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Abstract

Architecture and permeability of fault damage zones are important for understanding fault mechanisms and fluid flow in fractured rocks. Nevertheless, this understanding is often hindered by limited availability of data, especially in the subsurface. A comprehensive suite of cores, logs and production data is here presented to unravel petrophysical properties and fracture characteristics of deep (\textgtr 6000 m) Ordovician limestones within strike-slip fault zones of the Tarim intracratonic basin (NW China). The results show that (1) the carbonate porosity is mainly secondary dissolution porosity and comprises low porosity (\textless 5\%) and low permeability (\textless 0.5 mD) of the
matrix reservoirs, and the “sweet spots” in fractured reservoirs with high permeability (> 5 mD) and high porosity (> 8%); (2) the fault damage zones are generally tight with fracture aperture < 0.05 mm that formed during multiple genetic events and were successively affected by marked diagenesis; (3) the fracture porosity is negligible, but fracture related dissolution is of critical significance and enhance permeability by more than two orders of magnitude higher than the tight matrix reservoirs in fault damage zones; (4) fracture attributes (frequency and aperture), permeability and production across fault zones display a distinct dichotomy between the inner and outer damage zones, with high permeability and production mainly within 500 m of inner damage zones; (5) the permeability had no distinct logarithmic or power-law scale to distance to fault, but a large scatter and slow decrease in inner damage zones. The results indicate that in deep subsurface carbonate reservoirs along fault zones of the Tarim basin, (1) permeability can be characterized by integrating cores, log and production data at different scales; (2) multi-stages fracture diagenesis, particularly of the late stage fracturing and fracture related dissolution, dominated the permeability in the carbonate damage zones; (3) an increased cementation and fracture diagenesis of fault cores led to a scattered permeability distribution in the inner damage zones; (4) “sweet spots” of fractured reservoirs are main exploitation targets along the fault damage zones.
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1. Introduction

Fault zones significantly influence the mechanical, hydraulic and permeability properties of the host rocks (e.g., Caine et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2004; Faulkner et al., 2010; Bense et al., 2013). Permeability variations due to faulting can extend across hundreds of meters in fault zones (including fault core and damage zone) making these a critical element of the evolution of faults and strongly affecting fluid migration and accumulation in sedimentary basins (e.g., Aydin, 2000; Tondi, 2007; Pei et al., 2015). Permeability in fault zones may display a power–law relationship with distance to fault core, consistent with fracture frequency increase toward fault (e.g., Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009; Torabi et al., 2018). On the other hand, permeability generally presents strong heterogeneity and anisotropy along and across fault zone because of (1) the different types host rocks, (2) extent of faulting and associated fracturing, and (3) diagenesis, with the latter particularly relevant for carbonate rocks (e.g., Billi et al., 2003; Micarelli, E., 2006; Agosta et al., 2007; Rotevatn and Bastesen, 2012; Haines et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2019b). In carbonate fault zones, permeability vary with lithology, sedimentary facies and strata thickness (e.g., Agosta et al., 2012; Guerriero et al., 2013; Afşar et al., 2014; Michie, 2015; Haines et al., 2016), and vary substantially with fracture attributes (frequency, length, aperture), architecture and linkage (e.g., Rotevatn and Bastesen, 2012; Korneva et al., 2014; Brogi and Novellino, 2015; Haines et al., 2016; Torabi et al., 2018; Balsamo et al., 2019; Ferraro et al., 2020). In addition, hierarchical fracture systems characterized by specific geometrical features
present different permeability in carbonate reservoirs (e.g., Guerriero et al., 2013, 2015). During long burial, diagenesis (e.g., cementation and dissolution) drastically modifies permeability of fault damage zones as these structures grow through time (e.g., Billi et al., 2003; Hausegger et al., 2010; Haines et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2017). High level of fracturing in fault damage zones is thought to enhance significant diagenetic modifications during burial (Olierook et al., 2014; Arosi and Wilson, 2015; De Graaf et al., 2017). Due to sparse permeability data gained from strong heterogeneous fault zones, there is poor understanding on the permeability scaling with distance to fault, and how architecture, diagenesis and permeability of carbonate fault damage zones are interrelated in sedimentary basins.

Large oil and condensate fields in China have been found in carbonates of the Tarim Basin (Du, 2010; Lu et al., 2017). These Ordovician carbonate reservoirs are generally classified into reef-shoal and paleo-karst reservoirs and are controlled by microfacies and karstification, respectively (Du, 2010; Zhao et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). In recent years, hydrocarbon exploration and production has focused on cavity reservoirs related to fault damage zones (Wu et al., 2016, 2019a; Lu et al., 2017; Neng et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2019; Méndez et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). However, lateral and vertical heterogeneities result in complex production responses along these fault damage zones, posing a challenge for the exploitation of these carbonate reservoirs. The architecture and permeability of fault damage zone in the subsurface, which is of great importance for the reservoirs, have not been specifically addressed.
In this paper, cores, thin sections and well log data are used to feature the internal structures of the fault damage zones in the Ordovician carbonates of the Tarim Basin. By gathering fracture attributes (e.g., dip angle, azimuth, aperture and frequency) from wells data at variable distance from faults, we further examine the relationship between fracture attributes and increasing separation from faults. Integration of well log data, cores and productions permitted a multi-scale appreciation of permeability along fault damage zones. Finally, we discuss the controlling factors on permeability and on fault damage zones growth in sedimentary basins.

2. Geological setting

The Tarim Basin extends for of 560 000 km$^2$ making it the largest petroliferous basin in China (Fig. 1). This intracratonic basin contains Archean-Early Neoproterozoic crystalline basement covered by a ~15 km thick, Late Neoproterozoic-Quaternary succession (Fig. 1b; Jia, 1997). The Tarim Basin experienced a multi-stage tectonic evolution, accompanied by the creation of an intricate network of fault systems (e.g., Jia, 1997; Tang et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016, 2019a; Lu et al., 2017; Deng et al., 2019). The Halahatang area, which is the focus of this research, extends over an area of ~8000 km$^2$ and is located in the southern slope of the Northern Uplift (Fig. 1). Here, a continuous, basal carbonate succession of Cambrian dolomite transitioning to Upper Ordovician limestones is overlain by Silurian-Cretaceous and Cenozoic thick siliciclastics (Fig. 1b). The Middle-Upper Ordovician
carbonate reservoirs (Fig. 2a) are buried at depth ranging 6500-8000 m and gently
dipping to the south of the basin (Fig. 1b).

