Inflationary quasiparticle creation and thermalization dynamics in coupled Bose-Einstein condensates
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A Bose gas in a double-well potential, exhibiting a true Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) amplitude and initially performing Josephson oscillations, is a prototype of an isolated, non-equilibrium many-body system. We investigate the quasiparticle (QP) creation and thermalization dynamics of this system by solving the time-dependent Keldysh-Bogoliubov equations. We find avalanche-like QP creation due to a parametric resonance between BEC and QP oscillations, followed by slow, exponential relaxation to a thermal state at an elevated temperature, controlled by the initial excitation energy of the oscillating BEC above its ground state. The crossover between the two regimes occurs because of an effective decoupling of the QP and BEC oscillations. This dynamics is analogous to elementary particle creation in models of the early universe. The thermalization in our set-up occurs because the BEC acts as a grand canonical reservoir for the quasiparticle system.

PACS numbers: 67.85.-d, 67.85.De, 03.75.Lm

Common knowledge tells that many-body systems come to thermodynamic equilibrium by coupling to a heat reservoir. However, how can an isolated quantum many-body system eventually come to rest from a given initial non-equilibrium state, and is the final state a thermal one? This is a long-standing problem, which has recently received intense interest [1, 2], inspired by the high degree of isolation and control possible in ultracold quantum gases [3, 4]. While the unitary time evolution of an isolated quantum system rigorously prohibits the maximization of the total entropy and, thus, effective thermalization is generically observed [3, 4].

Several mechanisms have been put forward in order to resolve this contradiction, most notably the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [5, 6]. It conjectures that for a sufficiently complex quantum system the thermal average of an observable at a given average energy is practically indistinguishable from its expectation value in an eigenstate of the system with that energy. The ETH has been verified numerically for generic, non-integrable systems and typical observables [7, 8], and was found to fail for integrable systems [8, 9] with some exceptions [10]. Another mechanism may be termed subsystem thermalization hypothesis (STH). It relies on the fact that, even though total entropy maximization is not possible, subsystems may thermalize by exchanging energy and/or particles with other parts of the system, so that averages of local quantities may be thermal. This mechanism has been successfully invoked [11] even for integrable and nearly integrable systems exhibiting pre-thermalization dynamics [11–14]. The STH is also at the heart of hydrodynamic behavior, where physical quantities first relax to local averages and then evolve slowly, under the rule of local conservation laws. However, a unified understanding of thermalization has not been reached, and the thermalization mechanism seems to depend strongly on the type of system [8–17].

In the present work we investigate the thermalization dynamics of an interacting Bose gas trapped in a double-well potential which supports a true Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), initially performing non-equilibrium Josephson oscillations between the two wells [18–21]. This is a prototype of a non-integrable system with a natural subsystem structure, namely the BEC and the system of incoherent excitations of Bogoliubov quasi-particles (QPs). The existence of a true BEC phase precludes the system to be one-dimensional. Therefore, and because of the large particle number considered, numerically exact methods, like the time-dependent density-matrix renormalization group (t-DMRG) [12, 15], are not applicable here. Moreover, the slow thermalization dynamics found below requires evolving the system to large times, difficult to reach by these methods. Instead, the non-equilibrium Keldysh-Bogoliubov formalism in the grand-canonical ensemble is appropriate here, since the BEC acts as a particle reservoir for the QP system (and vice versa).

We find rich dynamics, governed by three different time scales. After an initial period of undamped Josephson oscillations [22, 23], QPs are created in an avalanche manner (qp creation time, $\tau_c$) due to a dynamically generated parametric resonance between the Josephson frequency and the QP excitation energies. This leads to a fast depletion as well as damping of the BEC amplitude [24]. When the final number of QP excitations, allowed by total energy conservation, is reached, however, the QP system effectively decouples from the BEC oscillations.
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tions (freeze-out time of the BEC, \( \tau_f \)), and the total QP number becomes nearly conserved. Under this approximate conservation law the system enters into a quasi-hydrodynamic regime which is characterized by slow, exponential relaxation of the QP system into a thermalized state (thermalization time, \( \tau_{th} \)). We prove this behavior by a detailed spectral analysis of the oscillatory behavior in the different time regimes.

