracture Zone, and correlations between the two features have also been implied by many earlier studies (e.g. Corredor et al., 2005; Cobbold et al., 2009).
4.3 Limitations of the analogue models

As previously mentioned, the range of structural styles formed at the model delta toes presented here were limited by the analogue modelling materials. Our models formed symmetric to asymmetric polymer-cored detachment fold styles that did not resemble the Niger Delta toe imbricate thrust arrays (e.g. Figs. 1d & 7). The detachment fold styles in our models are typical above salt or relatively weak shale decollements whereas the Niger Delta imbricate thrust arrays are more typical of a strong, frictional detachment (Rowan et al., 2004). These differences between model and natural prototype suggest that the overpressured shale detachments below the Niger Delta are stronger (i.e. more frictional) than the sand-polymer proxy in this study. In Models 3 & 5, delta toe syn-kinematic sedimentation strengthened the overburden and produced forward and backward-vergent contractional structures that had more similarities to imbricate thrusts (e.g. Fig.10). Imbricate thrust arrays have also been produced by analogue models that used only frictional Coulomb materials (i.e. dry sand) and compressed air (e.g. Cobbold and Castro, 1999; Mourgues et al., 2009; Cobbold et al., 2009). Nonetheless, at the first-order, regional-scale similarities between our models and Niger Delta prototype suggest that similar strain patterns will form by radial outward gravity spreading above either a weak or a strong shale detachment.

In our models, the plastic behaviour of overpressured shales was approximated by instantaneously loading the viscous polymer with a lobate differential load (i.e. the delta top). This simplified the initial stages of Niger
delta progradation and did not permit progradation from a point source (i.e. fluvial system). As a consequence, our model delta top extensional strains were localised behind the shelf-slope break (Fig. 5). Our model delta top extensional strains appear similarly located relative to Niger Delta top extensional strains, which have been primarily coupled to the delta front since the Plio-Pleistocene (Rouby et al., 2011). Hence, greater delta top progradation of our model deltas would have formed more forward-stepping growth fault belts more similar to the Niger Delta prototype.

Our experiments used only dry sand to simulate the Niger Delta overburden. However, the proximal Niger Delta is subaerial whereas the distal toe is in a subaqueous setting. Whereas analogue modelling techniques for submerged systems are currently in development, numerical models have compared gravity-driven delta deformation in subaerial and subaqueous settings (Gemmer et al., 2005). The water column acted as a horizontal buttress force that stabilised the overburden and reduced deformation, and the saturated sediments reduced differential pressures between overburden and substrate (Gemmer et al., 2005). These factors affected local structures but had relatively similar outcomes at larger regional scales (Gemmer et al., 2005).

4.4 Implications for hydrocarbon exploration

Our modelling results suggest that faster spreading has occurred across the Chain and Charcot fracture zone basement steps relative to the rest of the offshore Niger Delta. At a broad regional scale, this would imply higher trap
risks at the Niger Delta western lobe relative to the southern lobe due to reactivated structures. Our results also highlight trap risks at very active tear faults and thrust transfer zone-type structures formed across Chain and Charcot fracture zone basement steps. On the other hand, it has already been shown by Morgan (2003) that the delta toe tear fault systems are conduits for deepwater channel systems and play an important role for reservoir sand distributions. Finally, our models results explain that T-shaped grabens near the Niger Delta shelf-slope break have formed due to radial spreading (e.g. Fig. 5d). Based on our results, these T-shaped grabens form by progressive linkage of shelf-break extensional faults with delta slope radial graben and could be a previously unrecognised shelf or slope play.

5. Conclusions

Comparison of the analogue model results from this study to the Niger Delta have provided the following insights on Niger Delta gravity-driven deformation:

(1) Outward radial gravity spreading of the lobate Niger Delta top is evidenced by dip-oriented, extensional structures that include delta slope radial grabens and oblique extensional delta toe tear faults. Radial spreading has likely increased the segmentation of Niger delta top growth faults and delta toe fold-thrusts.

