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**ABSTRACT**

An organismal concept for the Late Devonian/Mississippian hydrasperman seed fern *Tetrastichia bupatides* is developed from specimens collected at Oxroad Bay, East Lothian Scotland and Ballyheigue, County Kerry, Ireland. Specimens include interconnected fragments of stems, frond rachides, pinnae, pinnules, roots, pollen organs with enclosed pre-pollen, and cupules, as well as dispersed ovules. Both morphological and anatomical features are documented. The plant produces an unbranched, upright stem with a branched taproot, and small adventitious roots at the base of the stem. Stems have a mesarch actinostele with sympodial protoxylem architecture. Phyllotaxis ranges from helical to opposite/decussate, with planar fronds that typically fork twice at the base, and then produce pinnules of the *Rhodea*-type. Compact aggregate pollen organs are attached distally on secondary rachides and are constructed of cruciately forking axes that terminate in inverted, round, simple synangia of six elongated microsporangia attached to a basal pad of tissue. Ovulate cupules of the *Calathospermum fimbriatum* type are attached at the base of the frond. Ovules possibly could be *Salpingostoma dasu* or *Eospermum oxroadense*. *Tetrastichia bupatides* is now one of the most completely reconstructed of all Devonian-Mississippian hydrasperman seed ferns, and the most ancient gymnosperm for which the pattern of rooting has been established. The occurrence of a taproot at the base of the stem suggests that the plant may exhibit bipolar growth derived from a cotyledonary embryo.
1. Introduction

The origin of gymnospermous biology is among the seminal innovations in the evolution of modern land plants, and is characterized by the indehiscent, integumented megasporangium (i.e., the ovule or seed; Stewart and Rothwell, 1993), coupled with pollination to facilitate gametophyte development and fertilization, and with abscission to facilitate dispersal of the propagule (Rothwell and Scheckler, 1988). Additional features that characterize modern gymnosperms include post-zygotic quiescence (seed dormancy; Mapes, et al., 1989), bipolar growth from a cotyledonary embryo (Rothwell and Serbet, 1994), open repetitive growth architecture (Hallé et al., 1978), shoots with fully evolved stem, leaf, root organography (Sanders et al., 2009), sympodial protoxylem architecture of the stem (Rothwell, 2021), eustelic stem structure (Beck, 1970), and secondary growth from a bifacial vascular cambium (Beck, 1960). Most living gymnosperms also have axillary branching of the seed plant type (Rothwell, 1976; Stevenson, 2020). Evidence from previous studies reveals that many of these characters evolved in a non-synchronous fashion, with some being common to the most ancient gymnosperms (i.e., secondary vascular tissues from a bifacial vascular cambium; Hilton and Bateman 2006). Other characters apparently evolved later among ancient gymnosperms, including axillary branching, sympodial protoxylem architecture of the stem, eustelic stelar architecture of the stem, fully evolved stem-leaf organography, and indehiscent megasporangia and pollination (Seward, 1911; Galtier, 1977, 1999; Beck, 1970; Rothwell and Scheckler, 1988; Sanders et al., 2009; Stevenson, 2020; Stewart and Rothwell, 1993; Galtier and Meyer-Berthaud, 2006; Hilton and Bateman, 2006; Rothwell, 2021).
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The evolution of still other characters has not yet been documented (i.e., cotyledonary embryo, bipolar growth) or else occurs in differing combinations among the most ancient gymnosperms, such that many of the characters we associate with modern gymnosperms appear to have evolved in a mosaic fashion among ancient extinct plants with gymnospermous biology. Against this background, the development of whole plant reconstructions and organismal concepts is crucial for documenting patterns of evolution for characters, and for resolving the pattern of phylogeny for the most ancient gymnosperms (Hilton and Bateman, 2009), most of which probably reproduced by hydrasperman reproduction.

The current study is a continuation of work begun more than 35 years ago with the goal of characterizing the plant community(s) represented by fossils preserved in and around the Oxroad Bay cliff assemblage (i.e., exposure A of Bateman and Rothwell, 1990, Bateman and Scott, 1990), and reconstructing plants that comprise those communities (Bateman and Rothwell, 1990). These goals are facilitated by unusual geology whereby fragments of whole plants are entombed in volcanic ash. Although substantial progress has been made toward those ends (Rothwell and Wight, 1989; Bateman and Rothwell, 1990; Rothwell and Scott, 1992; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012), *Tetrastichia bupatides* Gordon is the first detailed whole plant concept to be achieved for a gymnospermous plant species in the Oxroad Bay assemblages.

Herein we augment our understanding of the *Tetrastichia bupatides* plant by providing evidence for frond architecture, and structure of the distal frond and pinnules. We also document structure of the pollen producing organs, the identity and attachment of the ovulate cupules, and augment and evaluate the first direct evidence for rooting of a Devonian-
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Mississippian hydrasperman seed fern. Although ovules have not yet been found in attachment to the *T. bupatides* plant, the Oxroad Bay material illuminates evidence for the nature of the seeds and allows us to offer a reconstruction characterizing the overall architecture and stature of the *Tetrastichia bupatides* plant (Fig. 1).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Material and occurrences

Plant fragments upon which the current study is based are preserved by calcareous cellular permineralization (sensu Schopf, 1975) in nearly a hundred in-situ and loose blocks from the cliff face (i.e., Exposure A of Bateman and Rothwell, 1990; Bateman and Scott, 1990) at Oxroad Bay, Tantallon, on the coast of East Lothian, Scotland (Plate I), and by siliceous cellular permineralization in blocks from the latest Devonian near Ballyheigue, County Kerry, Ireland. The Oxroad Bay material includes blocks, peels, and slides previously prepared and studied by Gordon (1938), Barnard (1959, 1960a, 1960b, 1962), Barnard and Long (1973), Long (1975), Rothwell and Scott (1985), Scott and Rex, (1987), Bateman (1988), Rothwell and Wight (1989), Bateman and Rothwell (1990), Bateman and Scott (1990), Scott (1990), and Dunn and Rothwell (2012; Table 1). A large percentage of the material from exposure A (Bateman and Scott, 1990) at Oxroad Bay, was collected in 1984 by R.M. Bateman, A.C. Scott, G.W. Rothwell and a contingent of workers from the Department of Geology, Chelsea College, University of London in 1984 (Bateman and Rothwell, 1990). The horizon and one of the calcareous cemented blocks of ash-bearing plants is from the same fossiliferous lens that was first sampled and described by Gordon (1938) and that yielded the
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Subsequent preparation of the material from the 1984 collection produced more than 8000 cellulose acetate peels (Joy et al., 1956), several hundred microscope slides of peels (Table 1) and ground thin sections (Stein et al., 1982 and references therein; Plate I, 5, 6).

This Oxroad Bay material was studied in conjunction with the specimens published earlier by other workers (Gordon, 1938; Barnard, 1959, 1960a, 1960b; Barnard and Long, 1973; Long, 1975; Bateman and Rothwell, 1990, Dunn and Rothwell, 2012; Table 1). Thin sections were also prepared to study the preservation of the plant bearing ashes (Plate I: 4 – 6; Scott 1990).

Additional stems and rachides of *Tetrastichia bupatides* investigated in the current study are preserved in the flora of permineralized plant fragments in Late Devonian deposits near Ballyheigue, County Kerry on the west coast of southern Ireland (Matten et al., 1975, 1980a, 1980b, 1984; May and Matten, 1983). One of those specimens was initially recognized as *T. bupatides* (Matten et al., 1984). Others were described as *Laceya hibernica* May & Matten (May and Maten, 1983), but more recently recognized as falling within the range of variation for stems and frond bases of *T. bupatides* (Dunn and Rothwell, 2012). The Ballyheigue specimens include the most basal available stem segment with attached adventitious roots (Plate III, 7). They reveal that *T. bupatides* also occurs in uppermost Devonian deposits of southwestern Ireland, thus extending the geographic and stratigraphic ranges of the species and providing additional material for characterizing the plant.

2.2. Study techniques, preparation methods, and specimen repositories

Shoot morphologies, frond architecture, pinnule morphology, tap and adventitious root structure, pollen organ structure and attachment, and ovulate cupule structure and attachment
Reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* are documented from serial sections of permineralized plant fragments prepared from blocks of largely calcareous matrix by the cellulose acetate peel technique (Joy et al., 1956). Peels are affixed to glass microscope slides with the xylene soluble mounting medium Eukitt (O. Kindler GmbH, Freiberg, Germany). Because most blocks also include opaque permineralizing minerals (e.g., pyrite), some specimens have been wafered into 1 mm thick sections using an Isomet slow speed saw (Buehler Corp., Lake Bluff, IL, USA) and mounted on microscope slides for study. Specimens preserved by siliceous cellular permineralization from the Ballyheigue, County Kerry on the west coast of southern Ireland were also serial sectioned by the cellulose acetate peel technique for previous studies (May and Matten 1983; Matten et al., 1984). Because histological features of this material are revealed in greatest detail while peels remain on the rock surfaces, most of the photographs of those sections were captured before the peels were removed.

