



Living in a noisy world: understanding the impacts of anthropogenic noise disturbance on pygmy marmoset behaviour in the Peruvian amazon



Larissa Barker

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) Royal Holloway, University of London Department of Biological Sciences Submitted June 2022

Declaration of Authorship

I, Larissa Barker, hereby declare that this thesis and the work presented in it is entirely my own. Where I have consulted the work of others, this is always clearly stated.

Signature:

Larissa Barker

Date: 03/06/2022

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I first have to thank my amazing supervisor Sarah Papworth. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to undertake this project and for reading the many drafts I have sent your way and for always providing insightful comments and feedback. You have been such an incredible source of support, encouragement and knowledge throughout the course of my PhD process especially through the uncertainty that was 2020. I would also like to thank Steve Portugal for his time and thoughtful advice in my review meetings.

Thank you to Josef Knauseder who designed and created the ABR units used in this thesis, without whom chapter 6 would not have been possible. I would also like to thank all the people that made my fieldwork possible, including everyone at the Amazonia Expeditions. A special thank you to all the field guides I worked with over my three field work seasons. Especially to Aladino Hidalgo, Claudio Huayllahua and Welister Perez Huayta without whom the collection of the data would not have been possible. So, a big thank you for all your invaluable help and passing on all your knowledge about the local wildlife.

Thank you to the other members of the CAB Lab, Lizzie, Cami, Lucy, Rosa, Heidi and Sorrel. It's been wonderful to learn from all of you and to have other people to bounce ideas off of and have help when coding goes wrong.

Finally, a huge thank you to my friends and family. A special thank you to my amazing parents who have supported me and my crazy dream of running around studying monkeys, I love you both so much. Also, to my amazing sister Saskia and her partner Chris, who have kept me sane in the final months of writing this thesis and provided me with endless support and laughs. Lastly, thank you to Knut, Bobby, Bella, Amber, Tigger, Smee and Bee for providing lots of love and cuddles when I needed them.

Abstract

The ecotourism sector is growing rapidly and is already a significant portion of the global tourism market. With an increase in ecotourism comes an increase in the variety and the amount of anthropogenic noise a landscape is exposed to. The primary aim of this thesis is to understand the effect of anthropogenic noise disturbance on eastern pygmy marmoset (*Cebuella niveiventris*) behaviour in the Área de Conservación Regional Comunal Tamshiyacu Tahuayo (ACRCTT), Peru and to investigate the distracted prey hypothesis, this thesis is the first example of examining changes in primate vocalisations along a gradient of anthropogenic noise exposure.

In order to quantify these behavioural changes, I first catalogued the change in anthropogenic noise levels across the landscape, by comparing the levels of anthropogenic disturbance inside the ACRCTT to that outside its boundaries including the nearby community and ecotourism lodge. I compared a manually catalogued acoustic analysis method with the Normalised Difference Soundscape index from the ‘soundecology’ R package and found that for a finer scale of anthropogenic noise differences the human conducted analysis was more accurate and appropriate for this setting. Due to its superiority for the needs of this study the manually catalogued acoustic analysis results were used to understand how the calls of the pygmy marmosets differed with anthropogenic noise levels. I found key differences in the spectral and temporal characteristics for three of four call types on a gradient of exposure to anthropogenic noise. I conclude that anthropogenic noise should now be included as one of the many pressures that are causing modifications and shifts in primate vocalisations.

Anthropogenic noise not only impacts communication but it has also been found to impact fitness due to an increased predation risk with the noise serving as a distracting stimulus. To explore how anthropogenic noise drives other behavioural changes, specifically in antipredator responses, a playback experiment was conducted. First a riverine diurnal raptor species survey was conducted in order to identify which of the pygmy marmosets’ predators were found in the area and their calls used as a playback condition. I explored these potential behavioural changes under the lens of the distracted prey hypothesis, which postulates that animals can become distracted by any stimulus which it is able to perceive and this distraction leaves them more vulnerable to other potential threats. With the aim of investigating this hypothesis, I used

Automated Behavioural Response systems (ABRs) to conduct two playback experiments. The first playback gathered the preliminary baseline behavioural reactions to different raptor calls and anthropogenic noises. The second featured predator calls that were spliced into different anthropogenic noises to see if the marmosets were still able to detect the predator calls or if they had become distracted by the abiotic noise. This experiment was the first to employ the use of ABRs on a primate species in conjunction with a targeted behavioural hypothesis. This initial study demonstrates that ABRs are an incredibly useful tool in behavioural experiments and recommendations are made for its use in future studies.