(2) The analogue models presented here indicate the potential for partitioned gravity spreading across the Chain and Charcot fracture zones basement steps due to changes in the overpressured Akata Fm. shale detachment. The models show that faster gravity spreading
north of the Charcot fracture zone could produce dual, divergent spreading directions at the Niger Delta toe that could have contributed to the formation of the Niger Delta toe dual lobes. Other effects include perturbed updip growth fault systems and localised delta toe tear faults. The spreading patterns shown in the models presented here imply that the Niger Delta western lobe toe thrusts have been very active relative to the southern lobe. This would have implications for trap risks for hydrocarbon exploration at the Niger Delta toe.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1: a) Location map of the Niger Delta and the offshore Chain and Charcot oceanic fracture zones shown by onshore SRTM3 digital elevation model and offshore free-air gravity data (Sandwell and Smith, 2009). Note the delta sediments deposited across the basement fracture zones. FZ = Fracture Zone. b) Lobate shape of the Niger Delta clastic wedge revealed by a total sediment thickness isopach map (redrawn from Cobbold et al., 2009). The landward termination of the fracture zones is not well constrained. c) Niger Delta structural map (modified from Saugy and Eyer, 2003; Krueger and Grant, 2011). d) Schematic 2:1 vertical exaggeration cross-section across the Niger Delta (modified from Haack et al., 2000; Rouby et al., 2011). Cross-section location is shown in c).

Figure 2: 3D schematics showing experimental setup for the gravity-driven delta analogue models. a) Geometry of the pre-kinematic lobate differential load and the ductile polymer substrate. The cutaway reveals the polymer geometry. b) Syn-kinematic sand layers were progressively added to the delta top and/or delta toe during the experiment. Details for specific experiments are shown in Figure 4. c) Analogue model layering shown by photographs and line diagram. Pre-kinematic sand layers were coloured alternating blue, black and white whereas syn-kinematic sand layers were coloured alternating red and white.

Figure 3: Basement configurations for the analogue models in this study in comparison to the Niger Delta prototype. a) Series 1 models had flat, horizontal featureless basement whereas b) Series 2 had basement steps patterned after the Chain and Charcot fracture zones, offshore Niger Delta. c) Niger Delta total sediment thickness isopach map from Fig. 1b, reoriented for comparison to a) & b). d) Schematic 3D view of Series 2 basement steps.

Figure 4: Model for the 3D gravity-driven delta analogue models presented here. Series 1 models had a horizontal basement whereas Series 2 models had basement steps. Syn-kinematic sedimentation patterns were varied between ‘aggradational’ and ‘progradational’. The basal polymer layer was doubled in length in Models 3 & 5 to allow the model deltas to advance further basinward.

Figure 5: Progressive evolution of Model 1 with syn-kinematic sedimentation on the delta top only. Plan view evolution illustrated at a-b) 0.5 hrs, c-d) 7 hrs, e-f) 13.5 hrs using sequential photographs and line drawing interpretations, respectively. Photograph illumination is from the right.

Figure 6: Model 1 evolution analysed using digital image correlation (DIC). a-c) show progressive spreading directions from 2-hour incremental particle movement vectors superimposed on a plan view photograph. d) to f) progressive strain evolution from 2-hour incremental horizontal strain plots. g) to i) show the translational zone from 2-hour incremental total horizontal displacement plots. Model 1 was characterised by uniform radial outward spreading and the formation of arcuate delta top extensional, delta slope
translational, and delta toe compressional zones. Extensional grabens radial to the delta lobe formed at the slope.

Figure 7: a) Final plan view geometries of Model 1 at 13.5 hrs illustrated by the reconstructed final model topography and overlain by structural interpretation. Deformation was characterised by delta top grabens, delta slope radial grabens, an emergent polymer bulge at the delta toe and a delta toe fold belt. b-f) Interpreted internal vertical cross-sections from Model 1. Final slope angles are shown in d). Cross-section locations are shown in a).