Photo-microscopy was accomplished with an Olympus DP-12 digital camera mounted on Reichert Diastar and Olympus SZ-CTV microscopes, and a Nikon SMZ1500 Microscope with Digital Sight DS-2MBWc, DS-U1 Camera and NIS Freeware 2.10. Additional transmitted light images were captured using a Better Light digital scanning camera (Better Light Inc., Placerville, California, USA) mounted on a Leitz Aristophot large-format camera and focused through either Summar lenses or a Zeiss WL compound microscope. Images were processed and plates constructed using Adobe Photoshop CS3 Extended and stored as TIFF and PSD files. Identity and location of studied materials are detailed in Table 1. Images are archived at the Division of Paleobotany, Natural History Museum and Biodiversity Research Center, University of Kansas.
2.3. Identification of plant fragments

A close similarity of histological features among most small seed ferns preserved in the Oxroad Bay cliff sediments (i.e., exposure A of Bateman and Rothwell 1990; Bateman and Scott, 1990; Plate II, 2, 5) makes accurate identification and correlation of small frond and other dispersed organ fragments extremely difficult. This has led to confusion about identification of *Tetrastichia bupatides* and *Triradioxylon primaevum* Barnard & Long in earlier studies (e.g., Pl. XI, Fig. 3 of Barnard, 1960a; Fig. 4e of Dunn and Rothwell, 2012). However, there are several subtle differences in vascular tissue configurations and other histological features between foliar fragments of *Tetrastichia bupatides* and those of *Triradioxylon primaevum*, which are the most common vegetative gymnosperm fossils preserved in Exposure A at Oxroad Bay (Bateman and Rothwell, 1990; Rothwell pers. observations). Recent recognition of correlated combinations of such differences has allowed for more accurate identification of most plant fragments (Table 2).

Pinnae of *Tetrastichia bupatides* have a variable number of small, more-or-less interconnected bundles arranged in a row, a gentle arc, or a U-shape (Plate II, 1, 5 – 7; Plate V, 3, 4). By contrast, those of *Triradioxylon* typically have one or two relatively large, elliptical leaf traces (Plate II, 1, 5, at “Tri”). Whereas, both species have a well-developed *Sparganum* Unger/Dictyoxylon Williamson-type sclerotic hypodermis and an inner parenchymatous cortex, in *Triradioxylon* (Plate II, 1, 2, 5, at “Tri”) the sclerotic zone is relatively thicker than in *Tetrastichia* (Plate II, 1, 5, 7). Also, the individual sclerotic cortical bundles of *Tetrastichia bupatides* (e.g., Plate II, 7) tend to be smaller and more widely spaced than in *Triradioxylon primaevum*, which often has a nearly solid sclerotic outer cortex (Plate
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II, 1, 2, 5). In *T. primaevum* the parenchyma cells of the inner cortex tend to have more robust walls (i.e., often are more completely preserved) than those of *T. bupatides* and are more tightly packed than in *T. bupatides*. In addition, some cells of the parenchymatous ground tissue in *T. bupatides* have distinctive cells with black contents that typically are absent from specimens of *T. primaevum* (Plate II). Together, these contrasting combinations of characters (Table 2) aid in the confident identification of most tissue fragments in the permineralized blocks from Exposure A at Oxroad Bay.

3. Systematic Palaeobotany

*Class:* SPERMATOPSIDA sensu Serbet and Rothwell, 1994  

*Family:* TETRASTICHIACEAE Fam. Nov.  

*Familial diagnosis:* Hydrasperman seed ferns with mesarch actinostele, narrow stelar ribs, and sympodial protoxylem architecture. Fronds planar, forking proximally, pinnate distally; rachial pinnules absent. Pollen organs attached to fronds distally; aggregate and compact, consisting of cruciately forked, axes with inverted, round, simple synangia of elongated sporangia attached to basal pad of tissue; prepollen trilete. Ovulate cupules large with ring of highly dissected peripheral lobes surrounding numerous terete central lobes; attached at base of fronds.

*Genus:* **Tetrastichia** Gordon 1938 emend.

*Type species:* *Tetrastichia bupatides* Gordon 1938  

*Type Specimen (Letotype):* In his original description of *Tetrastichia bupatides*, Gordon did not designate a holotype specimen. Therefore, the 34 slides in the Gordon Collection, Natural
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204 *Localities:* Lectotype and topotype specimens collected along North Sea coast, east of Tantallon Castle, East Lothian, Scotland, 40 km E of Edinburgh (NT599848), identified as Exposure A by Bateman and Rothwell (1990), and as documented by Bateman and Scott (1990; Plate I, 1-3). Additional specimens from exposure located adjacent to beach near Ballyeigue, Co. Kerry, Ireland (52° 23’ 20” N; 9° 50’ 30” W), as documented by May and Matten (1983).

210 *Stratigraphy and Age:*

211 *Oxroad Bay Stratigraphy:* The stratigraphic nomenclature of the Oxroad Bay sequence has been revised on several occasions since the publication of Bateman and Scott (1990) and Bateman et al. (1995). Currently the mixed succession of pyroclastic and reworked pyroclastic rocks in the cliff at Oxroad Bay belongs to the North Berwick Member, the basal unit of the Garleton Hills Volcanic Formation (Browne, et al., 1999; Monaghan and arrish 2006) that is stratigraphically above the Ballagan Formation.

217 *Oxroad Bay Age:* Two samples from 5 and 10 m below the position of the main Gordon plant bed yielded CM zone miospores that indicate a late Tournaisian age (i.e. Mississippian; Scott et al. 1984). These samples were reported to have been from localities B...
Reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* and near exposure C of Bateman and Scott (1990). Bateman and Scott (1990) indicated that similar miospore assemblages occur in samples from Exposures D and E higher in the succession and this suggests that the entire plant-bearing sequence is of late Tournaisian age.

The position of the CM and Pu zone boundary has proven controversial. In a borehole 9 km away, summarized graphically in the Dunbar memoir (Davies et al., 1986), more than 100 m of Pu zone Ballagan Formation are present beneath the base of the Garelton Hills Volcanic Formation. Note that volcaniclastic rocks are interbedded with the uppermost part of the Ballagan in the borehole and an abrupt conformable passage into the volcanic event is clear. It is not obvious, therefore, if the strata are of latest Tournaisian or earliest Visean in age (see Stephenson et al., 2004 for a discussion of some of the issues regarding the palynological zonation). The top of the Garleton Hills Volcanic pile has been radiometrically dated by Monaghan et al (2014) and the error bar extends to about 348 Ma. On the most recent International Chronostratigraphic Chart (https://stratigraphy.org/ICSchart/ChronostratChart2020-03.pdf) the Tournaisian-Visean boundary is now 346.7 ±0.4 Ma, so the Garleton Hills radiometric dates within error extend into the Tournaisian at least.

*Ballyheigue Stratigraphy*: The sequence belongs to the upper 200m of the Upper Old Red Sandstone. Stratigraphically the plant bed is located in the Inshaboy Formation (Diemer et al., 1987).

*Ballyheigue Age*: The age of the plant bed is dated by palynology. Miospore assemblages associated with the plant beds originally gave a Latest Devonian (LM Biozone) age to Bridge et al. (1980). Additional miospore assemblages studied by Higgs et al., (1988)
Reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* from the plant bed site gave a slightly younger Upper Devonian age. Higgs et al., (2013, p.35) assigned a LE Biozone age to the Plant Bed. The LE Biozone is Latest Famennian in age and palynological studies from well-dated marine sections in Germany show the LE miospore biozone is biostratigraphically constrained between the upper *expansa* to middle *praesulcata* conodont zones of Latest Famennian age (see Streel, 2009, p.172) (i.e., Devonian).

*Emended combined generic and specific diagnosis:* Upright plants having unbranched stem up to 22 mm in diameter, with determinant growth; fronds in 1/3, 2/5, or opposite/decussate phyllotaxy. Stem with three to five (possibly 6) narrow-ribbed protostele, most commonly four. Each rib with single mesarch, cauline protoxylem strand; intermittent central protoxylem infrequently present, but not contributing to frond vascularization. Secondary xylem in minority of stems, having numerous wide rays of all sizes. Cortex of inner parenchymatous zone with sclerotic clusters and cells with black contents; outer sclerotic zone of *Sparganum* and/or *Dictyoxylon*-type. Rachis trace initiation by radial division of single cauline protoxylem strand; more peripheral strand dividing tangentially, producing six-eight protoxylem strands located near abaxial margin of ribbed rachis trace. Diverging frond trace first forming ‘butterfly’ shaped bundle, ultimately appearing shallowly U-shaped. Rachis ≥10 cm long with pulvinus, typically forking twice to form tertiary rachides with opposite, subopposite, and alternate, highly dissected pinnules. Pinnules vascularized by terete trace with single protoxylem, originating from outer margin of corrugated tertiary rachis trace; pinnules forking repeatedly from base, with *Rhodea* Presl-type morphology. Roots of the *Amyelon* type, consisting of large branched taproot and small adventitious roots; typically
Reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* with tetrarch actinostele. Aggregate pollen organs, cruciately forked several times; terminating in simple synangia of six elongated sporangia. Cupules large and ellipsoidal, with structure conforming to *Calathospermum fimbriatum* Barnard; attached to base of rachis immediately distal to divergence of frond from stem.

4. Description of *Tetrastichia bupatides*

Earlier studies of *T. bupatides* provide detailed descriptions for the stems and frond bases (Gordon, 1938; Barnard, 1960a; May and Matten, 1983; Matten et al., 1984; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012), including ranges of variation for many features of external morphology, internal anatomy, phyllotaxis, and primary and secondary growth. Some evidence for rooting of the plant also has been introduced (May and Matten, 1983; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012), but not elaborated previously. These features (Gordon, 1938; Barnard, 1960a; May and Matten, 1983; Matten et al., 1984; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012) are summarized below; for details the reader is referred to the pertinent references.

4.1. Stem structure

*Tetrastichia bupatides* stems are narrow, ranging 8 – 22 mm in diameter. Among the specimens described by previous authors, stem branching has not been reported. Likewise, no evidence of stem branching has been found in our search for such among the large number of specimens available for study. Stems have a ribbed protostele with narrow ribs that vary in number from three to five or possibly six along the length of individual stem segments. Fronds are produced in 1/3, 2/5, and opposite/decussate arrangements depending on the structure of the stele at a given node. Internodal lengths are relatively short, ranging 5 to 42
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**mm**, but incomplete internodes up to 9 cm also are present among the specimens. Stems have a parenchymatous inner cortex with sclerotic nests and black contents in some cells, and a sclerotic outer cortex that varies from the *Sparganum* to the *Dictyoxylon* configuration. Secondary xylem is present in a small percentage of stems of all sizes, but primary cortex remains intact even in those with the most wood (e.g., Plate III, 7). Therefore, stems did not increase in girth due to the production of secondary tissues.

