Combined stratigraphic-structural play characterization in hydrocarbon exploration: a case study of Middle Miocene sandstones, Gulf of Suez basin, Egypt
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Abstract

As most hydrocarbon discoveries in the Gulf of Suez basin are in structural traps, little research has focused on the evaluation of stratigraphic or combined play in the region. The Badri field represents one of the most prolific oil and gas fields in the Gulf of Suez basin with a large cumulative production from the Miocene Belayim and Kareem Reservoirs. However, Middle Miocene Baba sandstones is still relatively underexplored when compared to other prolific producing reservoir intervals. Recent drilling data from the Badri field has renewed interest in this Member as a reservoir unit due to the lateral facies variations from shale to sandstones recognized in some wells. This study aims to investigate the structural and stratigraphic characterization and hydrocarbon potentiality of Serravalian Baba sandstones. An integrated mineralogical, sedimentological, petrophysical, and reservoir characterization analysis was conducted to obtain and evaluate information about the main reservoir characteristics of Baba sandstones using thin sections, wireline logs (i.e. Resistivity, Density-Neutron, Gamma-ray, and Sonic), and subsurface geologic mapping. Results support that Baba sandstones interval has good
reservoir properties and high potentiality to produce hydrocarbon increasing the reserves in the studied area. Moreover, the entrapment style of Baba sandstones interval is due to the combined effect of stratigraphic and structural components. Accordingly, this study recommends more attention for the stratigraphic and combined stratigraphic-structural traps present along the Gulf of Suez basin.
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1. **Introduction**

Hydrocarbon exploration in the Gulf of Suez basin started more than 100 years ago at Ras Gemsa, with hydrocarbon discovered in the Miocene and Pre-Miocene reservoirs intervals (Fig. 1). Colletta et al. (1998) discussed multiple phases of rifting in the Basin that resulted in a complex tectonostratigraphic evolution and further studies refer to this point (Lyberis, 1988; Jarrige, et al., 1990; Patton et al., 1994; Bosworth et al., 1998; Bosworth and McClay, 2001; Lewis et al., 2017). Extensive exploration studies were conducted in the basin to maximize the oil production, which is vital for the Egyptian economy (Elzarka and Moustafa, 1988; Abdine et al., 1992; Khalil and Mesbah, 1998; Dancer et al., 2010; Attia et al., 2015; Mostafa et al., 2015; Abdelghany et al., 2021; Kassem et al., 2021; Radwan et al., 2021). Long exploration history in the Gulf of Suez, resulted in the discovering of more than 80 hydrocarbon fields (Moustafa and Khalil et al., 2020). The rift basin exploration history before twenty century (2000s) was directed to structural highs (i.e. crests of the tilted blocks), which resulted in large reserves that reach up to 10 billion stock barrels of original oil (Youssef, 2011). More than 4 billion barrels are the estimated undiscovered oil resources in the Miocene stratigraphic traps (Meshref et al., 1988; Tewfik et al., 1992; Hassouba et al., 1993, 1994; Dolson and El Gendi et al., 1997; Salama et al., 1994; Youssef, 2011). So recently the exploration strategy in the basin pays more attention to
stratigraphic, structural lows, and sequence stratigraphy application (i.e. Miocene half-grabens or sub-basins) between the high blocks, these type of traps is mostly linked to Miocene sandstones (Young et al., 2000 and 2003; Pivnik et al., 2003; Youssef, 2011; Sarhan, 2020). However, many risks are still exist for exploring Miocene stratigraphic traps, due to the unpredictable lateral distribution and the limited volume of the clastic reservoirs.

The Badri field contains hydrocarbon in the Miocene Belayim and Kareem Reservoirs (EGPC, 1996; Abudeif et al., 2016; Radwan, 2020a-d) The base of the Belayim Formation consists of Baba Member evaporitic sequence. However, some wells show blocky sandstones reported in the literature as Baba sandstones (Abudeif et al., 2018; Attia et al., 2015). Baba sandstones are characterized by high resistivity suggesting good petrophysical characteristics as a potential reservoir in the studied area. However, the facies distribution, hydrocarbon habitat, and trapping mechanism have not been understood and need detailed study.

The main aims of this study are to 1) evaluate the hydrocarbon prospectivity of Baba sandstones through the evaluation of their facies distribution, petrographic, and petrophysical properties, 2) interpret and update the hydrocarbon habitat and petroleum system for the studied unit according to the previous publications and geological based interpretations, 3) enhancing the hydrocarbon recoveries by adding new opportunities in the existing field. The novelty of hydrocarbon prospectivity of Baba sandstones will hopefully provide new insights for exploring the nonstructural plays and focusing on facies or lithology change in the area of Gulf of Suez basin.