This thesis aims to illustrate the behavioural changes caused by anthropogenic noise disturbance with the intention of demonstrating the far-reaching impacts of this form of pollution and to understand how primates are adapting to the presence of anthropogenic noise if at all. Through this research I was able to establish that these behavioural shifts are occurring even on a small-scale gradient of exposure. This thesis serves as one of the first steps in quantifying these effects and in this mitigation methods can be explored and developed.

Table of Contents

Declaration of Authorship.....	3
Acknowledgements.....	5
Abstract.....	6
List of Tables and Figures.....	11
1. Introduction.....	15
1.0 Abstract.....	15
1.1 Background and literature review	15
1.1.1 <i>Ecotourism</i>	16
1.1.2 <i>The impact of anthropogenic noise on wildlife</i>	17
1.1.3 <i>Habituation</i>	18
1.1.4 <i>Anti-predator behaviour</i>	19
1.2 The main behavioural hypotheses for how humans and wildlife interact.....	19
1.2.1 <i>Human shield effect</i>	21
1.2.2 <i>Risk disturbance hypothesis</i>	22
1.2.3 <i>Distracted prey hypothesis</i>	23
1.3 Research Gaps.....	24
1.4 Overall aims and structure.....	26
1.5 Impact of COVID-19 on PhD work.....	27
1.6 References.....	29
2. Study Species and Site.....	34
2.1 Study Species.....	34
2.2 Study Site.....	37
2.3 References.....	39
3. How to best quantify anthropogenic noise exposure? A case study comparing a manual analysis to the bioacoustics index NDSI score in the Tamshiyacu Tahuayo Community Regional Conservation Area.....	42
3.0 Abstract.....	42
3.1 Introduction.....	42
3.2 Methods.....	45
3.2.1 <i>Study site</i>	45
3.2.2 <i>Experimental procedure</i>	46
3.2.3 <i>Data extraction</i>	47
3.2.4 <i>Statistical Analysis</i>	49
3.3 Results.....	50
3.3.1 <i>Is it worth customising the biotic and abiotic frequency thresholds?</i>	51

3.3.2	<i>Are the NSDI score and aural analysis comparable?</i>	51
3.3.3	<i>What factors drive a higher presence of certain anthropogenic sounds?</i>	52
3.3.4	<i>What factors drive changes to the NSDI score of a soundscape?</i>	57
3.4	Discussion	59
3.5	References	64

4. The effects of anthropogenic noise on pygmy marmoset calls on a gradient of exposure.68

4.0	Abstract	68
4.1	Introduction	68
4.2	Methods	72
4.2.1	<i>Study site</i>	72
4.2.2	<i>Study species</i>	73
4.2.2.1	<i>Pygmy marmoset calls</i>	73
4.2.3	<i>Experimental procedure</i>	76
4.2.4	<i>Data extraction</i>	77
4.2.4.1	<i>Anthropogenic Noise</i>	77
4.2.4.2	<i>Call Data Extraction</i>	78
4.2.5	<i>Statistical Analysis</i>	78
4.3	Results	79
4.3.1	<i>Call Data</i>	79
4.4	Discussion	88
4.5	References	92
4.6	Appendix	97

5. Seasonal variation in riverine diurnal raptors in the Área de Conservación Regional Comunal Tamshiyacu Tahuayo reserve, north-eastern Peru.....99

5.0	Abstract	100
5.1	Introduction	100
5.1.1	<i>Quantifying raptor species populations</i>	101
5.1.2	<i>Seasonal Patterns in Neotropical Raptors</i>	102
5.1.3	<i>Diurnal raptors in the Área de Conservación Regional Comunal Tamshiyacu Tahuayo reserve</i>	102
5.1.4	<i>Neotropical primate consuming raptors: potential predators of the pygmy marmoset.</i>	102
5.2	Methodology	103
5.2.1	<i>Study site</i>	103
5.2.2	<i>Raptor Survey Methodology</i>	104
5.2.3	<i>Statistical Analysis</i>	105
5.3	Results	105
5.4	Discussion	110
5.4.1	<i>General Findings</i>	110
5.4.2	<i>Seasonal Patterns</i>	110
5.4.3	<i>Comparisons with to the Chicago Field Museum rapid survey</i>	112
5.4.4	<i>Potential predators of the pygmy marmoset in the survey</i>	113

5.4.5 <i>Final Thoughts</i>	114
5.5 References.....	115

6. Testing Automated Behavioural Response systems as a methodology to investigate behavioural hypotheses, using pygmy marmosets and the distracted prey hypothesis as a model system.....118