Stem protoxylem architecture is sympodial, with a cauline protoxylem strand located midway along each stelar rib. A central protoxylem strand occurs intermittently in a few stems but does not contribute to appendage vascularization. Vascularization of each frond begins with the radial division of the sympodial protoxylem strand in a stelar rib. Proceeding distally, the more peripheral protoxylem strand extends toward the tip of the rib and divides tangentially to produce a row of up to about eight protoxylem strands near the abaxial surface of one or a pair of metaxylem bundles. Frond divergence is at a wide angle (~80–90°).

### 4.2. Frond architecture and pinnule structure

Because the fronds of *T. bupatides* are relatively large (up to ~0.3 m) and highly dissected, there undoubtedly is variation among specimens. However, all vegetative divisions are in the same plane (Table 3), forming a strictly planar frond. Together, information developed in earlier studies (Gordon, 1938; Barnard, 1960a; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012) and data gathered from several hundred foliar fragments (e.g., Plate II; Plate IV; Plate V, 1-5; e.g., Table 3) now provide evidence to characterize complete fronds. Fronds produce a distinct pulvinus, and have a rachis that typically forks twice, the first 2–10 cm from the stem (Gordon, 1938; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012). Rachial pinnules are absent, but two specimens
Reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* show the divergence of laterals with two protoxylem strands distal to the first fork (Table 3).

The structure of those laterals is uncertain.

Branching of the tertiary pinnae is pinnate and variable, with opposite, subopposite, and alternate arrangements all represented among the frond fragments studied (e.g., Fig. 1g, 8b; Fig. 3 of Gordon, 1938; Figs. 15a, 15b, 15m-p of Barnard, 1960a; Figs. 8e-e of Dunn and Rothwell, 2012). Tertiary pinnae are roughly oval in cross sections, except at the levels of branching, where they have a concave adaxial surface (Plate II, 5, 7), and they produce only pinnules (e.g., Plate II, 7; IV, 1, 5). Individual tertiary pinnae range 1–3 mm wide (Table 3), with an arc- or c-shaped trace that consists of two to ~five more-or-less interconnected bundles (Plate II, 1, 5, 7; Plate IV, 2, 3; fig. 41 of Gordon, 1938), each with a single protoxylem strand near the abaxial surface of the metaxylem (e.g., Plate II, 7, at blue arrowheads). Vascular tissue is surrounded by mesophyll that consists of an inner zone of incompletely preserved parenchyma cells, some of which have black contents (Plate II, 7; Plate IV, 4) and outer zone of interconnected sclerenchyma bundles (Plate II, 1, 5, 7).

We found no evidence for the more highly dissected pinnate regions of the frond reconstructed by Barnard (i.e., Fig. 13 of Barnard, 1960a). Rather, tertiary pinnae produce laterals with the characteristic vascularization and structure of pinnules (Table 3). This occurs consistently by separation of one of the peripheral protoxylem strands and accompanying metaxylem from the pinna rachis bundle (Table 3; Plate IV, 5), followed by the divergence of an oval lateral member (Plate II, 7.) as the pinnule base. In an earlier study (Dunn and Rothwell, 2012) pinnule bases were identified by the lateral divergence of one bundle and surrounding tissues (e.g., Plate II, 7, at red arrowhead; Fig. 15 of Barnard, 1960a), but more
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Distal levels of the pinnules were not recognized.

Among the specimens available to the current study there are numerous attached and relatively complete pinnules sectioned in both cross (Plate II, 2-4, 8, 9; Plate IV, 5, 6) and longitudinal (Plate II, 5, 6) views. Attached pinnules are of the *Rhodea* type (sensu Arnold, 1947, Jennings, 1976), which is the most common morphology for pinnules of putative seed ferns from Mississippian (early Carboniferous) deposits up through Namurian A (e.g., Kidston, 1923; Arnold, 1947; Jennings, 1976; Scott, 1985; Rowe, 1988; Meyer-Berthaud, 1989; Taylor et al., 2009). Individual pinnules are roughly deltoid, $\geq 20$ mm long (Plate II, 6) and $\geq 15$ mm wide (Plate II, 2, 4).

Each pinnule consists of up to $\sim 15$-$20$ elongated narrow segments (Plate II, 5, 6) that fork dichotomously (Plate II, 3, 6) in a single plane (Plate II, 2, 4). The first dichotomy occurs immediately distal to the base of the pinnule (Plate II, 5, 7), and the number of forks varies among pinnules, with up to four successive forks occurring in a single section of an incomplete pinnule (Plate II, 6, at arrows). Between successive forks, each pinnule segment is oval in cross section (Plate II, 2, 4, 8), unless taphonomically distorted (Plate II, 9). Internally, pinnule segments have a single terete bundle surrounded by parenchymatous ground tissue in which a variable percentage of cells have black internal contents (Plate II, 2-9). A narrow zone of sclerotic cortex like that of the pinna rachis characterizes the diverging pinnule trace up to the level of the first fork (Plate II, 7, at center; Fig. 15O of Barnard, 1960a), but sclerenchyma is absent at more distal levels except between adjacent segments of branching lobes (Plate II, 3, 7, at arrows). There also is an inconspicuous uniseriate epidermis, but cells of that layer are typically not well preserved.
4.3. Rooting of the plant

Both a basal taproot system (Plate III, 1-5) and adventitious roots (Plate III, 6-8) appear to be produced by stems of *T. bupatides*. One branching root, 4.5 cm long, occurs in attachment to a fragment with the characteristic cortical sclerenchyma of *T. bupatides* stems (Plate III, 5, green arrowheads). The root apex (Plate III, 1) is incompletely preserved but appears to be constructed of thin-walled cells (root cap and apical meristem?). Well-developed secondary xylem is preserved within 4 mm of the root tip (Plate III, 2). This root is tetrarch (Plate III, 4) with abundant secondary xylem and periderm (Plate III, 2-5), and conforms to the genus *Amyelon* Williamson (Barnard, 1962). Branches are produced endogenously (Plate III, 3, 5) within 7 mm of the root apex, and a total of 15 diverge irregularly around the taproot to within 1 mm of the level attachment to the stem. All of the branch roots are torn off 1-2 mm from the levels of divergence.

We consider this specimen to be a tap root system from which the stem has been broken off just above the level to which the basal-most characteristic sclerenchyma cortical pattern of *T. bupatides* extends (Plate III, 5). This interpretation is based on what we interpret as cellular continuity between the most proximal level of the taproot and *Tetrastichia*-type sclerotic cortex (Plate III, 5 at right). If correctly interpreted, the transition from stem to root stelar structure in *T. bupatides* occurs above the level where the basal-most stem cortical sclerenchyma ends, and this is why there is characteristic *T. bupatides* cortical sclerenchyma at the uppermost preserved level of the branching root specimen (Plate III, 5).

Adventitious roots are produced by another stem specimen with abundant secondary xylem (Plate III, 7), and that appears to be from a near basal level of the shoot. Diverging
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roots are recognized as small steles among disrupted radial rows of secondary tracheids at the periphery of the stem stele (Plate III, 7, at red arrowheads) just distal to a diverging frond trace (within rectangle at upper left of Plate III, 7). These adventitious roots extend through and disrupt the cortex before emerging at the stem periphery (Plate III, 7 at R), beyond which all are broken off. At the level of emergence, the roots consist of a tetrarch protostele surrounded by a zone of parenchymatous cortex (Plate III, 8). In the specimen illustrated, three of the stelar ribs are longer than the fourth (Plate III, 8). Another specimen shows a woody root intersecting the stem and rachis base at the level of frond divergence (Plate III, 6), suggesting adventitious rooting at basal levels of the rachis. However, cellular continuity of the root and stem/frond juncture in this specimen is uncertain.

4.4. Pollen organ structure and attachment

Among the three morphotypes of putative seed fern pollen organs documented from Exposure A at Oxroad Bay (Bateman and Rothwell, 1990), an incomplete specimen of the large aggregate structure of the type designated “Pollen organ C” by Bateman and Rothwell (1990) forms the apical region of a *T. bupatides* pinna that also bears pinnules proximally (Plate IV, 1-6). Attachment of this pollen organ aggregate to a frond segment with the characters of a *T. bupatides* tertiary rachis is represented in a series of 230 serial sections. When photographs of 11 sections from this series are aligned and overlain, the composite photograph documents continuity of the vegetative and fertile regions of a specimen that is ~6 cm long (Plate IV, 1). This specimen consists of the apical region of what we interpret to be a tertiary pinna, the proximal 4 cm of which produces pinnules (Plate IV, 5, 6) in an alternate arrangement, and the distal two cm consists of an aggregate pollen organ (Plate IV, 7). The
vegetative region of the specimen has a trace with four protoxylem strands near the base of the pollen organ (Plate IV, 2, 3), parenchymatous mesophyll in which black cellular contents are common (Plate IV, 4), and weakly developed hypodermal sclerenchyma. The attached Rhodea-type pinnule bases (Plate IV, 5, 6) have the same structure as those described earlier (c.f., Plate II, 5 and Plate IV, 5, 6; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012).