2. Geologic setting

The Gulf of Suez basin is a rift basin that contains the major oil-bearing province in Egypt, and that was formed through the separation of the African and Arabian plates during the Late Oligocene - Early Miocene time (Bosworth and McClay, 2001; Abudeif et al., 2016a,b and 2018;
Lashin and Abd El-Aal, 2004; Gawthorpe et al., 2033a,b; Attia et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2017; Rohais et al, 2016; Radwan et al., 2020a-d; Rohais and Rouby, 2020). The Badri field is located in the southern area of the Gulf of Suez basin and covers an area of about 12 km² (Fig. 1) The Badri structure was first drilled in 1966 by a step-out well from the El Morgan Field, which is located immediately to the west of the Badri field across an NNW-striking fault (Radwan et al., 2019a-d; Radwan and Sen, 2020) (Fig. 2). The structure is characterized by a main extensional fault that separates the East Badri and Badri fields with a throw of 460 meters at the top of the Belayim Formation (Radwan, 2014, 2018). In addition, a minor structural element called fault z with a throw of 45 meters at the top of the Belayim Formation is mapped in the northern area of the field (Fig. 3) (Radwan, 2014, 2018; Radwan et al., 2019b, 2020a,c).

3. Lithostratigraphy

The lithostratigraphic succession of the Gulf of Suez basin has been divided into three different stages (Fig.1C): 1) Pre-rift units (pre-Oligocene), 2) Syn-rift units (Oligo-Miocene), and 3) Post-rift units (Quaternary). The syn-rift sediments are characterized by their overall excellent oil potentiality as a source, reservoir, and seal rocks (Richardson et al., 1988; Jackson et al., 2006; Attia et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2017; Radwan et al., 2019b, c).

The syn-rift Miocene succession is subdivided into the six main formations from base to top: The Nukhul, Rudeis, Kareem the Belayim, South Gharib, and Zeit Formations (EGPC 1964; Fig. 4). The post-Zeit succession attributed to the Plio-Pleistocene is interpreted as post-rift deposits (Fig. 4).

The syn-rift Belayim Formation (Middle Miocene) consists of four Members: Hammam. Faraun (Top), Feiran, Sidri, and Baba (Bottom) (Fig. 5) (Abd-Elshafy and Abu-Ellile 1989; Alsharhan, 2003; Radwan et al., 2019a,b; Nabawy and El Sharawy, 2015; Ali et al., 2016; Nabawy and Barakat, 2017; Radwan, 2020a-d; Radwan et al., 2021). The sandstones recognized
in the Gulf of Suez basin have a good reservoir quality and petroleum accumulation history. The Hammam Faraun Member is the thickest sandstones Member that reaches up to 100 m in the study area and consists of sandstones intercalated with shale and siltstones (Attia et al., 2015; Radwan, 2014, 2018). Baba and Feiran Members consist of evaporite deposits, while the Sidri Member is composed of shale with thin sandstones beds intercalated (Fig 5). Baba Member (Serravallian) consists of anhydrite with a thin interbedded clastic marker in the middle part, which allows a subdivision of this Member into three lithologic units. The upper and the lower lithological units are mainly composed of anhydrite in the study area, the middle part is represented by clastic sediments. Each lithological unit is easily laterally correlatable throughout the Badri field. Here, Baba Member varies in thickness from approximately 21 m in the south to almost 91 m in the northern area. In the Badri field, the interbedded clastic unit is less than 15 m thick, and shale represents the main lithology although in some areas wells have penetrated sandstones interval hereafter called Baba sandstones.

4. Material and Methods

The data sets were provided by the Egyptian General Petroleum Corporation (EGPC), through the Gulf of Suez Petroleum Company (GUPCO). Four wells named Badri (A, B, C, and D) represent the core data set in this work. The data consist of formation evaluation logs including Gamma-ray (GR), Density Logs (RHOB), Neutron Logs (NPHI), Compressional Sonic Logs (CODT), formation Resistivity logs (RT), and formation pressure data (MDT). In addition, composite logs, mud logs, and geological reports were used to evaluate Baba clastic interval within the Badri Field as well as to characterize the facies distribution supported by the petrographic analysis. No core data are available for the studied interval, so we depend on the ditch cutting samples. More than 40 ditch samples and 32 thin sections from the four offset wells were used to define the petrographic characteristics of the studied zone. The thin-sections were
studied by using a Nikon polarizing microscope and during this procedure detrital minerals, lithology, grain size, sedimentological features, accessory minerals, visual porosity, and cementation type were determined. The point count method was used for porosity analysis in thin section samples. Sandstones classification was done according to Dott (1964).