Figure 8: 3D visualisation of the Model 1 reconstruction. a) 3D view showing selected cross-sections and the final basal polymer surface. The polymer ridges indicate the delta top graben and radial extensional graben systems. The delta toe fold-thrust belt was arcuate and highly segmented. b) 3D view of the final top surface of the model showing the final lobate delta top differential load. c) Final interpreted fault-fold geometries.

Figure 9: Model 3 with progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation analysed using digital image correlation (DIC) plan view plots at progressive time intervals. a) to c) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph. d) to f) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains. g) to i) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements. The translational zone dramatically broadened. The fold belt advanced rapidly, was cut by tear faults, and had very active frontal folds.

Figure 10: a) Final plan view geometries of Model 3 at 11.5 hrs illustrated by laser scan topography overlain by structural interpretation. The delta top grabens had dominant counterregional growth faults and the delta toe was cut by tear faults. b-f) Interpreted internal vertical cross-sections from Model 3. Cross-section locations are shown in a).

Figure 11: Model 4 with aggradational syn-kinematic sedimentation and basement steps analysed using digital image correlation (DIC). a) to c) show interpreted structures from top photographs, d) to f) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph, g) to i) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains, and j) to l) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements. Radial outward spreading was partitioned into two principal directions and the structures became segmented across the basement steps.

Figure 12: a) Final plan view geometries of Model 4 at 14.5 hrs illustrated by laser scan topography overlain by structural interpretation. The delta top graben system and delta toe fold belt became segmented above the basement steps. b-e) Interpreted internal vertical cross-sections from Model 4. Final slope angles are shown in c) & d). Cross-section locations are shown in a).

Figure 13: 3D view of the Model 4 reconstruction showing the final basal polymer surface and selected cross-sections. The underlying passive basement steps had differential basal polymer thicknesses of 1, 2 and 1.5 cm thickness in domains A, B & C, respectively. Both delta top and delta toe
structures were segmented across the basement steps. Delta top structures were perturbed updip of the inboard basement step.

Figure 14: Model 5 evolution analysed using digital image correlation (DIC) plan view plots at progressive time intervals. a) to c) show interpreted structures from photographs, d) to f) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph, g) to i) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains, and j) to l) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements. Radial outward spreading was partitioned into two principal directions. The translational zone dramatically broadened and was offset above the basement steps.

Figure 15: a) Final plan view geometries of Model 5 with basement steps and progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation at 12.75 hrs illustrated by laser scan topography and overlain by structural interpretation. Delta top and delta toe structures were segmented across the basement steps. b-e) Interpreted internal vertical cross-sections from Model 5. Cross-section locations are shown in a).

Figure 16: Comparison of plan view digital image correlation (DIC) between Models 1,3,4 & 5 at an intermediate deformation stage. a) to d) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph. e) to h) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains, i) to l) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements with main spreading directions indicated by red arrows. All models spread radially outward. Models 3 & 5 with progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation formed a wide translational zone. Models 4 & 5 with basement steps had partitioned spreading directions and segmented strains. All images are at the same scale.

Figure 17: Comparison between analogue models and Niger Delta spreading patterns. a) to c) Model 5 DIC analysis showing 2-hour incremental horizontal translations and spreading directions. d) Niger Delta fault map from Figure 1c, rotated for comparison to the analogue model. The dual, divergent spreading directions developed in Model 5 show similarities to the Niger Delta delta toe shortening directions.

Figure 18: Conceptual model of structures formed by radial spreading across basement steps at the Niger Delta, based on insights from the analogue models in this study.

Table Captions

Table 1: Summary list of analogue experiments of gravity-driven delta systems. Refer to Figure 4 for detailed model configurations.

Supplemental figure captions

Figure S1: Progressive evolution of Model 2 with syn-kinematic sedimentation on both the delta top and delta toe. Plan view evolution illustrated at a-b) 2.75 hrs, c-d) 8 hrs, and e-f) 13.5 hrs using sequential photographs and line
drawing interpretations, respectively. Photograph illumination is from the right.