The overall architecture of the aggregate pollen organ is difficult to determine from this specimen alone, because it apparently is incomplete. However, like more complete specimens described by previous workers (e.g., Fig. 1j of Bateman and Rothwell, 1990), this specimen displays closely spaced, relatively equal cruciate dichotomies basally, and then unequal, cruciate dichotomies (Plate IV, 8). Each of the unequal dichotomies produces a smaller unit that terminates in a simple pollen organ (Plate IV, 9) and a larger unit that continues to fork unequally, producing additional simple synangia. The ultimate segments are inverted with respect to the larger unit from which they are produced (Plate IV, 9), and terminate in an expanded cushion (Plate IV, 9, 10, 13, at c) that bears a ring of elongated sporangia that are not laterally confluent (Plate IV, 7, 9-11, 13, 14). The number of sporangia per simple synangium is difficult to determine because such synangia are closely spaced, oriented at different angles, often distorted (Plate IV, 7, 9, 10, 13), and the individual sporangia are not fused to each other distal to the basal cushion (Plate IV, 9-11, 13).

Nevertheless, where individual synangia can be identified, each has six sporangia (e.g., Plate IV, 9, 11). Sporangia have an epidermis of small rectangular cells (Plate IV, 14 at arrow), and one to two layers of inner wall cells with black contents (Plate IV, 14). Dehiscence is via a longitudinal slit that occurs toward the center of the synangium, where the inner cell layers
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418 appear to be absent.

419 Prepollen within this type of synangium (i.e., Figs. 4g and 9k of Bateman and
420 Rothwell, 1990) has been figured earlier as consisting of radial, trilete grains with a bimodal
421 range of size distribution (i.e., ~100 µm, and 25-50 µm in diameter). The exine of prepollen
422 from the larger cohort is finely granulate (Bateman and Rothwell, 1990). We note the
423 common occurrence of similar prepollen grains in other apparently hydraspermian Paleozoic
424 pteridosperm pollen organs (Meyer-Berthaud, 1989). The aggregate synangial clusters,
425 interior forking segments, and simple synangia are all immersed in a cloud of trichomes (Plate
426 IV, 12) that curve such that only short segments appear in longitudinal views (Plate IV, 12, at
427 red arrowhead). In cross sections the trichomes are approximately 80 µm in diameter.

4.5. Cupule structure and attachment

429 As previously suspected, ovulate cupules of *T. bupatides* conform to *Calathospermum*
430 *fimbriatum* (1960b), the detailed structure of which has been described thoroughly in previous
431 studies (Barnard, 1960b; Long, 1975). The specimen presented on Plate V, 1-11, has a cupule
432 stalk that is more or less round in cross section, with a U-shaped trace that has seven
433 protoxylem strands (Plate V, 6), and inner and outer cortex like those of *T. bupatides* rachides
434 (Plate V, 3-5). About 5 mm from the base of the cupule stalk, tissue extends away from the
435 surface of the stalk (Plate V, 3) as do basal laterals previously described for *C. fimbriatum*
436 (Barnard, 1960b). Progressing distally, the stalk first undergoes a series of cruciate forks, and
437 then the cupule lobes undergo both cruciate divisions and divisions in the same plane (Plate
438 V, 1, 2). Repeated divisions of both types produce a roughly ellipsoidal cupule consisting of a
439 ring of narrow exterior vegetative segments (Plate V, 10, 11) and numerous terete segments to
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the interior (Plate V, 10). In all of these characters, this cupule conforms to previously described specimens of *C. fimbriatum* from Exposure A at Oxroad Bay (Barnard, 1960b; Long, 1975; Bateman and Rothwell, 1990).

Ovulate cupule identity as an organ of the *T. bupatides* plant is established by a series of sections in which the *C. fimbriatum* cupule stalk is attached to the base of a *T. bupatides* frond immediately distal to frond divergence from the stem (Plate V, 1-9). The attached frond and cupule are both bent backward toward the base of the stem (Plate V, 1-4), presumably as the result of taphonomic distortion within the pyroclastic mudflow. The cupule is largely torn away from the juncture of the stem and frond (Plate V, 5, 7). However, it does retain cellular continuity along a narrow zone of ground tissue (Plate V, 8, 9).

Progressing basipetally from the node, sections show divergence of the frond and cupule at about the same level (Plate V, 4, 5), with the cupule (Plate V, 5, 7-9) being slightly more proximal than the rachis (Plate V, 5). Using a rib of the stem protostele as a gauge (blue lines on Plate V, 5), the cupule stalk is oriented (diagonal blue line on Plate V, 5) at about 35-40% from the radius upon which the frond diverges (vertical blue line on Plate V, 5). In contrast to the cupule, continuity of the stem and frond is well represented by both vascular and ground tissues. Attachment of the cupule to the frond base, rather than to the stem is inferred from the absence of any vascular tissue in the stem other than the frond trace diverging from the stem stele at that node (Plate V, 4, 5). Organic attachment of the cupule is documented by a series of sections through the node in which stem tissue extends radially toward the cupule stalk (Plate V, 4, 5 at arrow, 7), the two organs becoming increasingly closely spaced until a narrow bridge of ground tissue (Plate V, 8) attaches the two organs.
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### 5. Discussion

Bateman and Rothwell (1990) outlined, and Hilton and Bateman (2009) further elaborated some of the evidence and concepts commonly applied to whole plant reconstructions of extinct species, including, 1) organic connection of organs, 2) morphological and/or anatomical similarities, 3) association/disassociation of organs in the same matrix, 4) conceptual models including previously reconstructed fossil plants and articulated modern analogues, and 5) ontogeny. Despite apparently having been ripped up, transported, and deposited in volcanoclastic mud flows (Rothwell and Scott, 1985; Bateman, 1988; Bateman and Scott, 1990), the Oxroad Bay fossils of *T. bupatides* include an extremely large number (>1,000) of well preserved, permineralized plant fragments. These include 1) an interconnected stem, frond base, and ovulate cupule, 2) several interconnected stems, frond bases, and/or roots, 3) frond fragments with overlapping ranges of variation, and 4) interconnected pinnae, pinnules, and an aggregate pollen organ, provide unequivocal evidence for nearly all the vegetative and fertile organs of the *Tetrastachia bupatides* plant. This information has been incorporated into a suggested reconstruction of a single plant (Fig. 1).

As elaborated below, only the identity of the ovules remains in question for *T. bupatides*.

#### 5.1. Summary of organ structure for the *Tetrastichia bupatides* plant

The stems of *T. bupatides* are narrow and unbranched, ranging 8 – 22 mm in diameter (Fig. 1), with a protostele of 3 to 5 (or 6?) narrow xylem ribs, and sympodial protoxylem
architecture. Fronds are produced in 1/3, 2/5, and opposite/decussate arrangements, which may change from level to level of the same stem. Most stems have little or no secondary tissue, but significant wood surrounds the stele and accompanies diverging frond traces in a minority of specimens.

Fronds have a prominent pulvinus and branch in a single plane to form a foliar organ that is flattened in its vegetative regions. There undoubtedly is variation in frond structure along the length of the stem, as has been documented previously for the seed fern Calathopteris heterophylla Long from Oxfrod Bay (Long, 1976). The rachis of relatively large fronds (Fig. 1) typically forks 2 – 10 cm from the stem, and a second time to produce four tertiary pinnae (Dunn and Rothwell, 2012; Fig. 1). Rachial pinnules are absent. Tertiary pinnae produce highly dissected pinnules in a combination of alternate, subopposite, and opposite arrangements (Table 3). Pinnules are of the Rhodea type, which is the most common putative seed fern pinnule morphology in early Carboniferous (Mississippian) deposits (e.g., Kidston, 1923; Jennings, 1976; Scott, 1985; Plate. II). Among the compression specimens of frond fragments described from the Oxfrod Bay localities, we consider the morphology of T. bupatides pinnules to be most similar to those in Fig. 7a (from Exposure F) of Bateman and Rothwell (1990).

5.2. Features of the Tetrastichia bupatides plant

The T. bupatides plant appears to have been rooted by a basal, branching taproot, and also by adventitious roots that diverge near the base of the stem (Fig. 1). If this information is accurate, then the plant probably has bipolar growth, possibly from a cotyledonal embryo. The origins of both cotyledonary embryos and of bipolar growth among the most ancient
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Gymnosperms have not been established previously, and only indirect evidence is provided by the *T. bupatides* fossils. Nevertheless, available data positively correlate with the earlier description of an isolated structure interpreted to be a cotyledonary embryo from roughly coeval deposits along the River Whiteadder in the Scottish borders (Long, 1975; Scott et al., 1984). That longitudinally sectioned specimen is vascularized, with an apparent root cap at one end and two cotyledon-like structures at the other (Figs. 58-65 of Long, 1975). The absence of a nucellus and integument surrounding the putative embryo at the preserved stage of development is unexpected. Therefore, the identity of the specimen as an embryo was proposed with caution (Long, 1975). However, if correctly interpreted, that specimen does establish that cotyledonary embryos and the correlated bipolar growth of seed plants (Rothwell et al., 2014) originated by at least as early as the base of the Carboniferous. It also increases the probability that our interpretation of a taproot for *T. bupatides* (Plate II, 1-5) may be accurate.

Fronds of *T. bupatides* bear aggregate-type pollen organs terminally on tertiary pinnae (Plate. IV, 1; Fig. 1). The pollen organ aggregates are compact, branched by closely spaced cruciate dichotomies, and bear round simple, inverted synangia of typically six unfused sporangia on a terminal pad (Plate IV). Sporangia dehisce via a longitudinal slit located toward the center of the simple synangia (Plate IV, 7, 9, 11), and prepollen is radial and trilette, with a finely granulate exine (Bateman and Rothwell, 1990). Among the four morphological types of Early Mississippian fertile branched fronds characterized by Meyer-Berthaud (1989), those of *T. bupatides* are most similar to “Type C”. However, the rachis of *T. bupatides* forks twice (rather than once), and it bears simple synangia that form tight
aggregates on distal frond segments (rather than occurring in the positions of vegetative
pinnules (see Text-fig. 2 of Meyer-Berthaud, 1989).