In recent years, 3D seismic data has allowed to identify a prominent conjugate set
of strike-slip faults that developed in the Cambrian-Ordovician (Fig. 2b; Wu et al.,
2019a). These were reactivated in the Silurian-Permian and in the Meso-Cenozoic,
forming large flower structures (Fig. 3). Fault zone length is generally up to 70 km
with maximum apparent throw along faults less than 150 m at the Ordovician
carbonates level (Figs. 2b and 3b; Wu et al., 2019a, 2020). Generally, these faults form
positive flower structures with transpressional horsts in the Ordovician carbonate
rocks (Fig. 3). A set of seismic attributes, such as seismic coherence and curvature,
were implemented to identify and map the fault damage zone (including fault core) in
3D seismic datasets (Wan et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2019a, 2020). The cumulative data of
fracture frequency, aperture and production data were used to constrain width of
damage zones (Wu et al., 2019a). These results showed that the fault damage zones
are stripped and fan-shaped with widths in the range of 1000-3000 m along faults (Fig.
2b). This width range overlaps with zones of enhanced hydrocarbon production within
~1200 m from fault zones (Wu et al., 2019a). Also, more than 200 wells drilled in the
Ordovician carbonate reservoirs have attested high production rates when located
within fault damage zones.

In this study area, The Middle-Upper Ordovician carbonate reservoirs can reach
thickness in excess of 300 m and are mainly formed by shallow shoal facies
developed in a broad ramp platform (Fig. 2a; Du, 2010; Gao et al., 2015). These
facies are mainly grainstones to wackstones and small reefs (Figs. 4a-4d; Du et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2019b) that formed large shoal sections interbedded with inter-shoal mudstones, often found to be karstified (Du et al., 2010). From a reservoir standpoint, these are tight carbonates that have very low matrix porosity (< 8%), and low permeability (< 5 mD) and considerable lateral and vertical heterogeneity. It is assumed that when production from these reservoirs exceeds 10 Mbb/yr they are located by fault damage zones.

3. Methods

Based on data from a large set of 50+ wells penetrating the Ordovician carbonates, we compiled a database of borehole cores, thin sections and well logs to describe the architecture of fault zones. The geometric and porosity features of fracture, pore (diameter < 2 mm), vug (diameter between 2-100 mm) and cavity (cavity diameter > 100 mm, large cavity diameter > 1000 mm) were documented in cores and thin sections, as well as in well log data (Fig. 4). Due to the sparse and discontinuous nature of core and thin section data, fracture attributes of frequency, dip angle, aperture and filling were measured from log data only (Wu et al., 2019a). Micro-resistivity image logging images (FMI) allowed detailed fracture identification, with dip angle and azimuth determination from 64 wells. The fracture frequency is the average number of fractures per meter in a well. Horizontal stylolite was excluded in the compiled data, as well as small fractures less than 5 cm in length for which identification was ambiguous.
Petrophysical properties were measured from 1181 core plugs from 46 wells. The porosity and permeability were measured by liquid saturation method and gas measurement method with klinkenberg-correction, respectively (e.g., Du, 2010; Yang et al., 2020). In 49 wells, porosity was mainly interpreted by volume model from density log data, whereas fracture porosity was derived from dual-lateral log interpretation model (Du et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2019). The permeability was constrained by an empirical equation from matrix porosity-permeability plots of core plugs data (Liu et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2020) as well as from production tests using the pressure decline method (Sivey and Lee, 2013; Wan et al., 2018). In addition, we collected oil production data from 196 wells. Although there is some uncertainty in the use of oil production as proxy for permeability, it is suggested that this is a valuable method to investigate permeability in heterogeneous carbonate reservoirs (Wu et al., 2019a).

Structural maps created by interpreting seismic data were used to measure distance between wells and adjacent major faults (Wu et al., 2019a). Eleven major faults were mapped from seismic data by using seismic sections and attributes like coherence and curvature (Fig. 2b). Small faults with length < 5 km were excluded from the analysis. Directional survey was utilized to take into account trajectories of deviated wells. This survey, however, might carry an error of up to 100 m from the actual location of the wellbore. Fracture attributes and petrophysical properties of country rock are measured from wells with variable distance from major faults. This allowed exploring the variation of these properties within fault damage zones.
Fracture attributes and permeability data are listed in the Supplementary material.

4. Results

4.1. Carbonate porosity

In the study area, unfractured cores have little visible porosity (Fig. 4a), and only a few dissolution vugs occurred in the Ordovician carbonates (Fig. 4b). The intergranular pores are almost lost by multiple calcite cements (Fig. 4c). More than 80% porosity is of intergranular dissolution porosity, and some intragranular and intercrystalline dissolution porosity (Figs. 4c and 4d). In reef limestones and grainstones, intragranular porosity are generally residual micropores (diameter < 10 μm). Grains floating in the cements suggest a high primary porosity (Fig. 4c). By observing cores and thin sections, most dissolution porosity in the rock occurred along the fractures (Figs. 4-6).