6.0 Abstract.....	118
6.1 Introduction.....	118
6.2 Methodology.....	122
6.2.1 <i>Study site</i>	122
6.2.2 <i>Study species</i>	122
6.2.3 <i>Experimental stimuli</i>	123
6.2.4 <i>Experimental procedure</i>	124
6.2.4 <i>Video Analysis</i>	126
6.3 Results.....	128
6.3.1 <i>Experiment 1</i>	128
6.3.2 <i>Experiment 2</i>	132
6.4 Discussion.....	137
6.5 References.....	141
6.6 Appendix.....	146

7. Discussion.....151

7.0 Abstract.....	151
7.1 Introduction.....	151
7.2 Contributions.....	152
7.3 Future avenues for research.....	157
7.4 Conclusions.....	159
7.5References.....	161

List of Figures and Tables

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1 Hypotheses describing wildlife interactions with tourists (adapted from a diagram which presented the human-shield effect in Geffroy *et al.*, 2015). A white arrow indicates an increase in presence or occurrence of behaviours (boldness, flight initiation distance (FID) and vigilance) black arrows indicate a decrease and grey arrows indicates no change.

Table 1.1 Displays the three behavioural human wildlife interaction hypotheses and the behavioural changes that the postulate occurs when humans and wildlife interact. The arrows indicate if the behaviour listed will stay the same (a yellow arrow), decrease (an orange arrow) or increase (a purple arrow) and in the same table cell the study which found evidence of support of the behavioural changes is referenced.

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1 A photograph of an eastern pygmy marmoset taken by Larissa Barker at the study site in Peru.

Figure 2.2 A map of the reserve and the tourist operator lodges where this research was conducted, created by the tour operator Amazonia Expeditions (Perujungle.com., n.d.).

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1 A map of the locations of the marmoset groups where the Audiomoths were placed in August-September 2019 with green diamonds denoting a group located inside the reserve and a purple diamond representing a group located outside of the reserve boundary.

Table 3.1 Categories of anthropogenic noise.

Figure 3.2 A visual breakdown of the number of hours of each sound type was catalogued during the aural analysis at the 10 locations inside and 13 locations outside of the reserve boundary limits, N=999 audio files.

Figure 3.3a) Correlation of the average NDSI score at a site and the total hours of anthropogenic noise catalogues for the altered parameters. d) Correlation of the average NDSI score at a site and the total hours of anthropogenic noise catalogues for the unaltered parameters.

Figure 3.4 A breakdown of the amount of total anthropogenic noise heard in and out of the reserve across the four times of day (early morning: 06:30-09:30; late morning: 09:30-12:30; early afternoon: 12:30-15:30; late afternoon: 15:30-18:30) in hours, N=999 audio files.

Figure 3.5 A breakdown of the amount of total anthropogenic noise heard in and outside of the reserve boundary by sound type in hours.

Figure 3.6 A breakdown of the amount of total anthropogenic noise heard across the four times of day (early morning: 06:30-09:30; late morning: 09:30-12:30; early afternoon: 12:30-

15:30; late afternoon: 15:30-18:30) by sound type in hours.

Table 3.2 The results of the zero-inflated model GLMMs (not including the conditional model) from the full sound breakdown that showed that location and time of day did not influence the amount of the medium motor, high motor or talking present. The significance threshold for these results is $p < 0.0083$. The anthropogenic noise in the files in the time of day breakdown were collated to create one total per each time of day per group resulting in, N=184 totals for times of day.

Figure 3.7 The mean untransformed NDSI score for each location, in and out of the reserve boundary, across all the recordings and for both the unaltered and altered NDSI parameter analyses, N= 46 files.

Figure 3.8 The mean untransformed NDSI score for each location for the four different times of day and for both the unaltered and altered NDSI parameter analyses, N= 184 files.

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1 Spectrograms of pygmy marmoset calls created in Raven Pro a) one trill call b) three j calls c) long call with three syllables d) two tsik calls. The frequency in kHz displayed on the y axis.

Figure 4.2 A map of the locations of the marmoset groups where the Audiomoths were placed in August 2019 with green diamonds denoting a group located inside the reserve and a purple diamond representing a group located outside of the reserve boundary.

Table 4.1 The averages and standard deviations for all of the call characteristics of the 4 call types, N=920 calls.

Table 4.2 Results for the ANOVA on trill call characteristics showing a strong effect of group across all call characteristics, N=230 calls.

Figure 4.3 Visual breakdown of the relationship between the call characteristic of trills and the number of hours heard of anthropogenic noise by group.

Table 4.3 Results for the ANOVA on j call characteristics showing a strong effect of group on all call characteristics, N=230 calls.

Figure 4.4 Visual breakdown of the relationship between the call characteristic of j calls and the number of hours heard of anthropogenic noise by group.

Table 4.4 Results for the ANOVA on long call characteristics showing the strong effect of group on all call characteristics, N=230 calls.