Petrophysical investigations used the traditional conventional logs according to the standard procedures available in the literature (Dresser, 1979; Abudeif et al., 2016a and b; Schlumberger, 1986; Darwin, 1987; Albery, 1992; Atlas, 1995; Attia et al., 2015; Asquith et al., 2004; Abudeif et al., 2018; Schlumberger, 1987; Radwan, 2020c). The petrophysical parameters have been analyzed using the Techlog© software. The shale volume has been determined using the density neutron method due to the presence of feldspars in the studied sandstones (Asquith, 1990) (Eq.1) (Table 1). The density-neutron method was used to calculate the total porosity (Serra, 1983) (Eq.2) (Table 1). Effective porosity was calculated using the volume of clay and neutron porosity of pure shale (Hill et al., 1979; Clavier et al., 1984; Juhasz, 1986; Schlumberger, 1989; Albery, 1992) (Eq.3) (Table 1). Water saturation was calculated using the Archie equation (Archie, 1942) (Eq.4) (Table 1). Permeability was estimated using Wyllie and Rose Approach (Wylie and Rose, 1950) (Eq.5 and 6) (Table 1). Hydrocarbon volume calculation was done using the standard volumetric method for hydrocarbon calculations (Bishop et al., 1983; Shepherd, 2009; Attia et al., 2015) (Eq.7 and 8) (Table 1).

4. Results

4.1. Baba clastics marker distribution

All drilled wells in the study area confirmed that the stratigraphic section of Baba Member consists mainly of two anhydrite units separated by a clastic marker interval (Figs 1 and 5). Anhydrite is characterized by white and tannish white color, and moderately hard. The thickness of the clastic interval ranges from 5 to 15 m, and the facies consists mainly of shale. Towards the
north of the field, shales laterally grade into blocky sandstones facies (Fig. 5). The blocky sandstones facies has a thickness ranging between 7 to 12 m (Fig. 5). The lateral evolution of Baba sandstones shows that the sandstones decreases in thickness from the east (wells C and D) to the west (wells A and B) (Table. 2). The geographic distribution of Baba sandstones and wells indicate that they encompass a faulted area (fault z), where two wells were drilled in the hanging block (wells C and D) and the other two wells (A and B) in the down-faulted block (Figs. 3 and 6).

Baba deposits (B4 in Rohais et al., 2016) recorded the first disconnection of the entire Gulf of Suez basin rift from the Mediterranean Sea during its rifting stage. Halite deposits were preserved in fault-controlled depocenters (Fig. 7). Sediment supplies along the rift shoulders were very low as suggested by the very small isolated and fan-shaped siliciclastic bodies developed along the Morgan accommodation zone (Fig. 7B). Baba sandstones in the Badri field was probably fed from the El Qaa plain fan bodies, with more sand content to the north, progressively interfingering with shale to the south and southwest.

4.2. Petrographic characterization.

Shale is the dominant lithology in the clastics marker of Baba Member. The shale is characterized by different colors including dark grey, light gray, brownish grey, greenish grey, and yellowish grey. The shale firmness is ranging from soft to moderate. The shale reaction with the hydrochloric acid showed slightly calcareous content. Pyrite represents the main accessory mineral. The description of Baba sandstones is mainly based on the thirty-two thin section samples from the studied wells. The average grain-size ranges from coarse to medium-grained sand with rarely fine-grained sand and pebble-sized grains locally present (Table.3). According to the ternary plot, Baba sandstones can be classified as Arkose (Q70 F27 L3) (Fig. 8).

4.2.1. Constituent grains
Baba sandstones consist of four components including quartz, feldspars, lithic fragments, and accessory minerals. The quartz grains of Baba sandstones are dominated by both monocrystalline and polycrystalline quartz ranging from 65% to 74% of the total volume (Table 3). The quartz grains range from sub-rounded to sub-angular in most samples (Table 3). The feldspars component is dominated by plagioclase feldspars while some samples have a significant amount of microcline. The feldspars content ranges from 31% to 24% of the total rock. Some feldspars grains show dissolution and alteration. Lithic fragments and accessory minerals represent a minor component of the total rock volume (~ 3%). The accessory minerals include pyrite as the dominant mineral. Authigenic quartz overgrowths were also observed in some samples. Micas (e.g. biotite, chlorite, and muscovite) are rarely present (Fig. 9 & 10).