Except for pervasive horizontal stylolites, fractures in the fault zones developed mainly in 1-2 sets with high dip angle (Figs. 4-6). In places, oblique and en echelon fractures are observed in cores (Fig. 6b) and thin sections. A similar arrangement is observed at the seismic scale for faults. This self-similarity is also showed in conjugate fractures (Figs. 4e and 6g) interpreted in FMI images. It is worth noting that some fractures display late enlargement and reactivation (Figs. 6c, d, i), suggesting multiple phases of fracture activity. Open fractures are generally late micro-fractures that cut across older fractures (Figs. 6f, h) and late reactivated fractures (Figs. 6c, i).
Multiple diagenetic events of cementation and dissolution affecting fractures have been identified, suggesting the occurrence of at least three cycles of fracture reactivations (Wu et al., 2019b). These fractures are mainly filled by calcite precipitations, and some exhibit bitumen and argillaceous fillings (Figs. 5-6). Intense and multiple phases of cementations have resulted in sparse residual fracture porosity (< 20%). In the fractured reservoirs, dissolved pores and vugs along fractures are commonly observed in cores, thin sections and FMI images (Figs. 4d, 4e, 6c-e). This is remarkably different from sparse dissolution porosity of the country rocks (Fig. 4a). Importantly, most of the dissolution porosity is coincident with the late fractures (Figs. 6f and 6i), but some dissolution porosity is preserved along cement bridges in the fractures (Fig. 6j).

High production is commonly from large cavity reservoir that in seismic reflection profiles are identified by “bead-shape” features (Fig. 4g; low frequency and high-amplitude reflections). Although their origin is still debated (Du, 2010; Yang et al., 2013), these cavities are commonly found along fault damage zones. With cores hardly obtained from large cavities, high mud loss, abnormal drilling breaks and mud overflow all indicate that many wells encountered large cavities during drilling. For example, mud loss in H6-2 reached up to 1500 m³. Large cavities are also characterized by enlarged borehole diameter, raised natural gamma, and reduced resistivity in well log data (Fig. 4f).

Consequently, the porosity of the Ordovician carbonate is mainly of secondary fracture, pore, vug and cavity along fault zones.
4.2. Internal structure

4.2.1. Internal structure of fault core

Few wells have penetrated large fault cores, but some penetrated secondary, small faults part of damage zones of major structures. Cores data in fault cores show carbonate breccias and cataclastic rocks filled with a fine-grained matrix (Figs. 5a and 5b). Some collapse breccia show some degree of abrasion, which resulted in smaller particles (Fig. 5b). The fine matrix fillings of ultracataclasite and gouge developed between breccias. Further, some of the fault cores exhibit multiple cements and bitumen filling indicating multi-stage diagenesis (Figs. 5c and 5d). Two stages of calcite precipitated in micro-faults, with earlier, wide cements in the center separated by narrow fine cements on the walls (Fig. 5d). Multiple calcite precipitation is also observed in wide fractures suggesting high porosity and permeability could have had originally coexisted with fracture opening. In the fault cores, porosity is almost fully filled by cements (Figs. 5a, c, d) some gouges (Fig. 5b) and dry bitumen (Fig. 5c), but a little remnant of dissolution porosity (Fig. 5d).

Fault cores possibly have undergone multiple phases of diagenesis (Fig. 5), consistent with multiple phases of fault activation (Fig. 3). Furthermore, there are marked filling of multiple calcite cements and veins (Figs. 5c and 5d), which probably occurred as a response of a complex diagenetic history (Wu et al., 2019b).
4.2.2. Internal structure of damage zone

Except for localized folding, fault damage zones in the Ordovician carbonate comprise intense fracturing along the strike-slip faults (Figs. 6 and 7). Width of fault damage zone can be in excess of 1000 m (Wan et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2019a). The zone can be divided into an inner and an outer damage zones by their differing fracture frequency and local strain (de Joussineau and Aydin, 2007; Choi et al., 2016). Although the boundary of inner/outer damage zones is hard to be identified in the subsurface, seismic profiles show typical chaotic to discontinuous seismic facies in inner damage zones (Ma et al., 2019).

The inner zones flank the fault core, often exhibit a high fracture frequency and are formed by breccias and cataclastic rocks (Figs. 4d, 6a and 6e). The carbonate breccias have smaller size, more prominent edges, less abrasion and rotation than those found in fault cores. They are supported by grains, with little fine matrix materials. Cataclastic rocks, in general, are found to be finer in grain size and more angular than breccias (Figs. 4d, 6a and 6e). Multiple sets of fractures occurred within inner damage zones with irregular and relatively short length (Figs. 6a, e, f). Regardless of intense cementation, dissolution porosity well developed in the breccias and cataclastic rocks (Figs. 4d, 6a, 6d and 6e).

The outer damage zone is characterized by one or two sets of high dip angle fractures with sparse cataclastic rocks (Fig. 6i). The outer damage zone can be possibly distinguished from inner zone by a lesser fracture frequency, high dip fracture angle and narrow fracture aperture. This is consistent with other studies on fault
damage zones elsewhere (e.g., de Joussineau and Aydin, 2007; Choi et al., 2016). In addition, dissolution porosity and cements along fractures in outer damage zones is markedly less than in inner damage zones, suggesting a lower porosity. These weakly deformed zones can be identified from image logs and cores rather than seismic profiles. In the subsurface, the identification of the boundaries of inner and outer damage zones is challenging.

4.3. Fracture attributes in fault damage zones

4.3.1. Fracture occurrence and aperture

Fractures (excluded stylolites and induced fractures) varied in a large range of dip angles in cores (Fig. 6) and FMI images (Fig. 4e). FMI images from 64 wells indicate that the fracture dip angles vary from 20º to 90º (Fig. 7a). The data show a possible progressive increase of fracture dip angle with distance from fault when the distance is more than 400 m, but scattering of dip angles is large within 400 m from the fault core. Most wide aperture (> 0.05 mm) fractures have relatively high dip angles (> 75º). Similarly, fracture dip also varied in a wide range, particularly close to fault cores. There are two major sets of dipping fractures at N290º -N340º and N110º - N180º (Fig. 7b). Most fractures have low angles to the NNE trending faults, suggesting more fractures in NNE faults than in NNW faults in this conjugate fault system. Also, the peak azimuth varies with the location along the fault zone. Similar to fracture dip angle, there are more fractures in with a NE trend. In addition, the smaller
fracture azimuth varied largely, particularly close to fault core.