Figure S2: Model 2 evolution analysed using digital image correlation (DIC) at progressive time intervals. a) to c) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph. d) to f) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains. g) to i) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements. The progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation produced dramatic widening of the translational zone and rapid advance of the delta toe fold-thrust belt. Radial outward spreading was buttressed by the distal polymer pinchout and a tear fault array formed at the inner delta toe.

Figure S3: a) Final plan view geometries of Model 2 at 13.5 hrs illustrated by laser scan topography overlain by structural interpretation. b) Interpreted internal vertical cross-section from Model 2. Cross-section location is shown in a).

Figure S4: Model 3 with syn-kinematic sedimentation on both the delta top and delta toe. The basal polymer layer was doubled in length to allow the delta toe fold belt to propagate further outwards. Plan view evolution illustrated at a-b) 2 hrs, c-d) 8 hrs, and e-f) 11.5 hrs using sequential photographs and line drawing interpretations, respectively. Illumination of the photographs is from the right.

Figure S5: Model 4 with syn-kinematic sedimentation on the delta top only. The sandpack was allowed to deform above passive basement steps shown in b). Plan view evolution illustrated at a-b) 2.5 hrs, c-d) 7.25 hrs, e-f) 14.5 hrs using sequential photographs and line drawing interpretations, respectively. Photograph illumination is from the right.

Figure S6: Model 5 with syn-kinematic sedimentation on both the delta top and the delta toe. The sandpack was allowed to deform above passive basement steps shown in b). Plan view evolution illustrated at a-b) 2.25 hrs, c-d) 7.5 hrs, and e-f) 12.75 hrs using sequential photographs and line drawing interpretations, respectively. Photograph illumination is from the right.
Wu et al. Figure 1
Figure 2: 3D schematics showing experimental setup for the gravity-driven delta analogue models. a) Geometry of the pre-kinematic lobate differential load and the ductile polymer substrate. The cutaway reveals the polymer geometry. b) Syn-kinematic sand layers were progressively added to the delta top and/or delta toe during the experiment. Details for specific experiments are shown in Figure 4. c) Analogue model layering shown by photographs and line diagram. Pre-kinematic sand layers were coloured alternating blue, black and white whereas syn-kinematic sand layers were coloured alternating red and white.

A = Syn-kinematic; B & C = Pre-kinematic; D = SGM36 polymer

Wu et al. Figure 2
Figure 3: Basement configurations for the analogue models in this study in comparison to the Niger Delta prototype. 

a) Series 1 featureless basement: 
- Initial delta top
- Initial delta toe
- Basal polymer layer: 80 x 100 x 1 cm thickness

b) Series 2 basement steps: 
- Domain A
- Polymer thickness: A - 1 cm; B - 2 cm; C - 1.5 cm
- Basement step

- Initial delta top
- Polymer top

- 100 km
- 200 m
- 2000 m
- 0 km
- 0 m
- 5 m
- 10 km

c) Niger Delta setting: 
- Total sediment thickness
- Edge of data
- Chain FZ
- Charcot FZ
- Coast
- Niger Delta

- 128 cm
- 123 cm

Wu et al. Figure 3
### Figure 4

Model for the 3D gravity-driven delta analogue models presented here. Series 1 models had a horizontal basement whereas Series 2 models had basement steps. Syn-kinematic sedimentation patterns were varied between ‘aggradational’ and ‘progradational’. The basal polymer layer was doubled in length in Models 3 & 5 to allow the model deltas to advance further basinward.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model series</th>
<th>Model number</th>
<th>Initial load</th>
<th>Polymer size</th>
<th>Domain A</th>
<th>Polymer thickness: A - 1 cm</th>
<th>B - 2 cm</th>
<th>C - 1.5 cm</th>
<th>Baseline step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Agradational sedimentation</td>
<td>Polymer 80 x 100cm x 1cm</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>Progradational sedimentation</td>
<td>Polymer 80 x 100cm x 1cm</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>Progradational sedimentation</td>
<td>Polymer 80 x 100cm x 1cm</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>Agradational sedimentation &amp; basement steps</td>
<td>Polymer 80 x 158cm x 1cm</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Model 5</td>
<td>Progradational sedimentation &amp; basement steps</td>
<td>Polymer 80 x 158cm x 1cm</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Initial model configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial model configuration</th>
<th>Plan view</th>
<th>Cross-sectional view</th>
<th>Sedimentary sequence</th>
<th>Syn-kinematic sedimentation</th>
<th>Pre-kinematic load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 3 4 5</td>
<td>12 3 4 5</td>
<td>12 3 4 5</td>
<td>12 3 4 5</td>
<td>12 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Time in hrs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in hrs</th>
<th>13.5</th>
<th>13.5</th>
<th>11.5</th>
<th>14.5</th>
<th>12.75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Sedimentary sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sedimentary sequence</th>
<th>1 5 4 2 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Model configuration