4.2.2. Cement and Matrix

The quartz and anhydrite cement are the dominant cementation process affecting Baba sandstones. The silica cement is dominant in most samples while the anhydrite cement is increasing in the up-section. Baba sandstones matrix contains silt-sized grains of quartz and clays (Table 3) (Fig. 9 & 10). In addition, amorphous organic materials are observed in most samples.

4.2.3. Texture or Fabric

The investigated samples of Baba sandstones are dominantly by sub-rounded grains, although sub-angular grains are locally recognized with angularity increasing toward the up-section. The average grain size in the investigated samples are variables from fine-grained sand (160 microns) to gravel sizes (Table 3). Sorting is characterized by variable degrees including moderately, well and poor sorting. The moderately sorted texture is dominant in most samples. Well sorted grains are dominant in the middle part of Baba sandstones.

4.2.4. Porosity

The estimated porosity in thin sections images by point count method is (10-15%) along with Baba sandstones interval (Table 3). The porosity in Baba sandstones is characterized by both
primary and secondary porosity in most samples. The primary porosity is dominant in most samples representing more than 90% of the total porosity. The secondary porosity represents no more than 8% of the total porosity.

The anhydrite of Baba Member indicates a lagoonal and restricted depositional environment, while the sandstones and shales within Baba Member indicate shallow marine environment (Salah and Alsharhan, 1997; Radwan, 2014; Tawadros, 2012; Rohais et al., 2016; Nabawy and Barakat, 2017; Radwan, 2018; Radwan, 2020a). However, the absence of cores in the studied reservoir, and the unobvious log signatures doesn’t allow for taking a step further on defining more facies analysis studies.

4.3. Petrophysical evaluation

Based on the petrophysical evaluations of Baba clastics sandstones reservoir in the investigated Badri (A, B, C, and D) wells, the shale volume (Vsh) analysis shows a low shale content with an average ranging from 9% to 13%, while the maximum estimated value is 18% (Table 2). In general, the higher values of the gamma-ray log in the blocky sandstones unit are due to the presence of feldspars. The effective porosity (Øeff) shows good porosity with average values ranging from 11% to 17%, and a maximum value of 23%. The higher effective porosity is in the two up-dip wells and the relatively lower porosities occur in the down-dip wells. The water saturation (Sw) analysis shows a reverse trend compared to that of the effective porosity. The water saturation ranges from 12% to 21%, with maximum values up to 21%. The higher water saturation is observed in the down-dip wells and the lower water saturation is in the up-dip wells. The hydrocarbon saturation (Shr) analysis shows high saturation values. The higher hydrocarbon saturation is in the up-dip wells, with the average hydrocarbon saturation was ranged from 79% to 88% (Table 2). The estimated permeability analysis shows average values ranging from 180 to 220 mD, with a maximum of 400 mD. Fluid type evaluation indicated the presence of oil in BDR (A and B) wells, and gas cap in BDR (C and D) wells (Fig. 11).
4.4. Hydrocarbon volume

The last inferred petrophysical parameters have been used to calculate the estimated hydrocarbon on the studied Member. According to the standard oil industry equations (Eq. 7 and 8) in (Table 1), the (STOOIP) in the studied Member is approximately 1,100,000 Stock tank barrels as P50. In addition, small estimated quantities of gas reach up to 1481 (MM SCF) as P50.

5. Interpretation and synthesis

The primary reservoirs of the Badri Field have been deposited during Middle Miocene just after the rift-climax phase. Rifting formed half-grabens structures that allow the deposition of variable clastic and evaporitic sequence in the Belayim Formation (Fig. 1) (Evans, 1988, 1990; Gawthorpe et al., 1990; Abd El Gawad, 2007; Muravchik et al., 2016; Rohais et al., 2016). In the late middle Miocene thick evaporites, shales were deposited over Middle Miocene sediments forming South Gharib and Zeit Formations, which act as primary seal rocks in the Gulf of Suez basin (A-Rehim et al., 1994; Attia et al., 2015). The studied Baba Member is the basal Member of Belayim Formation and consists of anhydrite, and sediment influxes has been forms clastics marker in between the anhydrite layers along the studied field. The shaly facies pass laterally to sandstones in the northern part of the field. The lateral correlation of this blocky sandstones facies indicated that in the different areas they have the same electrical log response (Fig 6). The existence of these facies in four adjacent wells with the same log characteristics supporting the idea that these sandy facies are laterally continuous. Paleo-geographic reconstructions (Fig. 7) suggest that these sandstones were part of a large fan-shaped siliciclastic body extended across EL Morgan Accommodation Zone and supplied from the El Qaa Plain area (Eastern border of the rift). Another fan-shaped siliciclastic body was also developed is a similar pattern in the western part of the Morgan Accommodation Zone (Fig. 7B).
5.1. Sedimentological interpretations