Ovulate cupules of *T. bupatides* are of the *Calathospermum fimbriatum*-type (Plate V,
1, 10), and are attached at the base of the frond (Plate V, 5, 7-9) by a cylindrical stalk with a
C-shaped bundle (Plate V, 3-6) that closely resembles that of the frond rachis (Gordon, 1938;
Dunn and Rothwell, 2012). Such cupules are large, highly dissected, radial structures (Plate
V, 1, 10) with oppositely-arranged basal pinnules, peripheral vegetative lobes that encircle a
central area (Barnard, 1960b), and numerous small, terete internal lobes (Plate V, 10; Barnard,
1960b; Long, 1975) that are each thought to terminate in a single ovule (Barnard, 1960a;
Long, 1975). Although ovules do occur within with in *C. fimbriatum* cupules in Exposure A at
Oxroad Bay (e.g., Plate V, 10, 11; Barnard, 1960b), such ovules are assignable to several
species (e.g., Plate V. 10, 11), none has been documented as attached to a cupule lobe, and all
probably have been washed into the cupule interiors.

Barnard (1960b) described and illustrated a radial ovule in one *C. fimbriatum* cupule
that he identified as an immature specimen of *Salpingostoma dasu* Gordon. However, that
specimen conforms more closely to *Tantallosperma setigera* Barnard and Long (Bateman and
Rothwell, 1990), a species that was subsequently described from the same exposure (Barnard
and Long, 1973). One *Callathospermum* type cupule collected along the River Whiteadder
between Edrom and West Blanerne and assigned to *C. fimbriatum* does have attached ovules
that have been identified as *S. dasu* (Long, 1975). That cupule bears 12 attached, radial ovules
that apparently are in varying stages of maturity (Long, 1975). The ovules appear to have six
lobes, and are covered by a ramentum of trichomes, characters that are all shared with
specimens of *S. dasu* (Gordon, 1941; Long, 1975). However, those characters also are shared by another ovule from the same exposure, *Dolichosperma hexangulata* Long, which is apparently much more common at localities along the River Whiteadder than is *S. dasu* (Long, 1975).

Whereas mid-regions of attached ovules measured from Figures 39 and 40 of Long (1975) range 1.1 - 1.7 mm in diameter, dispersed ovules of *D. hexangulata* range 1.75 - 2.03 mm in diameter (Table 4 of Long, 1975) and dispersed ovules of *S. dasu* measure 5.0 – 6.6 mm in diameter (Gordon, 1941). Attached ovules are not well enough preserved to display finer features of structure that could help establish which, if either, of these species they represent (Long, 1975). General similarities between *D. hexangulata* and *S. dasu* that make identification of incompletely preserved, immature specimens difficult to establish include ovule symmetry, integument of highly dissected lobes, numbers of lobes, and the dense covering of trichomes. Therefore, because of the much closer size ranges of the *C. fimbriatum* ovules to those of *D. hexangulata* than to *S. dasu* (Long, 1975), it appears that the identity of the *C. fimbriatum* ovules discovered by Long (1975) is less certain than originally thought. Indeed, because *D. hexangulata* appears to be more common at localities along the River Whiteadder than are specimens of *S. dasu*, *D. hexangulata* may be a more attractive candidate for the ovules of the *Calathospermum* cupule from the River Whiteadder localities than is *S. dasu*.

Dispersed ovules of *D. hexangulata* have not been identified from Exposure A at Oxroad Bay. Therefore, it is unlikely that ovules borne in *C. fimbriatum* cupules at Oxroad Bay are assignable to *D. hexangulata*. Likewise, because we now realize that *S. dasu* ovules
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have not been found in attachment to *Calathospermum* cupules at Oxroad Bay, the identity of
*T. bupatides* ovules remains in question. Indeed, another species of ovules from Exposure A at
Oxroad Bay actually may have been part of the *T. bupatides* plant. The most common species
of dispersed ovules present at Exposure A at Oxroad Bay is the flattened species *Eosperma
oxroadense* Barnard (Rothwell personal observations). *Eosperma oxroadense* is also the most
common dispersed ovule present in (but not attached to) the *C. fimbriatum* cupule in
attachment to the *T. bupatides* shoot (Plate V, 10, 11).

Although most *E. oxroadense* specimens are detached at the base of the ovule, a few
remain attached to the apex of a terete axis that may be branched at a more proximal level
(Barnard, 1959). One such *E. oxroadense* ovule studied in the current investigation is
sectioned in near mid-longitudinal view of the minor plane of symmetry (Plate V, 12). When
illustrated at the same magnification as a cross section of one of the internal cupule lobes of
*C. fimbriatum*, the close resemblance of the *E. oxroadense* stalk to the internal lobe of *C.
fimbriatum* is striking (c.f., Plate V, 13 and 14). Both are oval in cross section, ~1.0 – 1.8 mm
in diameter. Each has an outer zone of prominent cells with incompletely preserved walls, a
broad inner zone of cells with poorly preserved thin walls, and a terete bundle of several small
tracheids (Plate V, 13 and 14, at arrowheads). Also, some cells near the periphery of each
have black contents like those that characterize the ground tissues of *T. bupatides*.

We note that the largest prepollen grains produced by the type of aggregate pollen
organs that have been found in attachment to *T. bupatides* fronds are larger than the diameter
of the micropylar canal in *E. oxroadense*, which may reduce the probability that *E.
oxroadense* represents the ovule of *T. bupatides*. However, we also note that the biological
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implications of the bimodal distribution of grain size in the sporangia of *T. bupatides* are not understood. As a result, we stress that identity of the ovules produced by *Tetrastichia bupatides* remains equivocal, but available data suggest that *Eosperma oxroadense* is at least as attractive a candidate as is *Salpingostoma dasu*.

5.3. *Tetrastichia bupatides* as a hydrasperm a gymnosperm

Hydrasperm a seed ferns are a reproductive grade of gymnosperms characterized by ovule structure and development, and by a distinctive mode of pollination and post-pollination biology (Rothwell, 1971, 1986; Niklas, 1983, 1985; Serbet and Rothwell, 1995; Hilton and Bateman, 2006; Scott et al., 2019). They make up the earliest well understood evidence for seed plants from the fossil record, extending from the Late Devonian through the Permian, and based upon the stratigraphic distribution of Paleozoic ovule morphologies (Seward, 1911), they are the only well-known gymnosperms that have been documented from the strata from which *T. bupatides* specimens have been recovered. Plants with hydrasperm a reproduction produce a range of growth architectures. These range from small shrubs, to vines, to large trees (Galtier, 1988; Galtier and Scott, 1994), but all apparently produced highly dissected, fern-like leaves (e.g., *Pitys*, Long, 1963; Galtier, 1974) upon which reproductive structures were borne in separate pollen organs and ovulate cupules (Stewart and Rothwell, 1993; Meyer-Berthaud, 1989; Taylor et al., 2009).

Stems of hydrasperm a seed ferns vary from those with a central cauline protoxylem strand at the center of an actinostele with narrow arms (e.g., *Triradioxylon primaevum*, Barnard and Long, 1975; *Elkinsia polymorpha* Rothwell, Scheckler & Gillesipe, Serbet and Rothwell, 1992), to actinostelic forms with sympodial protoxylem architecture (e.g., *T.*
Reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* to those with round protosteles with a ring of peripheral protoxylem strands (e.g., *Heterangium* Corda, Hirmer, 1933), to those with an intergrading range of metaxylem dissection leading to the eustele (e.g., *Lyginopteris* Potonié, Blanc-Louvel, 1966; Beck, 1970; reviewed by Beck et al., 1982 and Galtier, 1988; Dunn, 2006). As with other hydraspermans from Late Devonian and basal Mississippian deposits, *T. primaevum* lies near one end of that range of variation, presumably displaying most of the plesiomorphic stelar characters of gymnosperms (Galtier, 1988; Serbet and Rothwell, 1992).

Hydrasperman fronds typically fork at least once at the base, and most often branch in a pinnate fashion distally (e.g., Blanc-Louvel, 1966; Rothwell and Taylor, 1972; Galtier, 1974; Jennings, 1976; Meyer-Berthaud, 1989; Taylor et al., 2009). Such fronds produce pinnules that range from lobed forms often assigned to *Sphenopteris* (Brongniart) Sternberg or *Mariopteris* Zeiller (e.g., Seward, 1911; Stidd and Phillips, 1973), to highly dissected forms such as *Rhodea* (e.g., Lindley and Hutton, 1831; Kidston, 1923; Danźe-Corsin, 1953; Jennings, 1976; Galtier, 1981) that are most common in Mississippian and Pennsylvanian deposits (Kidston, 1923). With a twice-forked rachis and *Rhodea*-type pinnules borne on the tertiary rachides (Fig. 1), *T. bupatides* fronds fall within the range of variation that is characteristic of Mississippian (early Carboniferous) hydrasperman seed ferns.

Microsporangiate structures of the most ancient gymnosperms (reviewed by Millay and Taylor, 1979, and Meyer-Berthaud, 1989) typically consist of a ring (or paired rows) of sporangia that most often either are attached to an expanded pad of tissue or else are laterally confluent for varying distances toward the apex of the sporangia (Meyer-Berthaud, 1989). Some of these simple synangia are interspersed with pinnules on distal regions of the frond
Reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* (e.g., Jennings, 1976). Others, like those of the *T. bupatides* plant, consist of aggregates of simple synangia. Still others form clusters of simple synangia that are fused together to form a compound synangium (Millay and Taylor, 1979; Meyer-Berthaud, 1989). The elongated sporangia of such fructifications dehisce via a longitudinal slit located toward the center of the simple synangium, and typically produce radial, trilete prepollen grains (Millay and Taylor, 1979; Meyer-Berthaud, 1989). In all these respects, *T. bupatides* conforms to what is expected for a hydraspermian seed fern.