- **Initial load**: Polymer
- **Polymer size**: 80 x 100 cm x 1 cm
- **Domain A**
- **Polymer thickness**: A - 1 cm, B - 2 cm, C - 1.5 cm
- **Baseline step**
Figure 5: Progressive evolution of Model 1 with syn-kinematic sedimentation on the delta top only. Plan view evolution illustrated at a-b) 0.5 hrs, c-d) 7 hrs, e-f) 13.5 hrs using sequential photographs and line drawing interpretations, respectively. Photograph illumination is from the right.

Limit of basal polymer

Wu et al. Figure 5
Incremental particle displacement vectors & top photograph

Incremental strain

Incremental horizontal displacement

Figure 6: Model 1 evolution analysed using digital image correlation (DIC). a-c) show progressive spreading directions from 2-hour incremental particle movement vectors superimposed on a plan view photograph. d) to f) progressive strain evolution from 2-hour incremental horizontal strain plots. g) to i) show the translational zone from 2-hour incremental total horizontal displacement plots. Model 1 was characterised by uniform radial outward spreading and the formation of arcuate delta top extensional, delta slope translational, and delta toe compressional zones. Extensional grabens radial to the delta lobe formed at the slope.

Wu et al. Figure 6
Figure 7: a) Final plan view geometries of Model 1 at 13.5 hrs illustrated by the reconstructed final model topography and overlain by structural interpretation. Deformation was characterised by delta top grabens, delta slope radial grabens, an emergent polymer bulge at the delta toe and a delta toe fold belt. b-f) Interpreted internal vertical cross-sections from Model 1. Final slope angles are shown in d). Cross-section locations are shown in a).
Figure 8: 3D visualisation of the Model 1 reconstruction.  

a) 3D view showing selected cross-sections and the final basal polymer surface.  The polymer ridges indicate the delta top graben and radial extensional graben systems.  The delta toe fold-thrust belt was arcuate and highly segmented.  

b) 3D view of the final top surface of the model showing the final lobate delta top differential load.  

c) Final interpreted fault-fold geometries.
Figure 9: Model 3 with progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation analysed using digital image correlation (DIC) plan view plots at progressive time intervals. a) to c) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph. d) to f) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains. g) to i) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements. The translational zone dramatically broadened. The fold belt advanced rapidly, was cut by tear faults, and had very active frontal folds.

Interpreted structures

Incremental particle displacement vectors & top photograph

Incremental strain

Incremental horizontal displacement

Wu et al. Figure 9
a) Final laser scan and interpreted structures

b) Section 1

Delta top Slope Delta toe

b) Section 1

Delta top Slope Delta toe

c) 2

d) 3

e) 4

f) 5

Wu et al. Figure 10
Figure 11: Model 4 with aggradational syn-kinematic sedimentation and basement steps analysed using digital image correlation (DIC).

- a) to c) show interpreted structures from top photographs.
- d) to f) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph.
- g) to i) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains.
- j) to l) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements.

Radial outward spreading was partitioned into two principal directions and the structures became segmented across the basement steps.