Measurements from cores indicated mean aperture values of 0.1-0.5 mm, with a few apertures in excess of 10 mm. We observed in cores that (1) the wider aperture fracture generally have larger length; (2) narrow fractures are usually in a range of 5-30 cm in length; and (3) the length of wide vertical fractures is in excess of 1 m. In thin sections, the aperture values generally vary in a range from 0.01 mm to 0.5 mm. Because of cements filling, however, open aperture values concentrated in a narrow range from 0.01 to 0.04 mm. In FMI data (Fig. 7c), open aperture ranges from 0.001-0.07 mm with a few wider fractures of ~0.2 mm in width. These results suggest that most fractures have narrow open aperture. Notably, the fracture aperture values have two distinct decreasing trends with distance from fault (Fig. 7c): 1) for increasing distance up to 500 m, and 2) for distances > 500 m; regardless of the scatter of the data.

4.3.2. Fracture frequency

Due to sparse and scattered fractures measurements from cores and thin sections (Wu et al., 2019a), average fracture frequency was estimated by using FMI data from 64 wells. The background value of the fracture frequency is generally < 1 fractures/100 m and more than 5 fractures/m in fractured intervals. The mean fracture frequency varies largely from 0 to 84.5 fractures/100 m, with the high frequencies found in wells close to faults (Fig. 7d). Even though fractures were affected by faults with different size, fracture frequency generally decreases quickly from the fault cores...
to the country rocks. This distribution is similar to the one found for siliciclastic rocks (Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009; Savage and Brodsky, 2011; Choi et al., 2016). Our data also suggest a larger scatter of two orders magnitude and a lower coefficient ($R^2 = 0.33$). When compared with fault maps derived from seismic, it is found that some exceptional high fracture frequency values are associated to wells close to secondary fault splays (Fig. 7d). Importantly, fracture frequency decreased quickly with the distance from fault when the distance is more than 500 m from the fault. This means that two segments of the fracture frequency with distance to fault can be identified with a breakoff at ~500 m.

4.4. Permeability in fault damage zone

4.4.1. Permeability from core plugs

Core plug measurement (Fig. 8a) indicates that porosity and permeability of the Ordovician carbonates vary widely. The samples of unfractured rock have low porosity (in range of 0.11-8.97% and average of 1.26%) and low permeability (in range of 0.002-9.83 mD and average of 0.38 mD). Fractured carbonates have much higher porosity (in range of 0.29-13.48% and average of 2.19%) and permeability (in range of 0.02-452 mD and average of 24.8 mD). A strong positive correlation between porosity-permeability is observed in fractured and unfractured samples when porosity is $> 2\%$. Plot of average porosity and permeability in a well against distance from fault shows a slight negative relationship (Fig. 8b). Most wells with high permeability ($> 5$
mD) are within a distance of 1.3 km from fault. The permeability and porosity present a decreasing trend with distance increasing above 500 m. However, porosity and permeability present a large scatter within a distance from faults of 500 m.

As for non-fractured lithologies (Fig. 9a), porosity from core plugs is slightly higher in grainstones than in pack/wackstones and mudstones. In fracture-bearing rocks, porosity is double in grainstones, and about 20-50% increase in pack/wackstones and mudstones when compared to non-fractured samples. Furthermore, permeability from fracture-bearing samples increases more than 25 times in grainstones and about 60 times in mudstones and pack/wackstones. In general, the Yijiangfang and Lianglitage formations have relatively higher porosity than the other formations, while the permeability in the Lower-Middle Ordovician is almost one order magnitude than the Upper Ordovician rocks (Fig. 9b).

The fracture-bearing samples (Fig. 9c) from oil-charged reservoirs have relatively higher porosity and permeability than those from dry reservoirs. Compared with non-oil and non-fractured bearing samples, permeability from the oil bearing samples increased up to two orders of magnitude and porosity increased about two times (Fig. 9c). Except for the fracture bearing but non-oil bearing samples, permeability from other samples shows a weak decline trend with depth in permeability (Fig. 9d).

4.4.2. Permeability from logging data

The carbonate reservoirs are generally divided into four types by porosity types
i.e., pore-vug, fracture–vug, cavity and fracture types (Du, 2010). Except for pore-vug type, other types are fracture-bearing reservoirs. Compiled electric log data from 256 reservoir intervals in 55 wells indicate that the reservoir thickness ranges 0.1-32 m with most being 0.4-8 m thick (Fig. 10).

In the dataset, porosity and permeability varied 3.8-85.9% and 0.44-25.68 mD in cavity reservoirs, 1.9-9.3% (avg. 3.5%) and 0.19-452 mD in fracture-vug reservoirs, 1.8-13.9% (avg. 3%) and 0.03-3.91 mD (avg. 0.51 mD) in pore-vug reservoirs, 0.1-2.1% and 0.06-381.6 mD in fracture reservoirs, respectively (Fig. 10a). The matrix reservoirs (pore-vug type) generally present low porosity (< 5%) and low permeability (< 1 mD), and a positive correlation between permeability and porosity. The fracture porosity of the reservoirs is low (0.01-0.77%), with most < 5% of the total porosity in the carbonate reservoirs. Other types of reservoir generally have higher permeability with up to 2 orders of magnitude than pore-vug reservoirs. High permeability intervals (> 2 mD) are typically fracture bearing intervals. In addition, some large cavities developed intervals that have much higher porosity (> 10%) and permeability (> 10 mD) despite being filled with breccia, calcite, and others.

Permeability and porosity form core plugs and log data are generally inconsistent (Fig. 10b). This suggests an intense vertical heterogeneity of the carbonate reservoirs. In plots of porosity/permeability vs. distance from fault (Figs. 10c and 10d), porosity and permeability are largely scattered within 500 m from fault, with a decreasing trend with increasing distances. This pattern is consistent with the data from the core plugs (Fig. 8b). Similar to core samples, permeability and porosity have a slightly decline
trend with increasing depths.