Porosity investigations of the arkosic sandstones of Baba Member showed predominantly intergranular porosity, as indicated by the thin section photomicrographs (Fig. 9 & 10). In addition, secondary porosity has been recorded in the thin section samples, which may be resulted from the dissolution of feldspar grains. The petrographic analysis points out that Baba clastic sandstones marker unit in Badri (A, B, C, and D) wells is characterized by a wide range of grain-size, porosity, and sorting. Sorting ranges from good to moderate. The observed organic material filling pore space inter grains which may indicate oil pathways or oil-bearing sandstones. The good reservoir quality of Baba sandstones was suggested to be the result of the presence of secondary dissolution pores interconnected with the primary intergranular network. Moreover, the clay minerals, cementation, and compaction were identified as the main porosity-reducing agents. Polycrystalline and monocrystalline quartz grains are usually mechanically stable during weathering and transportation. Consequently, the preservation and the abundance of angular to sub-rounded polycrystalline and monocrystalline quartz grains suggest that sediments pertaining to Baba sandstones experienced short transport. The study of the detrital grains of Baba reservoir indicates that Baba clastics marker reservoir (Serravallian) was driven mainly from nearby exposures of Red Sea Hills. In addition, the presence of polycrystalline quartz suggests igneous source rocks or metamorphic rocks, particularly (gneisses) (El-Ashry, 1972; Salah and Alsharhan, 1997; Attia et al., 2015).

5.2. Petrophysical interpretations

Baba reservoir shows high gamma-ray, low-density readings, relatively porous zone, and high resistivity values (Fig. 6 & 11). It should be noted that the high gamma-ray of Baba sandstones is related to the K-feldspars content within the reservoir, which increases the gamma-ray response. The result of the well log analysis showed that the average effective porosity ranges between 12 and 20% with a significant increase towards the BDR (C and D) wells. Hydrocarbon saturation
ranging from 79% to 88% and increases towards the BDR (C and D) wells. Low shale volume has been estimated to range from 9% as the lowest up to 13% as the maximum and this amount decreases towards the BDR (C and D) wells. According to the well log analysis, it is inferred that the derived petrophysical parameters exhibited good porosity, low clay content, and high hydrocarbon saturation, which indicates that Baba sandstones facies are excellent sandstones unit or reservoir. The petrophysical investigation inferred that the Net Pay to Gross Ratio (NGR) values are equal to 1, which means that net pay is equal to Gross thickness. These sandy facies characteristics have very high potentiality as a hydrocarbon-bearing reservoir within Baba Member.

5.3. Hydrocarbon habitat and petroleum geology

The very high potentiality of the Gulf of Suez basin is related to the fact that the rifting tends to produce both open marine and restricted settings favorable to a source rock accumulation, in addition, the relatively high geothermal gradient (Khalil and Meshref, 1988; Khalil and McClay, 1998; Dolson, et.al, 2001; Alsharhan, 2003; Lewis et al., 2016; El Nady and Mohamed, 2016). The hydrocarbon potential of the exanimated area is proved by the successful wells producing from Middle Miocene reservoirs.