**Model and formalism.** The Bose gas is described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H = \int dr \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(r,t) \left( -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r,t) \right) \hat{\Psi}(r,t) + \frac{g}{2} \int dr \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(r,t) \hat{\Psi}(r,t) \hat{\Psi}(r,t),
\]

where \( \hat{\Psi}(r,t) \) is a bosonic field operator, and \( g = 4\pi a_s/m \) is a contact interaction constant, with \( a_s \) the s-wave scattering length. \( V_{\text{ext}} \) is the external double-well trap potential. This system is known to exhibit Josephson oscillations [18–20]. We now represent \( \hat{\Psi}(r,t) \) in terms of the complete basis \( B = \{ \varphi_-, \varphi_+, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots \varphi_M \} \) of the exact single-particle eigenstates of \( V_{\text{ext}}(r) \) after the coupling between the wells is turned on at \( t = 0 \) by suddenly lowering the barrier between the wells [23]. Hence for times \( t > 0 \)

\[
\hat{\Psi}(r,t) = \phi_1(r) a_1(t) + \phi_2(r) a_2(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{M} \varphi_n(r) \hat{b}_n(t),
\]

The first two terms in Eq. (2) constitute the usual two-mode approximation [18], i.e., \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) are symmetric and antisymmetric superpositions of \( \varphi_- \) and \( \varphi_+ \), the ground state and the first excited state of \( V_{\text{ext}}(r) \). Hence, the wave function of \( \phi_1(\phi_2) \) is localized in the left (right) potential well, and \( a_\alpha(t) = \sqrt{N_\alpha(t)} \exp(i\theta_\alpha(t)) \), \( \alpha = 1, 2 \), are the corresponding BEC amplitudes. This Bogoliubov substitution neglects phase fluctuations in the ground states of each of the potential wells which is justified for sufficiently large BEC particle numbers, \( N_\alpha(t) \gg 1 \), e.g., for the experiments [19]. For the excited states, \( \varphi_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), the full quantum dynamics are taken into account by the bosonic creation and destruction operators \( \hat{b}_n, \hat{b}_n^\dagger \).

For \( t > 0 \) the Hamiltonian of our system is \( H = H_{\text{coh}} + \hat{H}_J + H_{\text{coll}} \). \( H_{\text{coh}} \) includes all coherent, local contributions, i.e., all terms which are bi-linear in the \( \hat{b}_n \)-operators and local in the well index \( \alpha = 1, 2 \),

\[
H_{\text{coh}} = \varepsilon_0 \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 a_\alpha^* a_\alpha + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 a_\alpha^* a_\alpha a_\alpha a_\alpha + \sum_{n=1}^M \varepsilon_n \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n + K \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \sum_{n,m=1}^M \left[ a_\alpha^* a_\alpha \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_m + \frac{1}{4} (a_\alpha^* a_\alpha^* \hat{b}_n \hat{b}_m + \text{h.c.}) \right] ,
\]

where \( U \) and \( K \) are positive interaction constants, and \( \varepsilon_n \) are the energies of the \( M \) equidistant levels of the double well, separated by the trap frequency, \( \varepsilon_n = n \Delta \). For simplicity we neglect here and in the following a possible level-dependence of the coupling constants.

\( \hat{H}_J \) encompasses the Josephson terms, which are still coherent but non-local in the well index,

\[
\hat{H}_J = -J (a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1) + J' \sum_{n,m=1}^M \left[ (a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1) \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_m + \frac{1}{2} (a_1^* a_2^* \hat{b}_n \hat{b}_m + \text{h.c.}) \right] .
\]

The terms proportional to \( J' \) constitute QP-assisted tunneling between the wells.