Figure 4.5 Visual breakdown of the relationship between the call characteristic of long calls and the number of hours heard of anthropogenic noise by group.

Table 4.5 Results for the ANOVA on tsik call characteristics showing the strong effect of group on all call characteristics except the number of calls in the bout, N=230 calls.

Figure 4.6 Visual breakdown of the relationship between the call characteristic of tsiks and the number of hours heard of anthropogenic noise by group.

Appendix

Figure 4.7 Visual breakdown of how many hours of anthropogenic noise each group was exposed to over the 24-hour period analysed. The groups above the black line are the

groups outside of the reserve boundary and the ones below are located inside the reserve.

Table 4.7 List of the marmoset groups and a description of their locations.

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1 A map of the transect locations at the study site including the reserve boundary line.

Table 5.1 Inventory of all species of diurnal raptor seen in the survey along with their IUCN listing, breakdown of sightings across seasons (Rainy: May-June 2020 and Dry: August-September 2019) and if they were encountered in the rapid survey conducted by the Chicago Field Museum.

Figure 5.2 The fourteen-bird species seen at least 6 times during the survey, with the number of individuals seen in both seasons. Species where post-hoc tests indicate seasonal differences in sightings are marked with *** for a significant difference at the $p < 0.001$ level, ** for a significant difference at the $p < 0.01$ level and * for a significant difference at the $p < 0.05$ level.

Figure 5.3 The fourteen-bird species seen at least 6 times during the survey, with the number of individuals seen across the three times of day. Species where post-hoc tests indicate differences in sightings across time are marked with *** for a significant difference at the $p < 0.001$ level and * for a significant difference at the $p < 0.05$ level.

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1 A map of the locations of the marmoset groups where the ABRs were placed in September to October 2021 with green diamonds denoting a group that underwent successful trials and was included in the final analysis and the purple diamonds denoting a group that did not complete enough playbacks to be included in the final analysis.

Table 6.1 The ethogram created and used in BORIS to code the playback videos.

Table 6.2 A breakdown of the successful trials each group underwent in the first experiment.

Figure 6.2 The total number of calls emitted by the focal individual across all the trials of each condition type. With n indicating the number of successful trials with that sound that the marmosets reacted to.

Figure 6.3 The total number of trials where the focal individual fled during a playback condition and trials where they were present during the duration of the trial (does include trials where the focal individual did move out of the video frame but not because they were fleeing). With n indicating the number of successful trials with that sound that the marmosets reacted to.

Figure 6.4 A breakdown of the duration in seconds the focal individual spent eating, grooming themselves, hunting for insects, interacting with other individuals, looking at the camera, looking at the speaker, and being vigilant. Displaying the mean duration of these behaviours across the three audio conditions; anthropogenic noise (motor boats and human speech), control (no audio, macaws, and cicadas) and predator call (the roadside

hawk and the ornate hawk eagle).

Table 6.3 The breakdown of the trials each group underwent in the second experiment.

Figure 6.5 The total number of calls emitted by the focal individual across all the trials of each condition spliced with the two anthropogenic noise audios. With n indicating the number of successful trials with that sound that the marmosets reacted to.

Figure 6.6 The total number of trials, for each condition spliced with the two anthropogenic noise audios, where the focal individual fled during a playback condition and trials where they were present during the duration of the trial (includes trials where the focal individual did move out of the video frame but not because they were fleeing). With n indicating the number of successful trials with that sound that the marmosets reacted to.

Figure 6.7 A breakdown of the duration in seconds the focal individual spent eating, grooming themselves, hunting for insects, interacting with other individuals, looking at the camera, looking at the speaker, and being vigilant. Displaying the mean duration of these behaviours across the across the two anthropogenic noise audios (motor boat and talking) with the two spliced conditions (controls and predator calls).

Appendix

Table 6.4 A breakdown of the behaviour of the focal individual across the three audio conditions used in the first playback experiment. With the mean time in seconds in the two-minute video that the focal individual spent doing said activity and the number of videos where the activity occurred denoted as sample size.

Table 6.5 A breakdown of the behaviour of the focal individual across the two anthropogenic noise audios with the two spliced conditions used in the second playback experiment. With the mean time in seconds in the two-minute video that the focal individual spent doing said activity and the number of videos where the activity occurred denoted as sample size.

Figure 6.8 Circuit Diagram of the ABR Speaker Microcontroller and Camera Current Detector

Figure 6.9 The layout of interface-board P20007-LP01-01

Figure 6.10 Experimental ABR setup. The maroon circle denotes the position of the camera trap, the grey circle the position of the battery pack, the blue circle the placement of the speaker and the yellow circle highlights the active sap holes. The image on the left was taken at the group TL14 and the right is the group RC2.