The principal source rocks in the Badri field are the Upper Cretaceous Brown Limestone (Senonian) and the Eocene Thebes Formation (EGPC, 1996). These limestones were deposited under a marine environment with anoxic conditions. Limestone facies contain abundant algal and amorphous kerogen of Types II and II/I, and organic richness of 8 wt.% (Table 4) and (Fig. 13) (Chowdhary and Taha, 1987; Lelek et al., 1992; Hughes et al., 1992; EGPC, 1996; Salah and Alsharhan, 1997). These source rocks are mature and have been generating oil since the latest Miocene to Pliocene times in adjacent deep areas.
The seal rocks in Badri Field consists of evaporites and shales Miocene in age (Chowdhary and Taha, 1987, EGPC, 1996) (Fig. 12 & 13). In the studied area, the upper and lower anhydrite units act as top seal, and the surrounded shale of Baba sandstones facies act as lateral seal. In addition, the structural setting of the field juxtaposed the sandstones facies against the evaporitic seal in the eastern side of the field (Fig. 2 & 12). While Baba shale acts as a lateral seal in the western side of the area (Fig. 12). Oil migrated along the bounding faults, formed after the Mid-Rudeis tectonic event in the late Lower Miocene (EGPC, 1996). Hydrocarbon entrapment seems to have a combined stratigraphic and structural nature. Hence, the studied Baba Member is located between the two principal reservoirs, meaning that the same source rock, migration paths charge the porous zone according to the entrapment and effective seals. The stratigraphic component in Baba sandstones consists of facies change from shale to sandstones. Sediment influx is the key factor that controls the facies change from shale to sandstones in this shallow marine to lagoons deposits. The structural component represents the NW to SE trending anticline with closure of more than 130 meters at the top of Belayim Horizon. To the east, NW to SE trending normal fault bounds the reservoir from the east side with more than one four hundred and fifty meters of throw at the top of Belayim. To the west, the Badri Field is bounded and separated from the North El Morgan Field by NW to SE trending normal fault, dipping NE. This fault juxtaposes the Badri Belayim section against the productive Kareem section in the adjacent El Morgan Field (EGPC, 1996; Radwan et al., 2019a, 2020a) (Fig. 2 & 12). Smaller NW to SE trending normal fault with thirty-meter vertical displacement has been detected in the NE portion of Badri Field, which affected the studied Baba sandstones (Fig. 3 & 12). A summary chart of hydrocarbon habitat (source rocks, seals, and reservoirs) and their distribution relative to the formations and geologic ages is shown in (Fig. 13). Accordingly, the trap style for the investigated sandstones facies consists of combined stratigraphic and structural components.

5.4. Implications for exploring combined traps in the basin
Exploring stratigraphic and combination traps have had less priority from the beginning of the oil and gas revolution until the twenty century. Stratigraphic traps have historically been viewed as a high risk (Stirling et al., 2017). Non-structural traps were comprising lower than 20% volume of the structural traps worldwide (Dolson et al., 2017). Acquiring 3D seismic imaging at the beginning of twenty century has led to an enhancement of the seismic resolution, and develop hydrocarbon system modeling. Moreover, the application of sequence stratigraphy concept in hydrocarbon exploration contributed to look over structural traps. Accordingly, many large stratigraphic or combined prospects have been explored (e.g. offshore Ghana and Mozambique and the Utsira High in the North Sea). The economic success of these traps has spotted the light on these under-explored remains globally (e.g. tight gas in the China Ordos Basin) (Attia et al., 2015; Dolson et al., 2017; Arab et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2019; Hall et al., 2019; Sladen and Chiarella, 2020).

Multiple chances for exploring new resources along unconformity surfaces, pinch-out, and carbonate reef could then represent a major opportunity for the Gulf of Suez basin as well. To enhance the exploration effectiveness of stratigraphic and combined traps, companies should increase the use of seismo-stratigraphy, biostratigraphy, and lithostratigraphy approaches along with the sequence stratigraphy one (Dolson, 1999; Young et al., 2000 and 2003). In this context, analysis of lateral seals needs large consideration while prospecting risk evaluations using the same approach. In the present study, the paleogeographic maps during the deposition of the Baba Mb. give insight on the potential pinch-out and stratigraphic traps surrounding the known reservoir area. This requires a structural restoration as well as putting the area of interest into perspective in a larger basin-scale context.

In the last decades, non-structural traps have been discovered accidentally in the Gulf of Suez basin (Alsharhan, 2003). Most of these fields are only small accumulations located in flank positions to the tilted block crests (Dolson et al., 2017). However, although studies within the last
decades have provided a good understanding of the petroleum system of the area, main exploration resources are still focused on the same traditional structural traps, and not exploring the synclinal basins. The study case presented in this work can then highlight the potentiality for further development of near-field exploration in the Gulf of Suez basin and surrounded areas.

6. Conclusions

Our multidisciplinary analysis allows us to evaluate the reservoir architecture and the structural and stratigraphic characterization of the Middle Miocene Baba sandstones. The main conclusions of our study can be summarized as follows:

- Our sedimentological analysis revealed that there is a correlatable clastic marker within the evaporitic Baba Member, and this unit consists of shale laterally passing into blocky sandstones in the northern part of the Badri Field. The stratigraphic analysis suggests that the Baba sandstones decreases in thickness and pinch-out towards the west.

- The petrographic and petrophysical characteristics of the Middle Miocene sandstones suggest that this unit has a pretty consistent mineralogical composition and it pertains to the same depositional environment throughout the area. Moreover, the reservoir characteristics suggest that this interval represents a potential reservoir.