Finally, the non-linear collisional terms \( H_{\text{coll}} \) account for QP interactions,

\[
H_{\text{coll}} = \frac{U'}{2} \sum_{n,m=1}^M \sum_{s=1}^2 \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{b}_s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b,c} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} a_\alpha^* a_\beta^* a_\gamma \hat{b}_n + \text{h.c.}
\]

The time evolution of this system is described in terms of the condensate population imbalance, \( z(t) = N_1(t) - N_2(t) \), the phase difference between the BECs, \( \theta(t) \) and the QP occupation numbers \( n_1(t), n_2(t), \ldots, n_M(t) \). They can be calculated from the classical \( \mathbf{C} \) and the quantum \( \mathbf{G} \) parts of the two-time Green’s functions following standard field-theoretical techniques [25, 26],

\[
\mathbf{C}_{\alpha\beta}(t_1, t_2) = -i \begin{pmatrix} a_\alpha(t_1) & a_\alpha^*(t_1) & a_\alpha(t_2) & a_\alpha^*(t_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_\beta(t_1) & a_\beta^*(t_1) & a_\beta(t_2) & a_\beta^*(t_2) \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbf{G}_{nm}(t_1, t_2) = -i \begin{pmatrix} \langle T_C \hat{b}_n(t_1) \hat{b}_m(t_2) \rangle & \langle T_C \hat{b}_m(t_1) \hat{b}_n(t_2) \rangle \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( T_C \) denotes time-ordering along the Keldysh contour. The Dyson equations for these functions read,

\[
\int_C dt \left[ \mathbf{G}_0^{-1}(t_1, T) - \mathbf{S}^{HF}(t_1, T) \right] \mathbf{C}(T, t_2) = \int_C dt \mathbf{S}(t_1, T) \mathbf{C}(T, t_2)
\]

\[
\int_C dt \left[ \mathbf{G}_0^{-1}(t_1, T) - \mathbf{S}^{HF}(t_1, T) \right] \mathbf{G}(T, t_2) = \mathbf{1} \delta(t_1 - t_2) + \int_C dt \mathbf{S}(t_1, T) \mathbf{G}(T, t_2).
\]

In Eqs. (7), (8), the first-order (Hartree-Fock) self-energies \( \mathbf{S}^{HF}, \mathbf{\Sigma}^{HF} \) describe time-dependent level renormalizations, while the second-order collisional self-energy contributions \( \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \) induce damping of the QP and BEC oscillations. The Dyson equations are expressed in terms
of the spectral function $A_{nm}(t_1, t_2) = i\left(\mathcal{G}^>_m(t_1, t_2) - \mathcal{G}^<_m(t_1, t_2)\right)$ and the statistical function $F_{nm}(t_1, t_2) = (\mathcal{G}^>_m(t_1, t_2) + \mathcal{G}^<_m(t_1, t_2))/2 = \mathcal{G}^K_m(t_1, t_2)/2$ and the corresponding self-energies (see Supplementary Material). We solve the resulting integro-differential equations numerically for total number of particles $N_{tot}$, level spacing $\Delta$, interactions $U, U', K, J', R$ and initial conditions $z(0), \theta(0)$, with all particles being initially in the BEC, $N_1(0) + N_2(0) = N_{tot}$. All energies are expressed in units of $J$: $u = U N_{tot}/J, \ u' = U' N_{tot}/J, \ r = K N_{tot}/J, \ J' = J N_{tot}/J$. In the numerical evaluations we limit the number of levels which can be occupied by the QPs to $M = 5$.

**BEC and QP dynamics.** – Fig. 1 shows the dynamics of incoherent excitations for a typical parameter set, given in the figure caption. The time-dependent occupation numbers of all $M = 5$ levels, $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_M$, and their total,

$$n_{tot}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} n_m(t) = -\sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ \text{Im} F^G_{mm}(t, t) - \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (9)$$