Likewise, hydraspermian ovules are typically borne on fronds within specialized structures termed cupules that facilitate pollination (Niklas, 1983) and probably also provide protection for the developing ovules. Cupules may contain a single ovule (e.g., on the *Lyginopteris oldhamia* plant; Oliver and Scott, 1904) or be multi-ovulate (e.g., *Genomosperma kidstoni* Long, Meade et al., 2021; *Diplopteridium holdenii* Lele & Walton, Rowe, 1988; *Pollaritheca longii* Rothwell & Wight, 1989; *Elkinsia polymorpha* Rothwell, Scheckler & Gilespie, Serbet & Rothwell, 1992; *Kerryia mattenii* Rothwell & Wight, 1989; *Gnetopsis elliptica* Renault & Zeiller, Galtier, 2013). Cupules are borne on fronds either terminally (e.g., *Elkinsia polymorpha*; Serbet and Rothwell, 1992; *Tristichia ovensii* Long, 1961), among pinnules in the distal regions of the frond (e.g., on the *Lyginopteris oldhamia* plant, Seward, 1911), or in clusters that are attached at the level of the basal “fork” of the frond (e.g., *Diplopteridium holdenii* Rowe, 1988). The attachment of *Calathospermum fimbriatum* cupules at the base of the frond (Fig. 1), demonstrates yet another variation in cupule position on the fronds of hydraspermian plants.

5.4. Plant reconstruction
Previous reconstructions of the growth architecture of Paleozoic seed ferns include small trees or shrubs large trees (e.g., Long, 1979; Retallack and Dilcher, 1988; Speck and Rowe, 1994; Zodrow et al., 2007), small scrambling, climbing, or leaning plants (Baxter, 1949; Pfefferkorn et al., 1984; Hamer and Rothwell, 1988; Krings and Kerp, 1999; Galtier and Béthoux, 2002; Dunn et al. 2003). By contrast, the unbranched shoots of *T. bupatides* have small stems (up to only ~2 cm), and short internodal lengths (i.e., ~5 – 90 mm) and there is no evidence of spines, hooks, or other specializations of *T. bupatides* organs that characterize Paleozoic seed fern vines (e.g., *Callistophyton* boyssetii (Renault) Rothwell, 1975; *Blanzyopteris praedentata* (Gothan) Krings & Kerp, 1999). Therefore, it is unlikely that *Tetrastichia* was either a tree or a vine.

*All T. bupatides* stems are narrow (~28–22 mm) and radial, with a ribbed protostele and well developed *Sparganum/Dictyoxylon* type outer cortex. Oppositely and alternately arranged fronds diverge from relatively closely spaced nodes (i.e., ~5 – 90 mm) all around the radial stem (Fig. 1). The specimen with the greatest amount to secondary xylem (e.g., Plate III, 7) produces adventitious roots (Plate I, 7, 8) suggesting it is a near-basal level of a stem. Most stems have no secondary tissues (Dunn and Rothwell 2012), and our search among the large number of stem fragments available for study has yielded no evidence of branching. Because all of the smallest stems have no secondary tissues, they appear to be from distal regions of the shoot, where they represent a level of apoxogenesis (i.e., diminishing growth senso Eggert, 1961; Masselter et al., 2007). Together with these features, adventitious roots at basal levels of the stem, and evidence for a basal taproot suggest that individual genets were small upright plants (Fig. 1). The Upper Devonian hydrasperman gymnosperm *Elkinsia*...
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*polymorpha* Rothwell, Scheckler & Gillespie also has been reconstructed as a small, unbranched, upright plant (Serbet and Rothwell, 1992), but no stems of *E. polymorpha* with attached roots have been discovered to date.

Vegetative fronds of *T. bupatides* are planar, all branches occurring in the same plane (Fig. 1). They have a basal pulvinus, a rachis that forks twice into four pinnate units, each of which bears highly dissected pinnules of the *Rhodea* type in both opposite and alternate arrangements (Fig. 1). Aggregate pollen organs are borne at the tip of some of the pinnate unites, and large *Calathospermum* type seed cupules are attached at the side of the frond base (Fig. 1). Stems are surprisingly narrow for a plant that has large fronds ~30 cm long with large aggregate pollen organs ~4 cm in greatest dimension and large seed cupules more than 2 cm in diameter. Therefore, the narrow stems must have been stiffened by the outer sclerenchymatous cortex, as has been demonstrated for some other hydrasperman seed ferns with narrow stems (e.g., *Schopfiastrum decussatum* Andrews, Rothwell & Taylor, 1972; *Lygniopteris oldhamia* (Binney) Potonié, Masselter et al., 2007). Although *L. oldhamia* and other species of the genus are known to be lianas (e.g., *L. royalii* Tomescu, Rothwell & Mapes; Tomescu et al., 2001), *L. oldhamia* is interpreted to have a juvenile stage of growth in which the sclerotic outer cortex provided sufficient stiffness for the stem to stand upright (Masselter et al., 2007). We interpret the stem of *T. bupatides* to have been held upright by the combination of several features. The architectural properties of the outer sclerotic cortex would have contributed significantly to stiffening the stem and basal fronds may have bent downward to contact the ground (Fig. 1). Moreover, *T. bupatides* plants could have grown in closely spaced stands, providing mutual support for adjacent plants.
Adventitious prop roots (from frond bases?) would have provided additional support. Such roots were produced in the axils of leaf traces (Plate I, 6) at basal levels of the stem, and possibly also on fronds below the first fork (Plate I, 7) and just below the second fork (Gordon, 1938; Fig 7-C of Dunn and Rothwell, 2012). The occurrence of adventitious roots near the base of fronds would account for divergence of vascular bundles of otherwise unknown identity from one specimen from Oxroad Bay (Gordon, 1938; Fig 28 of May and Matten, 1983).

5.5. Systematic relationships of Tetrastichia bupatides

All well characterized seed ferns from Mississippian strata and all the ovules present at Oxroad Bay (Bateman and Rothwell, 1990) are of the hydrasperman type. Therefore, we interpret \textit{T. bupatides} to hydrasperman as well. However, beyond that general systematic placement, more detailed relationships of \textit{T. bupatides} are far less certain. \textit{Tetrastichia bupatides} is often placed among the lyginopterid seed ferns (e.g., Dunn and Rothwell, 2012), itself a paraphyletic or polyphyletic assemblage (Taylor, et al., 2009), and a group defined by several highly variable characters (Galtier, 1988; Stewart and Rothwell, 1993). The clearest synapomorphy for the group is probably hydrasperman reproduction (Rothwell, 1986). There are wide ranges of variation among the plant architectures, stem structures (e.g., Galtier, 1988), stem branching (Galtier, 1982), frond morphologies (e.g., Kidston, 1923), pollen organ morphologies (e.g., Millay and Taylor, 1979; Meyer-Berthaud, 1989), ovule morphologies (e.g., Long, 1975; Scott et al., 2019), ovulate cupule morphologies (Taylor et al., 2009), and the placement of fertile structures on hydrasperman seed fern fronds (e.g., Meyer-Berthaud, 1989).
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Only a tiny fraction of potential whole plant concepts have been developed for the most ancient seed plants. Therefore, it is not surprising that a widely accepted formal hierarchical classification has not yet been developed for hydrasperman gymnosperms. Given the current level of understanding of the “whole plants” that constitute hydrasperman gymnosperms, we consider this to accurately reflect what we understand at this time.

5.6. *Evolutionary environment of the plants*

The Ballyheigue specimens are preserved in Facies Association 2 of Bridge et al (1980). This facies association is characterised by sandstone-mudstone inter-beds (olive grey, yellow, green and red colours). The depositional environment has been interpreted as seasonal flood deposits associated with crevasse channels and splays, levees on vegetated floodplains. It is likely, therefore, that *Tetrastichia* lived in a disturbed environmental setting.

Recent research has indicated that there was a major ecosystem disruption, termed the Hangenberg Event or crisis (Becker, Kaiser and Aretz, 2016; Kaiser et al., 2016). During this interval in the latest Devonian numerous plants and animals became extinct (Caplan and Bustin, 1999; Silvestro et al., 2015; Prestianni et al., 2016) and in addition marine systems were disrupted (Marshall et al., 2020). Evidently, *Tetrastichia* survived this perturbation and it may be significant that it is found in the Mississippian in the highly disturbed volcanic environment as seen in Oxroad Bay. These volcanogenic sediments were probably found associated with tuff ring volcanism and are found in a mixture of primarily deposited ashes as well as in volcanic mudflows or lahahs (Bateman and Scott, 1990; Scott, 1990; Bateman et al., 1995). Some of the features of the *Tetrastichia* plant, therefore, may have helped it survive a range of environmental trauma.
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Figure caption

Figure 1. Suggested reconstruction of *Tetrastichia bupatides* as a small, upright plant with frond-borne reproductive organs. See text for details.

Plate captions

Plate I.


4. Thin section of plant-bearing tuffs showing graded beds of volcaniclastic ashes and permineralized plants. ACS slide OXC2021-1; 5. Thin section of plant bed from cliff section near A in Figure 1. ACS slide OXC2021-2; 6. Thin section of *Tetrastichia bupatides* from Exposure A in Figure 1. ACS slide OXC2021-3.

Plate II.