4.4.3. Permeability from production data

Due to large amount of drilling mud loss, there is generally an early completion of drilling at the top of the large cavity reservoirs, which are the major targets of oil exploitation in the Ordovician carbonates (Du, 2010). Consequently, permeability is mostly underestimated from the top of the reservoirs by cores and logging data, particularly in the highly fractured cavity reservoirs. In oil production vs. permeability plots, highly productive wells generally have high permeability (Fig. 11a; > 5 mD). Oil production data can give an indication of the relative bulk permeability of the producing formation in such heterogeneous reservoirs.

Similarly to fracture attributes, there is a decreasing trend of oil production with increasing distance from fault (Fig. 11b). When the distance from fault is more than 400 m, oil production presents a sharp decrease with increasing distances and a large scattered distribution within fault core. This is consistent with fracture frequency (Fig. 8b) and permeability data (Fig. 10d). It is noted that many production layers are facilitated by fracturing and show much higher permeability than that from logging data.
5. Discussion

5.1. Permeability indicators in heterogeneous carbonate damage zone

In homogeneous and some fractured rocks, permeability generally can be obtained from measurements in situ rocks and core plugs (e.g., Haines et al., 2016; Clarkson et al., 2019), and from interpretation of subsurface log data. In fractured rocks along fault zones, fracture attributes (e.g. frequency and aperture) could be a proxy for permeability of the in situ rocks. Due to significant heterogeneity and uncertainties around quality of fault permeability data, it is hard to measure bulk permeability directly in the intense heterogeneous rocks (e.g., Fisher et al., 2018; Debenham et al., 2019).

Multiple phases of tectonic and diageneis, together with complex secondary triple porosity and fracture and cavity formation (Figs. 5-7), imparted an extreme heterogeneity of the Ordovician carbonate reservoirs in the Tarim Basin (Du, 2010; Yang et al., 2020). Because of this, permeability from core plugs and log data are inconsistent with oil production data. Some wells characterized by high permeability from core and log data had actually low oil production; while wells yielding high production targets reservoirs with marked low permeability. Even for wells targeting similar highly permeable reef-shoal reservoirs, productions largely varied for three orders of magnitude and output fluctuated during production (e.g., Du, 2010; Yang et al., 2020). These inconsistencies could be generally related to intense heterogeneity as observed at different scales in the reservoirs analysed in this work, particularly for the
fractured ones.

In these heterogeneous reservoirs, fracture frequency has a poor relationship with permeability. Whereas, fracture frequency trend is consistent with the permeability trend derived from core plugs and log data. In the limit of the sampling methods, core plugs show a large range of permeability influenced by fractures and much lower matrix permeability (< 1 mD) in small scale rocks. The well log porosity and permeability are generally constrained by core plug data that are favorable for the reservoir interpretation near boreholes (Du, 2010), but cannot predict the heterogeneity of the reservoirs far away from the borehole, particularly the large fractured cavities. Stable production has a good correlation with permeability from Stoneley wave logging that can detect several meters away the boreholes (Fig. 11a; Du, 2010). Production data are consistent with core and log data in some cases, but generally not the highly fractured and heterogeneous reservoirs.

In this context, integration of core, well log and production data is key to reveal permeability from different scales in the subsurface. Considering the challenge in quantifying permeability from static data in heterogeneous reservoirs, oil production is a better proxy for permeability than core and log data.

5.2. Permeability distribution and scaling in carbonate damage zone

In this dataset, low permeability (< 2 mD) characters matrix (pore-vug type) reservoirs, but much higher permeability is encountered in fractured reservoirs (Figs.
8-10). The permeability values increased by fracturing are up to 2-5 orders of magnitude from core plugs, 1-4 orders of magnitude from log data and 1-3 orders of magnitude from oil production data. This suggests the permeability heterogeneity declines from small to large scale observations. When looking to vertical stratigraphic variations, the permeability in the Lower-Middle Ordovician rocks is higher up to one order of magnitude than in the Upper Ordovician rocks (Fig. 9b). This is consistent with higher production wells targeting the Lower-Middle Ordovician. Contrary to previous works that did not show correlation between porosity and permeability (Du, 2010), this research indicate that log data provide a good correlation for matrix (pore-vug) reservoirs (Fig. 10a). Also this work has highlighted that core plugs data indicate a good correlation between porosity and permeability for both fractured and unfractured reservoirs when porosity is > 2% (Figs. 8a). The porosity boundary at ~2% is probably related to the porosity types of pore and vug reservoirs. Permeability, in this case, presents a positive correlation with oil production (Fig. 11a).

In the study area, the extent of fault damage zones from faults has been constrained by plots of fracture frequency, cumulative fracture frequency, fracture aperture and production data and found to be 1100 m (Wu et al., 2019a). This study also shows a negative relationship between permeability and distance from fault in core plugs (Fig. 8b) and in logging data (Figs. 10c and 10d) as well as in oil production data (Fig. 11b). These trends are consistent with fracture frequency decreasing from fault core to host rocks as also shown in outcrop studies (e.g., de Joussineau and Aydin, 2007; Choi et al., 2016). However, this study presents a
dichotomy in this pattern across fault zone, which potentially divides fault damage zones into two parts: 1) an inner damage zone at distances up to 400-500 m, and 2) outer damage zones at distances > 500 m from fault (Figs. 7, 8, 10, 11). Permeability, together with oil production and fracture attributes, scatters widely and decreases slowly within 500 m in the inner damage zones. It is, however, striking that this decrement is significantly marked with distance from fault in the outer damage zones. This segmentation pattern is different from the continuous transition of permeability from inner to outer damage zones with a logarithmic or power-law scaling to distance reported by de Joussineau and Aydin (2007), Torabi and Berg (2018) and Debenham et al. (2019). Scattering of data in the inner damage zones might be possibly related to bias in the data and uncertainty related to fault interpretation from seismic. However, the occurrence of these two clear different trends suggests intricate and different evolution paths between the inner and outer damage zones.

5.3. Factors impact on permeability

5.3.1. Lithology

Lithology and microfacies can impact faulting and fracturing because of different mechanical and hydraulic properties, therefore influencing permeability along fault zones (Agosta et al., 2012; Afşar et al., 2014; Michie, 2015). Lithology and microfacies are also assumed to be of crucial significance in the Ordovician carbonate reservoirs in the Tarim Basin, particularly the reef-shoal reservoirs (Du, 2010; Zhao et
al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018).