are shown. Here $F^G_{mm}$ is the regular (upper diagonal) component of the equal-time statistical Green’s function $F_{mm}$ in Bogoliubov space (see Supplementary Material). From Fig. 1 one can readily identify three different dynamical regimes, (I) an early regime of undamped Josephson oscillations without QPs for $t < \tau_c$ [22, 23], (II) a fast growth regime of the QP population, and (III) a regime of slow relaxation to a stationary state for long times. In the regimes (II) and (III) the $n_m(t)$ and $n_{tot}(t)$ oscillate around their respective running mean values, $n_{m, \text{avg}}(t)$ and $n_{\text{avg}}(t)$ (averaged over one oscillation period; smooth lines on top of the oscillating ones). To analyze the functional dependence of this time evolution, we show in Fig. 2 logarithmic plots of the deviation of the total running mean $n_{\text{avg}}(t)$ from its final value $n_{\text{avg}}(\infty)$ (upper panel) and the momentary oscillation amplitude $\Delta n(t) = n_{tot}(t) - n_{\text{avg}}(t)$ (middle panel) along with the BEC population imbalance $z(t)$ (lower panel). All three quantities show a steep crossover from the fast growth regime (II) to the slow relaxation regime (III) at a freeze-out time scale $\tau_f$, with exponential relaxation for $t > \tau_f$.

What are the mechanisms for fast growth (II) and slow relaxation (III), and how is the steep crossover time $\tau_f$ determined? A spectral analysis provides detailed insight into these problems. We introduce the usual Wigner “center-of motion” (CoM) time $t = (t_1 + t_2)/2$ and difference time $\tau = (t_1 - t_2)$ and Fourier-transform the two-time Green’s functions $A^G_{nm}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_n A^G_{nm}(t_1, t_2)$ and $F^G(t_1, t_2) = \sum_n F^G_{nm}(t_1, t_2)$ with respect to $\tau$. In Fig. 3 (upper and middle panels) we plot the frequency-dependent absolute values of $A^G(\omega, t) \equiv A(\omega)$ and $F^G(\omega, t) \equiv F(\omega)$ in the long-time regime, $t > 9.01/J > \tau_f$. As expected, the spectra exhibit $M = 5$ approximately Lorentzian peaks corresponding to the five renormalized QP levels. They mark the Rabi oscillation frequencies of the non-equilibrium QP system. Note that,
at any instant \( t \) of the time evolution, the maximum time interval available for \( \tau \) is necessarily finite, \(-2t < \tau < 2t\) (see Supplementary Material). This limits the frequency resolution of the Fourier Transform to \( 2\pi/4t \) and results in the wiggly modulations of the Lorentzian peaks. For \( \tau_c < t < \tau_f \) the spectra look similar, however with reduced \( \omega \)-resolution (not shown). Fig. 3 (lower panel) displays the power spectra of the BEC population imbalance \( z(t) \). Fourier transformed with respect to \( t \) for \( \tau_c < t < \tau_f \) (red curve, regime (II)) and for \( t > \tau_f \) (blue curve, enlarged by a factor 10, regime (III)), respectively.

**Inflationary QP creation.** – In the fast growth regime (II), the BEC oscillation spectrum \( z(\omega) \) overlaps strongly with the QP spectrum \( |A(\omega)| \) and has even maxima at the renormalized Rabi frequencies. This signals a dynamically generated, parametric resonance, and the strong, resonant QP-BEC coupling leads to the inflationary QP generation observed in Fig. 1.

**Approach to stationary state.** – In the long-time regime (III), the behaviour is strikingly different: the spectrum of the BEC oscillations exhibits a single, sharp peak of substantially reduced weight which has almost no overlap with the QP spectrum. In fact, the BEC oscillation frequency is close to the Josephson frequency \( \omega_{J\infty} \) of a semiclassical, interacting BEC, i.e. without locking to the QP oscillations. \( \omega_{J\infty} \) may be estimated as \[ \omega_{J\infty} \approx 2J_{eff}/\sqrt{1+uJ/(2J_{eff})} \], where \( J_{eff} = J+n_{tot}(t \to \infty)J' \) is the QP-enhanced Josephson coupling. It is marked in Fig. 3 by the thick, vertical line. Therefore, in the long-time regime the BEC system performs nearly free, weakly damped (due to the sharpness of the spectral peak) oscillations at nearly its own eigenfrequency \( \omega_{J\infty} \). That is, for \( t > \tau_f \) the BEC and the QP subsystems are effectively decoupled.