1. Distal frond pinnae and pinnules of *Tetrastichia bupatides*, and pinna anatomy of *Triradioxylon primaevum* frond segments. All sections from block 712 I. 1. Pinnae of *Tetrastichia bupatides* (toward top and center) and *Triradioxylon primaevum* (Tri). Note that *T. bupatides* pinnae have narrower and more dissected zone of hypodermal sclerenchyma, and thinner-walled ground parenchyma than *Triradioxylon primaevum* pinna. Note also that some
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Cells of parenchymatous ground tissue in *T. bupatides* pinnae have black contents, whereas those of *T. primaevum* do not. A top #314 x 7; KUNH slide 30,860. Scale bar = 2 mm; 2.

Cross section of *T. bupatides* pinnule showing oval lobes (green arrowheads) aligned in row. Arrow identifies branching lobe below level of dichotomy. Note also, relatively distal pinna of *Triradioxylon primaevum* (Tri). A bot #252 x 8; KUNH slide 30,866. Scale bar = 2 mm; 3.

Forking lobe of *T. bupatides* pinnule. Note black contents in ground parenchyma and hypodermal sclerenchyma restricted to area of lobe separation at level of branching (arrow). A bot #23 x 15; KUNH slide 30,863. Scale bar = 0.5 mm; 4, Pinnule in cross section showing forking lobes in row (green arrowheads). A bot 23 x 10; KUPB slide 30,863. Scale bar = 2 mm; 5. Pinnae (cross sections) and pinnule lobes (oblique sections) of *T. bupatides*, as compared to pinna of *Triradioxylon primaevum* (Tri). 6. Longitudinal section of incomplete pinnule showing five forks (at arrows) in elongated narrow lobes. A bot #6 x 8. Scale bar = 2 mm. slide 30,861; 7A bot #24x 8.5. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,864; 7. Pinna (tertiary, with adaxial surface upward) enlarged from Plate II, 5. Five lobed trace (blue arrowheads) producing terete pinnule bundle (red arrowhead). Both pinna rachis and pinnule base with hypodermal sclerenchyma. Note black contents in ground parenchyma cells, and almost complete absence (except at arrow) of hypodermal sclerenchyma distal to basal fork of pinnule (top of photo). 8. Slightly oblique cross section of pinnule lobe with terete trace (red arrowhead), dark contents in some mesophyll cells, and incompletely preserved epidermis. A bot #252x 30. Scale bar = 0.5 mm. KUNH slide 30,866; 9. Cross section of somewhat degraded pinnule lobe with no hypodermal sclerenchyma, irregular outer margin, terete
bundle (red arrowhead), and large percentage of ground parenchyma cells with black contents.

A bot #24x 40. Scale bar = 0.5 mm; 10. KUNH slide 30,864.

Plate III.

Rooting structures of *T. bupatides*. 1-5, basipetal series of cross sections of branching taproot; 1. Near apical section distal to mature tissues. 712 I C top #222 x 12. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,799; 2. Section 4 mm from apex showing abundant wood. 712 I C top #178 x 12. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,800; 3. Section 7 mm from apex, at level of divergence of two branch roots. 712 I C top #103 x 8. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,801; 4. Cross section showing tetrarch actinostele, abundant wood, and outer cortex of taproot. 712 I A top #290 x 9. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,802; 5. *Amyelon*-type taproot in organic connection to stem base identifiable as *Tetrastichia* by characteristic *Dictyoxylon*-type outer cortex (green arrowheads). 712 II A top #59 x 12. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,803; 6. Cross section of stem (at left) at level of frond divergence (at right), the juncture of which is intersected by *Amyelon*-type root that appears to have diverged from base of rachis. 712 II A bot #86 x 8. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,804; 7. Cross section of near basal level of stem showing diverging rachis (upper left), and with well-preserved primary cortex, continuous cylinder of thick secondary vascular tissue, diverging adventitious root (r), and root traces (red arrowheads) at outer margin of wood. Rachis trace and four-lobed trace to more distal frond in inner cortex (boxes). Note disruption of radial rows of tracheids, revealing position of diverging frond trace (at blue arrow). Note also, incompletely preserved primary tissues at center of stem do not show structure of stele. SIPC 666.14 D-1-C # 1 x 9.5.
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Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,806; 8. Enlargement of adventitious root in cortex of stem in Plate III, 7, showing tetrarch protostele (with one short rib) and primary cortex. SIPC 666.14 D-1- # 1 x 19. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,806.

**Plate IV.**

Pollen organ structure and attachment. All sections from block 712 I; 1. Composite figure of aggregate pollen organ attached distally to tertiary pinna. Arrows indicate positions from which enlargements (in Plate III, 2-6) were made. A top #s 3-9, 14, 42, 83, and 233 x 3. Scale bar = 1 cm. KUNH slides 30,820-30,830, 30,838, 30,845, 30,858.; 2. Oblique section of four-lobed pinna trace in oblique cross section. A top #7 x 28. Scale bar = 0.5 mm. KUNH slide 30,824; 3. Same pinna trace as in Plate III, 2, but divided into three segments. A top #3 x 20. Scale bar = 0.5 mm. KUNH slide 30,805; 4. More proximal level of pinna in longitudinal section showing central trace, ground parenchyma cells with black contents, and narrow zone of hypodermal sclerenchyma. A top #83 x 10. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,845; 5. Pinnule with single terete trace (pt) diverging from pinna. A top #3 x 8. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,820; 6. Adjacent section of same elongated pinnule as in Plate III, 5, showing central trace (pt) and ground parenchyma cells with black contents. A top #4 x 7. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,821; 7. Enlargement of aggregate pollen organ in Plate IV, 1 showing antepenultimate pinna (app), penultimate pinna (pp), basal cushions of several simple synangia, and other simple synangia in various planes of section. Note dense trichomes surrounding sporangia. A top #233 x 9. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,858; 8. Penultimate pinna (pp) of aggregate pollen organ showing zig-zag configuration caused by unequal
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forking to produce ultimate segment with terminal simple synangia. A top #284 x 6. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,859; 9. Ultimate segment of aggregate pollen organ, with single vascular bundle (arrow), forking from penultimate pinna. Note sporangia diverging from basal cushion in longitudinal section, and adjacent simple synangium with six sporangia (blue numbers) in cross section. A bot #184 x 14. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,856; 10. Section through ultimate segments of aggregate synangium, with inverted basal cushions surrounding sporangia of adjacent simple synangia. A bot #184 x 9. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,856; 11. Cross section through central region of simple synangium with six sporangia (blue numbers). Note sporangia are not attached to each other at this level. A bot #123 x 22. Scale bar = 0.5 mm. KUNH slide 30,807; 12. Sporangium (green arrow) surrounded by dense trichomes in cross section. Segment of trichome in longitudinal section at red arrowhead. A bot #174 x 40. Scale bar = 0.2 mm. KUNH slide 30,855; 13. Several simple synangia showing sporangia (s) attached to cushion (c). Note sporangia are not attached to each other laterally. A bot #96 x 9. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,808; 14. Longitudinal view of two sporangia attached to cushion (C), showing rounded apices, isodiametric cells of epidermis (arrow), and elongated black coalified cells of sporangial walls. 712 I A top #184 x 30. Scale bar = 0.5 mm. KUNH slide 30,856.

Plate V.