In this study area, primary porosity has been almost entirely occluded by strong cementation (Figs. 5 and 6). The grainstones did not show higher permeability than other lithological rocks (Fig. 9a). On the contrary, high permeability mudstones Fig. 9a) suggests that they are more subject to fracturing than the thick grainstones of the reef-shoal facies. On the other hand, there is similar permeability in the reef-shoal facies of the Yijianfang Formation and the inner platform of the Yingshan Formation, which have much higher permeability than the Upper Ordovician reef-shoal facies of the Lianglitage Formation (Fig. 9b; Du, 2010). This may indicate that permeability is unrelated to facies, but closely related to faulting and fracturing affecting these units.

In this context, there is little impact of lithology and microfacies on the permeability in the carbonates within fault zones of the Tarim Basin.

5.3.2. Fracturing

In tight rocks, permeability is significantly impacted by fracture frequency, aperture and roughness (Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009, 2012; Nara et al., 2011; Agosta et al., 2007, 2012; Meier et al., 2015). In addition, multiple fracture events may have a complex influence on fault permeability (Debenham et al., 2019).

The strike-slip fault systems affecting the Cambrian–Ordovician carbonates in the Tarim Basin were reactivated in the Silurian-Permian and Mesozoic-Eocene (Fig. 3). Early fractures are almost filled by cementation (Figs, 5c, 5d, 5f and 5g), while late
Fractures are open (Figs. 6f, 6h) (Wu et al., 2019b). This suggests that the latter formed in the Paleogene account for most of the permeability of the carbonate reservoirs. As a result of multiple faulting events (Fig. 3), tectonic stresses can overprint carbonate textures, modifying porosity and ultimately increasing permeability (Storti et al., 2011; Balsamo et al., 2019). It is noteworthy that most fractures are NE striking (Fig. 7b), which is consistent with the NNE striking conjugate faults (Fig. 2b) and the NE striking in situ field stress (Wu et al., 2019a). Under a NE striking stress field in the Cenozoic, the NNE striking faults were reactivated, but not the NNW striking faults (Fig. 3). This led to enhanced activity and reactivation of the NE striking fractures. In addition, NE striking fractures are often open and wide in aperture, with aperture narrowing for fractures at different azimuths. All this is consistent with increased production for wells located along the NE striking faults.

Fracture frequency increasing permeability has been previously discussed in details (e.g., Wibberley and Shimanoto, 2003; Mitchell and Faulkner, 2012; Bauter et al., 2015). This phenomenon is further supported by the results of this study showing a distinct increase in permeability of 2-5 orders of magnitude in fractured reservoirs (Figs. 8, 9a and 9c). Across fault zones, there is a similar decreasing trend of permeability (Figs. 8b, 10d) and fracture frequency (Fig. 7d) with increasing distance from fault. Elsewhere in the study area fracture frequency has a poor correlation with permeability, suggesting the impact of other factors.

Generally, macrofractures control permeability at low effective pressure at shallow depths, while microfracture networks becomes more dominant in at depth (Nara et al.,
fractures affecting the deep carbonates of the study area have undergone a long history of diagenesis (Du et al., 2010), and resulted in their sealing by multiple filling events, particularly fractures with wide aperture (Figs. 5 and 6). Fracture aperture values from well log data (Fig. 7c) are generally smaller than 0.05 mm, which is much less than the values measured from cores and thin sections (Wu et al., 2019a). This suggests narrow open aperture of the fractures in the study area. This translates in a relatively low permeability (< 10 mD) in the reservoir intervals (Fig. 10a, d) in comparison with the fractured core plugs (Figs. 8a). This permeability values are four to six orders of magnitude less of those typically measured from outcrops of fractured rocks (Nara et al., 2011; Haines et al., 2016). This relatively low permeability of the carbonate of the Tarim Basin is possibly related to fracture roughness that can drastically lower permeability at depth (Huang et al., 2018 and references therein).

5.3.3. Diagenesis

With depths up to 7500 m, the Ordovician carbonates in the Tarim Basin show a slightly decrease of permeability with depth (Fig. 9d), suggesting that compaction had some negative impact on permeability. During the long burial history, the primary porosity of the Ordovician carbonates in the Tarim Basin was almost lost by cementation (Wu et al., 2019b) and present much lower permeability (< 1 mD) in matrix reservoirs than other reservoirs elsewhere (e.g., Billi et al., 2003; Haines et al.,
2016). More than 90% fracture porosity has been occluded by cementation as shown by cores and thin sections (Figs. 5, 6). In addition, the cementation varied largely amongst fractures and had a critical impact on developing a strong heterogeneity (Wu et al., 2019b), particularly in the inner damage zones (Fig. 6). Dissolution process of the carbonate reservoirs is common in the study area (Figs. 5, 6; Wu et al., 2019b). The dissolution porosity along fracture zones (Fig. 6e) may have enhanced permeability greatly, particularly for the large cavity reservoirs that have permeability more than 100 mD. Due to intense cementation of the carbonate reservoir, dissolution is crucial for enhancing porosity as well as permeability of these rocks. In addition, the oil-bearing fractures (Figs. 6a, c, d) have lower degree cementation and have higher porosity and permeability than none oil-bearing samples (Fig. 9c), suggesting that oil emplacement may be a key factor for preserving fracture opening and permeability.