The mechanism for this freeze-out of BEC oscillations may now be interpreted as a combination of total energy conservation and a maximum entropy principle in the QP subsystem. The latter implies that \( n_{tot}(t) \) can essentially not decrease (up to small oscillations induced by the BEC driving). The energy \( E_{QP}(t) \) of the QP subsystem increases continuously with the occupation numbers \( n_{QP}(t) \), but is limited by the maximum energy that can be provided by the BEC system, i.e., by the difference between the BEC energies in the initial and in the final state, \( \Delta E_{BEC} = E_{BEC}(t = 0) - E_{BEC}(t \to \infty) \) (see Supplementary Material). We find numerically that \( E_{QP}(t) \) indeed approaches this maximum value at \( t \approx \tau_f \). Hence, for \( t > \tau_f \), \( n_{tot}(t) \) and \( E_{QP}(t) \) become approximately conserved in the grand canonical sense, i.e., particle and energy exchange with the BEC are allowed, but the time averages are approximately constant, c.f. Fig. 1. As a consequence, the resonant dynamics of the BEC and the QP systems must decouple, as seen from Fig. 3. Under these dynamically generated, approximate conservation laws the system enters into a quasi-hydrodynamic regime, characterized by slow, exponential relaxation, where only a redistribution of QPs between the individual QP levels occurs.
Thermalization. – To test if the long-time stationary state is a thermal one, we calculate the QP distribution \( b(\xi_n, t) \) for different CoM times \( t \). It is defined via the Green’s functions [25] by \( F(\omega, t) = \left( -i/2 \right) (2b(\omega, t) + 1)A(\omega, t) \) and, hence, is obtained for each level from the Lorentzian weights \( w_{A,n} \), \( w_{F,n} \) of these levels (c.f. Fig. 3) as

\[
b(\xi_n, t) = \frac{w_{F,n}}{w_{A,n}} - \frac{1}{2}.
\]

\( \xi_n, n = 1, \ldots, M \), are the level energies, renormalized by interactions. As shown in Fig. 4, \( b(\xi_n, t) \) continuously approaches a thermal distribution. For large \( t \) this happens only by a re-distribution of weights among the levels, without total particle number increase. For even longer times the agreement with a thermal distribution will be without total particle number increase. For even longer times the agreement with a thermal distribution will be even better, since, e.g., the occupations \( n_2(t), n_3(t) \) are still growing, while \( n_5(t) \) is still decreasing even for the longest time shown, as seen in Fig. 1. As expected, the final-state temperature \( T \) is high, since it is controlled by the initial BEC excitation energy \( \Delta E_{\text{BEC}} \sim z(0)^2 N_{\text{tot}} J \), which is a macroscopically large quantity.

To conclude, the system of coupled, oscillating BECs and incoherent excitations thermalizes, because the condensates serve as a heat reservoir for the quasiparticle subsystem. The condensate oscillations, in turn, get damped by quasiparticle collisions. By studying the system dynamics we found a steep coupling-decoupling crossover of the condensate and the quasiparticle subsystems at the freeze-out time scale \( \tau_f \). Prior to \( \tau_f \), the condensate and the quasiparticles are strongly coupled as a result of a dynamically generated parametric resonance. For times \( t > \tau_f \), BEC and incoherent excitations exhibit off-resonant behaviour and are effectively decoupled. This freeze-out occurs as a consequence of total energy conservation and entropy maximization in the quasiparticle subsystem. In the off-resonant regime, the quasiparticle system relaxes slowly to a thermalized state with thermalization time \( \tau_{th} \gg \tau_f \). The BEC freeze-out and subsequent time evolution under a conservation law are reminiscent of pre-thermalization found in low-dimensional, nearly integrable systems. However, here the conservation law is generated dynamically in a non-integrable system. The quasiparticle dynamics bears similarities to models for the resonant creation and subsequent freeze-out of elementary particles during the evolution of the early universe.