- Integration of structural and stratigraphic analysis, as well as characterization of the petroleum elements of the Middle Miocene Baba sandstones suggests the presence of a unique combined stratigraphic-structural trapping mechanism.

- The result of this study could open up a new direction for the petroleum exploration of potential combined traps in the Gulf of Suez basin. In addition, the lateral facies variability recognized along syn-rift sediments of the Gulf of Suez basin represents an
interesting aspect that should be further investigated since it might open a new potential target (i.e. stratigraphic traps) for hydrocarbon exploration and development in the basin.

- The combined stratigraphic-structural play characterization presented in this work highlights the hydrocarbon potentiality for further development of near-field exploration in the Gulf of Suez basin and surrounded areas. Accordingly, further studies are needed to extend the knowledge in the adjacent fields, and additional targets such as the Baba and Sidri sandstones must be assessed in further exploration plans.
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Caption of Figures
**Fig. 1** A) Structural map of the Suez rift (after Rohais et al., 2016 and references herein). Hatched areas indicate major accommodation zones. Position of the Badri field and distribution of major oil field are highlighted in green (modified from Alsharhan, 2003). Red line indicate the cross sections presented in Figure 2. B) Geodynamic setting of the Gulf of Suez (red box). Major elements of the Aqaba–Levant intra-continental transform boundary, the Bitlis-Zagros convergence zone and the Red Sea – Gulf of Aden are highlighted. C) Simplified stratigraphic column of the Suez rift (after Rohais et al., 2016 and references herein).

**Fig. 2** Southwest-northeast cross-section through the Suez Rift Basin (after EGPC, 1996). See figure 1A for the line of section position.

**Fig. 3** Structure contour map of Baba Member in the Badri field. Position of the studied wells (A – D) is also indicated. X and y coordinates are related to the projection coordinates of Egypt Gulf of Suez S-650 TL/Red Belt.

**Fig. 4** Stratigraphic scheme of Gulf of Suez for the Miocene to Pliocene interval (modified after Wescott et al., 1996; Bowsorth and McClay, 2001). Sea-level is derived from Haq and Al-Qahtani (2005). Stratigraphy and ages are from Hardenbol et al. (1998), and Gradstein et al., (2012), respectively.

**Fig. 5** A) Standard composite lithology log of the Belayim Formation used in the Badri Field versus B) the composite lithology log derived from the studied Badri (A, B, C and D) wells.

**Fig. 6** Stratigraphic correlation of Baba sandstones facies through Badri (A, B, C and D) wells.

**Fig. 7** Paleo-lithological maps for the Belayim Formation (Baba, B4) in the vicinity of the Morgan Accommodation Zone (modified from Rohais et al., 2016). A. Map with dominant lithology for the basal evaporite deposits recorded in the Badri field. B. Map with dominant lithology for the Shale/Sandstones clastic interval interbedded in Baba evaporite. Sandstones are preserved in the northern part of the Badri field.
Fig. 8 QFL triangular diagram showing the classification of Baba sandstones reservoir.

Fig. 9 Microphotographs from the A well. (A) Sub-rounded quartz grains with intergranular porosity; magnifications 80X, Sample depth of 2060 m. (B) Intragranular porosity formed due to leaching of feldspars, magnifications 80X, crossed nicols. Sample depth of 2060 m. (C) Sandstones with plagioclase (highly lamellar twinning), anhydrite cement; magnifications 80X. Sample depth of 2066 m. (D) Organic material filling pore space. magnifications 80X, crossed nicols. Sample depth of 2066 m.

Fig. 10 Microphotograph from the D well. (A) Anhydrite cement between quartz grains; magnifications 80X. Sample depth of 2069 m. (B) Feldspars within a composite grain showing polycrystalline quartz indicating a metamorphic source (gneiss); magnifications 80X, crossed nicols. Sample depth of 2080 m. (C) Arkosic sandstones with lamellar twinning of plagioclase, magnifications 80X. Sample depth of 2080 m. (D) Porosity around grains under crossed nicols. magnifications 80X. Sample depth of 2075 m.

Fig. 11 A) Computer processed interpretation (CPI) for the well B where, GR is Gamma-ray curve, RHOB is the Bulk Density curve, NPHI is the neutron porosity, RT is resistivity, EFF-Porosity is the effective porosity, SW_AR is the water saturation using Archie equation. The last column indicates the lithology content where yellow is the quartz, grey is the shale content, pink is the feldspar, plum is the anhydrite and green is the oil saturation (white with red circle indicates the gas saturation). B) The computer processed interpretation (CPI) for the well C.