In the fault damage zones, there are at least three stages of diagenetic processes following the fracture activities in the Ordovician carbonates (Figs. 5 and 6; Wu et al., 2019b). These diagenetic processes show a complex impact on the fault damage zones rather than a relatively uniform nature of diagenesis of the country rocks. In the breccia and cataclasite of the damage zones (including fault cores), the porosity and permeability are generally occluded by gouge and cements, consistent with the initiation of brecciation and cataclasis cannibalize fractures in the damage zone (e.g., de Joussineau and Aydin, 2007; Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009; O'Hara et al., 2017). Besides from the fact that cataclastic rocks developed close to fault cores, complex burial history and diagenesis might be also responsible for significant cementation in
such long-lived deformation zones. In this study, there is a stronger diagenesis (e.g. cementation) in the fault core than in the fault damage zone (Figs. 6 and 7; Wu et al., 2019b). On the other hand, many wells penetrated fractured cavities near the fault cores with varied oil productions (Fig. 11b), suggesting a strong and complicated dissolution and filling with variable permeability. Besides high production, many low permeability and low oil production wells occurred in inner damage zones which is in agreement with the findings that fault cores are typically made up of cataclastic rocks that are generally barriers to fluid flow in low-porosity rocks (Caine et al., 1996; Molli et al., 2010; Agosta et al., 2012). The complicated architecture and diagenesis could lead to a strong heterogeneous permeability along the fault damage zones, particularly of inner damage zones. Because of this, it is challenging to optimize well location in such complicated reservoirs along inner damage zones.

5.4. Factors influence the permeability between inner and outer damage zones

In this study, the permeability presents distinct boundary between inner and outer damage zones, and it is inconsistent with power-law scaling with distance from fault (Figs. 8b and 10d). Each segment is characterized by consistent values of fracture attributes (frequency and aperture) (Figs. 7c, 7d), particularly in the outer damage zones. This suggests that permeability variation between inner and outer damage zones is related to their relative fracture attributes (Torabi et al., 2018).

On the other hand, there is a poor correlation and different distribution pattern
between permeability and fracture attributes in the inner damage zones (Figs. 7, 8 and 10). Compared with a distinct fracture frequency decrease with distance from fault in inner damage zones (Fig. 7a), permeability (Figs. 8b and 10d) do not show obvious decline trend with distance. This might be related to the complex fracture network in inner damage zones, and contrasts with a relatively simple network of 1-2 sets of fractures in the outer damage zones. Different hierarchical fractures and their spatial networks and connectivity may considerably affect scattering of data in inner damage zones (Rotevatn and Bastesen, 2012; Guerriero et al., 2015).

Structural diagenesis shows a complex impact on the inner damage zones (Wu et al., 2019b). Breccia and cataclasite of the inner damage zones (including fault cores) show porosity and permeability generally occluded by gouge and cements (Fig. 6), consistent with brecciation and cataclasis that cannibalize fractures (e.g., de Joussineau and Aydin, 2007; Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009; O’Hara et al., 2017). Besides, from the fact that cataclastic rocks developed close to fault cores, complex burial history and diagenesis might be also responsible for significant cementation in such long-lived deformation zones. In this study, there is a stronger diagenesis (e.g., cementation) in the inner damage zones than in the outer damage zone (Fig. 6; Wu et al., 2019b). Thus, the permeability and production in inner damage zones do not show obvious decline trend with distance from fault. On the other hand, many wells penetrated fractured rocks in the inner damage zones with varying oil productions (Fig. 11b), suggesting a strong and complicated dissolution and cementation with variable permeability. Low permeability and low production wells are also found
amongst those targeting inner damage zones, this supports the findings that some cataclastic rocks are generally barriers to fluid flow in low-porosity rocks (Caine et al., 1996; Molli et al., 2010; Agosta et al., 2012). The complicated architecture and diagenesis could lead to a strong heterogeneous permeability along the fault damage zones, particularly in inner damage zones. Because of this, it is challenging to optimize well location in such complicated reservoirs along fault damage zones.

6. Conclusions

The integrated analysis of the Ordovician fractured reservoirs in the Tarim Basin presented in this study is summarized in the following conclusions.

1. Fault zones can be divided in a fault core that shows tight sealing due to calcite cementation, an inner damage zone with multiple sets of fractures affected by marked diagenesis, and an outer damage zone with two sets of high angle fractures. The boundary between inner/outer damage zones can be identified by abrupt changes of fracture attributes and permeability with distance from fault.

2. Evaluation of permeability in carbonate fault damage zones was constrained at different scales by the analysis of cores, log and production data. In the fault core in outer damage zones, permeability, fracture frequency and oil production present a decreasing trend with distance from faults, whereas large scattering of these values is a characteristic of first 500 m of inner damage zones.

3. Permeability of fault zones is up to two orders of magnitude larger than that found
in unfractured matrix reservoirs. Late fracturing and dissolution are the main controlling factors of permeability these carbonate reservoirs. Multiple faulting and diagenetic events have overprinted the complex permeability along the carbonate damage zones – these factors are crucial for permeability evolution and need further investigation.

4. High permeability “sweet spots” occur where cavity reservoirs develop. These seem to preferably align along the main fault damage zones and should be considered as the main target for future exploitation in the Tarim basin.
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**Fig. 1.** (a) The tectonic division in the Tarim Basin (the corner icon shows the location in China) (after Wu et al., 2019a); (b) the geological profile across the Tarim Basin.

**Fig. 2.** (a) The stratigraphic column of the Ordovician carbonate of Halahatang area; (b) the strike-slip fault system of Ordovician carbonate in the north Halahatang area, Tarim Basin (after Wu et al., 2018). Yellow area showing the envelope of the fault damage zones by AFE fracture prediction on the top of Ordovician carbonate in Halahatang area (after Wan et al., 2016).

**Fig. 3.** The typical strike-slip fault in seismic profiles of uninterpreted (a) and interpreted (b) (see location in Fig. 2)

**Fig. 4.** The photos showing typical rocks and porosity in the Ordovician carbonate in Halahatang area. (a) tight mudstone showing bedding-parallel stylolites, core; (b) dissolution pores and vugs along fractures, core; (c) grainstone showing tight cementation with micro-intragranular dissolution pores, thin section; (d) fractures and intergranular dissolution pores along fractures, thin section; (e) FMI logging image showing conjugate fractures; (f) typical cavity reservoir in logging data; (g) typical seismic section of the Ordovician carbonates (The long string of strong amplitude reflection showing “bead-shape” reflection).
Fig. 5. Photographs of fault cores. (a) calcit cement and bitumen filled fault core, core; (b) fault breccias and gouge, core; (c) multiple fillings in a cement fault core (general processes orders are: ① early stylolite; ② vertical stylolite; ③ enlarged fracture along stylolite; ④ calcite precipitation; ⑤ late microfractures; ⑥ enlarged dissolution), thin section; (d) two generations of cementation filling in microfault, later fracture in the center with open void (the pink-dye resin impregnated to show fracture porosity, after Wu et al., 2019b).