Interpreted structures:
- Extension above older buried folds
- Basement step locations
- Domain A, B, C
- Relative velocities: Low, High
- Incremental strain:
  - Total strain $(Exx+Eyy)$
  - Extension
  - Contraction
- Incremental horizontal displacement:
  - Delta top graben
  - Delta toe fold belt
  - Tear fault
  - Initial translational zone
  - Main gravity spreading directions
Wu et al. Figure 12

a) Final plan view geometries of Model 4 at 14.5 hrs illustrated by laser scan topography overlain by structural interpretation. The delta top graben system and delta toe fold belt became segmented above the basement steps. b-e) Interpreted internal vertical cross-sections from Model 4. Final slope angles are shown in c) & d). Cross-section locations are shown in a.)
Delta toe fold belt

Delta top

Grabens formed above older fold-thrusts

Delta toe fold belt

Basement steps

Inboard basement step

Domain A

Basement steps

Domain B

Basement steps

Domain C

Basal polymer

- - Basement steps

Figure 13: 3D view of the Model 4 reconstruction showing the final basal polymer surface and selected cross-sections. The underlying passive basement steps had differential basal polymer thicknesses of 1, 2 and 1.5 cm thickness in domains A, B & C, respectively. Both delta top and delta toe structures were segmented across the basement steps. Wu et al. Figure 13
Figure 14: Model 5 evolution analysed using digital image correlation (DIC) plan view plots at progressive time intervals. a) to c) show interpreted structures from photographs, d) to f) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph, g) to i) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains, and j) to l) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements. Radial outward spreading was partitioned into two principal directions. The translational zone dramatically broadened and was offset above the basement steps.

Wu et al. Figure 14
Figure 15:

a) Final laser scan and interpreted structures

b) Section 1

Delta top  Slope  Delta toe

Limit of basal polymer layer

C

Domain A

Fold-thrust anticline  Extensional fault  Strike-slip fault  10 cm

Basement steps

10 cm

Basement steps

Syn-kinematic strata  Pre-kinematic strata  Polymer

Wu et al. Figure 15
Figure 16: Comparison of plan view digital image correlation (DIC) between Models 1, 3, 4 & 5 at an intermediate deformation stage. a) to d) 2-hour incremental particle displacement vectors overlain on a plan view photograph. e) to h) 2-hour incremental horizontal strains, i) to l) 2-hour incremental horizontal displacements with main spreading directions indicated by red arrows. All models spread radially outward. Models 3 & 5 with progradational syn-kinematic sedimentation formed a wide translational zone. Models 4 & 5 with basement steps had partitioned spreading directions and segmented strains. All images are at the same scale.
Figure 17: Comparison between analogue models and Niger Delta spreading patterns. 

- **a)** Model 5 - 2.25 hrs
- **b)** 7.5 hrs
- **c)** 12.75 hrs

The dual, divergent spreading directions developed in Model 5 show similarities to the Niger Delta delta toe shortening directions.

**Legend:**
- **Spreading vectors**
- **Translational zone front**
- **Basement steps**
- **Niger Delta top**
- **Coast**
- **100 km**
- **Model 5 spreading directions from Fig. 17c**

Wu et al. Figure 17
Figure 18: Conceptual model of structures formed by radial spreading across basement steps at the Niger Delta, based on insights from the analogue models in this study. 

Wu et al. Figure 18
**Syn-kinematic sedimentation:**

- **Aggradational** - hourly sedimentation on delta top only
- **Progradational** - hourly sedimentation on delta top & delta toe fold belt
- *additional thin 1mm sand layer between fold belt synclines applied between every ‘progradational’ event

Wu et al. Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model number</th>
<th>Model series</th>
<th>Syn-kinematic sedimentation</th>
<th>Basement topography</th>
<th>Basal polymer dimensions</th>
<th>Deformation time (hrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aggradational</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>standard (80 x 100 cm)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Progradational</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Progradational*</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>double-length (158 x 100 cm)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aggradational</td>
<td>basement steps</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Progradational</td>
<td>basement steps</td>
<td>double-length</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wu et al. Table 1.