Fig. 12 Cross section through the Badri (A, B, C and D) Wells, (refer to Figure 3 for the line of section position).

Fig. 13 Events chart for the Badri Field. Formations abbreviations: NEZ = Nezzazat, N = Nukhul, R = Rudeis, K = Kareem, B = Belayim, SG = South Gharib and Z = Zeit.
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**Table 1** List of the used standard equations in the petrophysical evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Equation number</th>
<th>Expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{sh} = \Phi_N - \Phi_D / \Phi_{Nsh} - \Phi_{Dsh}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\Phi_N$= neutron porosity in sand, $\Phi_D$= density porosity in sand, $\Phi_{Nsh}$= neutron porosity adjacent shale, $\Phi_{Dsh}$= density porosity in adjacent shale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_t = \sqrt{\Phi_N^2 - \Phi_D^2}/2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$(\Phi_t)$= Total porosity from neutron or any method (fractional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_{eff} = \Phi_t - V_{cly} \times \Phi_{sh}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(\Phi_{eff})$= Effective porosity (fractional), $(V_{cly})$=Volume of clay from non-linear equation or any (fractional), $(\Phi_{sh})$=Neutron porosity reading in 100% shale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_w = n \sqrt{a.R_w/\Phi_m.R_t}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$R_t$ is the deep resistivity, $R_w$ is the down hole water resistivity, $S_w$ is the water saturation, $a$ is the Archie’s exponent, $m$ is the cementation factor, $n$ is the saturation exponent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K = (250*\Phi^3 / Swir)^2$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$K$ is the permeability in millidarcy, $\Phi$ is the porosity; $Swir$ is the irreducible water saturation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K = (79*\Phi^3 / Swir)^2$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$STOOIP = 7758 Ah\bar{\Omega}(SO) / BO (STB)$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>STOOIP= stock-tank original oil in place [barrels], $G$= gas initially in place [MM SCF], $A$= Area [acres], $h$ = Net pay thickness [feet], 7758 = Conversion factor (acre-ft * 7758 = barrels), $\bar{\Omega}$ = Porosity of this net reservoir rock (decimal), $So$= Oil saturation - oil-filled portion of this porosity (decimal), $Bo$ = Formation Volume Factor for oil (decimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GIIP = 43,560 Ah\bar{\Omega}(Sg) / Bg (MM SCF)$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$(Bg)$ = Formation Volume Factor for gas (decimal)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Summary of the average petrophysical characteristics for Baba Sandstone in the studied wells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>well</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (ft)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vsh (%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIE (%)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw (%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shr (%)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mD)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross (ft)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay(ft)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Visual estimates of whole-rock composition for the studied zone samples. Sorting: W= Well; M= Moderate; P= Poor. Roundness: R= Rounded; SR= sub-rounded; SA= sub-angular. Grain size is in microns. Values in ( ) represent % not included in total percent.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Feldspar</th>
<th>Lithics</th>
<th>accessory</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Silica</th>
<th>Anhydrite</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Organics</th>
<th>Average grain size</th>
<th>Sorting</th>
<th>Rounding</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>M/W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>M/P</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>P/M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>M/W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>M/P</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>M/W</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>M/P</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>M/W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Summary of the Source rock parameters for the Badri field, where TOC, total organic carbon; S₂, hydrocarbons from kerogen cracking; S₃, organic CO₂—kerogen derived; T_max, pyrolysis temperature at which maximum emission of hydrocarbons occurs; Rₒ, Vitrinite reflectance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source rock parameters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation and age</strong></td>
<td>Brown Limestone (Senonian); Thebes Formation (Eocene)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lithology</strong></td>
<td>Limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depositional system</strong></td>
<td>Open marine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOC</strong></td>
<td>4% (2-8% regionally)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kerogen type</strong></td>
<td>II and II/I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time of hydrocarbon expulsion</strong></td>
<td>Late Miocene to Pliocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydrogen index (HI)</strong></td>
<td>300–675 average (450)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxygen index (OI)</strong></td>
<td>15–100 average (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S2</strong></td>
<td>19.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tmax (°C)</strong></td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ro</strong></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time of hydrocarbon expulsion</strong></td>
<td>Late Miocene to Pliocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>API gravity</strong></td>
<td>Belayim: 27°; Kareem: 28°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- Limestone
- Open marine
- II and II/I
- Late Miocene to Pliocene
- mg HC/gm of TOC
- mg HC/gm of CO$_2$
- mg HC/gm rock
- °C
- %
- °API
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