Fig. 6. Photographs of damage zones from the cores (a-d) and thin sections (e-j) (the pink-dye resin impregnated to show fracture porosity) of the Ordovician carbonates in the Halahatang area. (a) fracture networks with bitumen filling; (b) en echelon fractures filled with calcit; (c) enlarged vertical fractures; (d) fracture expansion and filling, splay micro-fractures at the tip (after Wu et al., 2019b); (e) dissolution along fractures (after Wu et al., 2019b); (f) multi-stage fractures cut-cross with the sequence from ① to ③ (after Wu et al., 2019b); (g) conjugate fractures with full calcite filling; (h) late open fracture cut across early cement enlarged fracture; (i) paralleled fractures, expansion and filling, and later fracture in the center with open void, and late microfractures parallel to the primary fractures; (j) calcite bridge and porosity in fracture.
**Fig. 7.** (a) The fracture dip angle vs. distance from fault by FMI data from 57 wells; (b) fracture dip angle histogram by FMI from 57 wells; (c) fracture aperture from FMI vs. distance from fault in the Ordovician carbonates vs. fracture angle and; (d) the average fracture frequency of a well from logging data vs. distance from fault. The average fracture frequency is the total fracture number by logging interpretation divided by the measured length in a well.

**Fig. 8.** (a) Porosity-permeability relation of the Ordovician limestone cores in Halahatang area. The porosity and permeability values measured from core plugs. The red cross-shapes are fracture bearing samples, and the pink lines are samples without obvious fractures; (b) average porosity and the average permeability from borehole cores vs. distance from fault in the Ordovician carbonates. The porosity and permeability data are from core plug samples.

**Fig. 9.** Permeability vs. porosity in lithology (a), strata (b) and oil-bearing from the core plug samples; (c) permeability vs. depth of the core plug samples.

**Fig. 10.** (a) Permeability vs. porosity from logging interpretation; (b) Permeability vs. porosity from same layers of core plugs (circles) and logging data (forklike) showing the heterogeneity in different scales; and porosity (c) and permeability (d) of a
reservoir zone vs. distance to fault zone from logging interpretation.

Fig. 11. (a) Oil production vs. permeability from Stoneley wave logging; (b) oil production of wells and their cumulative production vs. distance to an adjacent fault zone in the Ordovician carbonate (some data is after Wu et al., 2019a).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>Lithological column</th>
<th>Lithological description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Series</td>
<td>Formation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sangtamu (O3s)</td>
<td>mudstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–400m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lianglitage (O3l)</td>
<td>marlstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–150m</td>
<td>reef limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumuxiuke (O3l)</td>
<td>bioclastic limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20–30m</td>
<td>calcarenite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yijianfang (O2y)</td>
<td>calcarenite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150–200m</td>
<td>bioclastic limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reef limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yingshan (O1+2y)</td>
<td>micrite limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500–700m</td>
<td>marlstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bioclastic limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reef limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>micrite limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dolomitic limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dolomite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Fig. 2

(b) Strike-slip fault

Fig. 3
Fig. 3
Fig. 4：Halahatang area. (a) mudstone with laminated stylolites; (b) dissolution porosity along a fracture network; (c) grainstone with micro-intragranular porosity; (d) network fractures with cataclastic rocks, and open dissolution voids; (e) high angle fractures in FMI image; (f) “bead” shape seismic reflection in well X to show large fracture-cave system in damage zone.

Fig. 5：Conjugate fractures (e).
① Early stylolite; ② Vertical stylolite; ③ Enlarged fracture along stylolite; ④ Calcit precipitation; ⑤ Late microfractures; ⑥ Enlarged dissolution.
(a) 
(b) top ← 3cm 
(c) top → 3cm 
(d) top ← 3cm 
(e) 3cm 
(f) 3cm 
(g) 3cm 
(h) 3cm 
(i) 3cm 
(j) 3cm 
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Porosity - permeability relation of the Ordovician limestone cores in Halahatang area. The porosity and permeability values measured from core plugs. The red cross-shapes are fracture-bearing samples, and the pink lines are samples without obvious fractures.
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**Fig. 6**

I couldn't find the data for this figure. It seems there might be a mix-up regarding the availability of the data for Halahatang area.
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Depth (m)

Fig. 9
Porosity and permeability relation of the Ordovician limestone cores in the Halahatang area. The porosity and permeability values measured from core plugs. The red cross-shapes are fracture-bearing samples, and the pink lines are samples without obvious fractures.

There is an overlap between fractured and unfractured samples. What is the significance of this? Poorly-connected microfractures? It is interesting to note the maximum and minimum porosity-permeability trends are the same for the fractured and unfractured data and these could be highlighted.

\[ y = 0.0667x^{1.5994} \]
\[ R^2 = 0.3476 \]
Porosity and permeability values measured from core plugs. The red cross-shapes are fracture-bearing samples, and the pink lines are samples without obvious fractures.

**Fig. 11**

**Fig. 14**

- **Permeability from Stoneley wave logging** (mD)
- **Oil production** (m³/d)
- **Distance to fault core** (m)

**Fig. 16**

- **cumulative oil production**
- **Inner - outer DZ**

N=196
Author Contributions: G.W. and J.H. conceived the study, responsible for the project administration and supervision; K.Z., H.Q., Y.Z. and Y. X. collected samples and analyzed the data; G.W., Y.Z. and H.Q. discussed and interpreted the results; G.W. and N.S. wrote the paper with contribution from all authors.