Cupule attachment, enclosed ovules, and stalked *Eosperma oxroadense*. All sections from block 712 I; 1-5. Acropetal series of stem cross sections showing *Calathospermum fimbriatum* cupule and frond rachis diverging from *Tetrastichia bupatides* stem. Fig.1 is most proximal
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level of internode; Fig. 5 is most distal. Fig. 5 is just distal to rachis trace divergence (where rachis is out of section). Both appendages are bent backward. Cupule stalk broken at level of divergence from stem, remaining attached only by narrow strip of tissue (Figs. 8 at arrow, and 9); 1. Cross section of stem below node of cupule attachment, and dividing cupule bent-backward. 712 I B top #218 x 2.8. Scale bar = 1 cm. UKNH slide 30,813; 2. Cross section of stem closer to node than Plate V, 1, showing undivided cupule base. 712 I B bot #37 x 2.8. Scale bar = 1 cm. KUNH slide 30,814; 3. Section distal to Fig. 2 (just below node), showing stem, cupule stalk, and rachis. Note tissue extending away from cupule base. 712 I C top #18 x 3.2. Scale bar = 1 cm. KUNH slide 30,809; 4. Near nodal level of stem with bent-back rachis partly attached to stem and cupule stalk adjacent to stem. 712 I C top #111 x 3.5. Scale bar = 1 cm. KUNH slide 30,810; 5. Cross section of stem in nodal region, immediately distal to divergence of rachis, and near level of cupule attachment. Note cortical tissue of stem (arrow) extending toward cupule stalk at upper left. Blue lines indicate radii upon which rachis (vertical line) and cupule stalk (bisected diagonal line) diverge. Double-headed arrow emphasizes that these angles of divergence are offset by ~35-40°. 712 I C bot #260 x 2.9. Scale bar = 1 cm. KUNH slide 30,819; 6. Cupule stalk near base, showing U-shaped arrangement of bundles and ground parenchyma. Note some parenchyma cells of ground tissue have black contents. 712 I C top #216 x 12. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,816; 7. Stem and cupule stalk at distal level of nodal region. Note stem tissue extending toward cupule stalk. Blue line indicates radius upon which cupule divergence occurs. 712 I C top #234 x 3.6. Scale bar = 5 mm. KUNH slide 30,818; 8. Stem and cupule stalk at level of narrow tissue continuity (arrow). 712 I C top #228 x 8. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide
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30,817; 9. Enlargement of tissue continuity in Fig. 8, showing cellular composition of attachment. 712 I C top #228 x 16. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,817; 10. Cross section at midlevel of cupule showing several enclosed ovules, most of which are assignable to *Eosperma oxroadense*. 712 I B top #13 x 2. Scale bar = 5 mm. Slide 30,812; 11. Enlargement of ovules within *Calathospermum* cupule showing at least four specimens of *E. oxroadense* and other ovules in various planes of section. 712 I B top #13 x 4.5. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,812; 12. *Eosperma oxroadense* in mid-longitudinal section of minor plane, attached to terete stalk. 712 I 582 B bot #1 x 8. Scale bar = 2 mm. KUNH slide 30,806; 13. Enlargement of terete stalk in Plate V, 12, showing cells of parenchymatous mesophyll, some of which have black contents. 712 I 582 B bot #5 x 16. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,810; 14. Cross section of smallest type axis attached to central region of *Calathospermum fimbriatum* cupule at same magnification as stalk in Plate V, 10. Note similarity of size and histology as compared to stalk to which *E. oxroadense* is attached (Fig. 13). 712 I B top #13 x 16. Scale bar = 1 mm. KUNH slide 30,812.
### Table 1. Specimens used to develop a whole plant concept for *Tetrastichia bupatides*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Organ Taxa Included</th>
<th>Material Source</th>
<th>Repository and Material</th>
<th>Specimen/block Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gordon, 1933</td>
<td><em>Tetrastichia bupatides</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>²LNHM (Gordon Collection)</td>
<td>Gordon block plus peels and slide Nos. 1,832-2,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard, 1959</td>
<td><em>Eosperma oxroadense</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>²LNHM (Gordon Collection)</td>
<td>Gordon block plus peels and slide Nos. 1,994, 1,995, 2,036, 2,037, 2,141-2,148, Peels 2,113-2,189.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard, 1960a</td>
<td><em>Calathospermum fimbriatum</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>²LNHM (Gordon Collection)</td>
<td>Gordon block plus peels and slides Nos. 2,190-2,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard, 1960b</td>
<td>Amyelon sp., <em>C. fimbriatum</em>, <em>E. oxroadense</em>, <em>Lyginorachis</em> spp., <em>T. bupatides</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>²LNHM (Gordon Collection)</td>
<td>Gordon block plus peels and slides from Gordon Collection. Figured slides are Nos. 2,279-2,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard, 1962</td>
<td><em>Amyelon bovius</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>²LNHM (Gordon Collection)</td>
<td>Gordon block plus peels and slide Nos. 2,390-2,428.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long, 1975</td>
<td><em>Calathospermum fimbriatum</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>²GNMH (Albert Long Slide Collection)</td>
<td>Slide Nos. 5,619-5,674, 6,297-6,341, 8,975-9,185.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long, 1979</td>
<td><em>C. fimbriatum</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>²GNMH (Albert Long Slide Collection)</td>
<td>Slide Nos. 10,114-10,162, 10,252-10,260, 11,225-11,245,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors, Year</td>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Locality Code</td>
<td>Collection Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matten et al., 1980</td>
<td><em>T. bupatides</em></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2KUNH, Paleobotanical Collections</td>
<td>Matten Collection Nos. 13,957 = 666.013, KUPB 13,959 = 666.015, KUPB 13,960 = 666.016, KUPB 13,963 = 666.019, KUPB 14,007 = 666.066, KUPB 14,031 = 666.201, KUPB 14,052 = 681.001, KUPB 14,070 = 666.005 KUPB 14,081 = 682.030, KUPB 14,089 = 682.038, KUPB 14,100 = 682.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May et al., 1983</td>
<td><em>T. bupatides</em></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>2KUNH, Paleobotanical Collections</td>
<td>Ibid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matten et al., 1984</td>
<td><em>T. bupatides</em></td>
<td>2UKNH, Paleobotanical Collections</td>
<td>Ibid.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bateman and Rothwell, 1990</td>
<td><em>Amyelon</em> sp.</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>RHC, LNHM, RHC, LMNH</td>
<td>OBD (2.30) 198eb/1 Gordon Slide 2,235 ACS 672 cB, OBC 027eB ACS 666B, OBD (2.26) 188c1T/C, OBD (2.26)188c1T/12+17 Gordon Slides from 1,832-2,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunn and Rothwell, 2012</td>
<td><em>T. bupatides</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>2KUNH, Paleobotanical Collections</td>
<td>712-1-3-Bt36, 223-6-B-1-17, 712-2-11-Ab150, 610-1-Dt3, 712-1-4-Ct149, 610-2-Dt8, 610-2-Cb38, 610-2-Ct162, 712-2-7-Ct3, 712-2-7-Bt99, 712-2-7-At86, 610-2-Dt202, 662-1-b, 605-1-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Rothwell, et al., current study | Amyelon sp.  
*C. finbriatum*,  
*E. oxroadense*,  
*Lyginorachis* spp.,  
*Salpingostoma dasu*  
*T. bupatides* | 1A, B | 2LNHM, UKNH; ACS | E-1-12, 712-1-5-At68, 712-1-5-At182, 712-1-18-Bb22, 223-6-Ab2, 605-1-D-2-55, 605-2-D-1-7, 800-14-1, 605-1-B-1-19, 715-25-G-1-17, 662-A-2, 610-2-Dt124, 605-1-F-5-12, 605-1-F-5-2, 605-2-A-1-5, 712-1-5-Ab24, 712-1-3-At19, 605-2-B-5-15, 712-1-3-Ab60, 712-1-3-Ab231, 712-1-3-Ab252, 712-1-3-Ab310, 610-3-Ct189, 610-3-Ct179, 712-1-19-At290, 712-1-19-At65, 610-21-Dt84, 610-21-Dt98, 610-22-Cb110, 610-21-Bb191. |}

1A = Oxroad Bay, Assemblage A; B = Ballyheige, Kerry County, Ireland. See text for details.

2LMNH = London Natural History Museum, London; GMNH = Great Northern Museum Hancock, Newcastle University; RHC = Geology Department, Royal Holloway College, University of London; KUNH = University of Kansas Natural History Museum Paleobotany Collections; ACS = Andrew C. Scott slides at Geology Department, Royal Holloway College, University of London.
**Table 2, Diagnostic features of *Tetrastichia bupatides* and *Triradioxylon primaevum* fronds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th><em>Tetrastichia bupatides</em></th>
<th><em>Triradioxylon primaevum</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative branching</td>
<td>in one plane*</td>
<td></td>
<td>by cruciate forking**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace configuration</td>
<td>row of protoxylem strands,</td>
<td>one or two elliptical bundles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>surrounded by more or less</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>connected metaxylem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerotic hypodermis</td>
<td>relatively thinner</td>
<td>relatively thicker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypodermal bundles</td>
<td>somewhat interconnected, but</td>
<td>usually highly interconnected,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>often separate</td>
<td>often forming continuous zone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner cortical parenchyma</td>
<td>cells with delicate walls,</td>
<td>cells with less delicate walls,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>often not preserved</td>
<td>more commonly preserved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black cell contents of</td>
<td>common</td>
<td>rare, most often absent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parenchymatous ground</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tissues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All branches in same plane, forming a two dimensionally branched frond.
** Sequential dichotomies in planes that are at right angles to each other, producing three dimensional frond.
Table 3. *Tetrastichia bupatides* frond branching and pinna data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width in x.s.</th>
<th>No. of lobes (protoxylems) of trace</th>
<th>Divergence of laterals</th>
<th>No. of lobes (protoxylems) in diverging lateral</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>forking and with laterals (subopposite)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fig. 3 of Gordon, 1938; attached rachis with pinnate branching just distal to basal fork (near stem)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>3-5, including incipient pinnule traces</td>
<td>alternate, 8-10 mm apart</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Lyginorachis A” of Barnard, 1960a, 9 cm long; alternate pinnules (each with single trace). <em>Sparganum</em> cortex, epidermis with possible stomata. Pinnule trace divides at level of divergence, with no <em>Sparganum</em> cortex distal to fork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>6-8, including incipient pinnule traces</td>
<td>subopposite, 14-15 mm apart</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Lyginorachis B” of Barnard 1960a, 7.8 cm long, subopposite pinnules (each with single trace).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~7 mm</td>
<td>4-5 + 4-6? forking</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dispersed forking rachis, Fig. 23 of May and Matten, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~7 mm</td>
<td>7 or 8? alternate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Subopposite pinnule divergence, Fig. 8d of Dunn and Rothwell, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~7 mm</td>
<td>6-8 single lateral from rachis (opposite)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>May and Matten’s “holotype”. Two attached rachises, 2 cm apart. Attached rachis 10 cm long, with one pinnate lateral (two protoxylems).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>6 opposite</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Opposite pinnule divergence, Fig. 8c of Dunn and Rothwell, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~7 mm</td>
<td>? alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alternate pinnule divergence, Fig. 8e of Dunn and Rothwell, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 mm</td>
<td>6 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alternate pinnule divergence, Fig. 8e of Dunn and Rothwell, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mm (oblique)</td>
<td>6 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fig. 3c; Attached rachis extends &gt;3 cm without branching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 &gt; 1.0 mm</td>
<td>3-4 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fig. 2a; This is the specimen with an attached terminal aggregate pollen organ; 4 cm long below aggregate pollen organ, plus 3 cm of pollen organ. Pinnule divergence (Fig. 2e-f) is typical for <em>Tetrastichia</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>3 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block 712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 mm</td>
<td>3 sub-opposite</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
<td>5 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 mm</td>
<td>2 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 mm</td>
<td>2 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 mm</td>
<td>4 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5 alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>Column 1</td>
<td>Column 2</td>
<td>Column 3</td>
<td>Column 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 mm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>opposite</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>sub-opposite</td>
<td>1+1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>sub-opposite</td>
<td>1+1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>opposite</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 mm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>sub-opposite</td>
<td>1+1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 mm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (mm)</td>
<td>Width (mm)</td>
<td>Spacing</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Gordon, 1938; May and Matten, 1986; Dunn and Rothwell, 2012; and new observations.
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