**Metabolite database for root, tuber and banana crops to facilitate modern breeding in understudied crops**
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**Significance statement**

A metabolite specific database cataloguing the biochemical diversity, within and between root, tuber and banana (RTB) crops has been compiled from profiling thousands of accessions. The database records the extent of metabolite concentrations available in screened germplasm of each RTB crop, and thus can be used to set breeding targets. This information aids in crop breeding programmes to improve the livelihoods for more than two billions of people reliant on RTB crops.

**Highlights**

* Root, tuber and banana (RTB) crops consumed by over 2 billion people.
* A comparative metabolomics workflow applied to RTB crops.
* Biochemical diversity of understudied species captured and freely available data resource.
* Potential application in breeding programs, e.g. biofortification, disease resistance mechanisms and stress tolerance.
* Integration into multi-omic workflows.

**Summary**

Roots, tubers and bananas (RTB) are vital staples for food security in the worlds’ poorest nations. A major constraint to current RTB breeding programmes is limited knowledge about the available diversity due to lack of efficient germplasm characterisation and structure. In recent years large-scale efforts have begun to elucidate the genetic and phenotypic diversity of germplasm collections and populations and yet, biochemical measurements have often been overlooked despite metabolite composition being directly associated to agronomic and consumer traits.

Herein we present a compound database and concentration range for metabolites detected in the major RTB crops: banana (*Musa* spp.), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), potato (*Solanum tuberosum*), sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas*), and yam (*Dioscorea* spp*.*), following metabolomics-based diversity screening of global collections held within the CGIAR institutes.

The dataset including 711 chemical features provides a valuable resource regarding the comparative biochemical composition of each RTB crop and highlights the potential diversity available for incorporation into crop improvement programmes. Particularly, the tropical crops cassava, sweetpotato and banana displayed more complex compositional metabolite profiles with representations of up to 22 chemical classes (unknowns excluded) than that of potato, for which only metabolites from 10 chemical classes were detected. Additionally, over 20% of biochemical signatures remained unidentified for every crop analysed.

Integration of metabolomics with the on-going genomic and phenotypic studies will enhance omics-wide associations of molecular signatures with agronomic and consumer traits via easily quantifiable biochemical markers to aid gene discovery and functional characterisation.

**Introduction**

***Importance of RTB crops***

Annual global production of root, tuber and banana (RTB) crops exceeds 1000 million tonnes (MT) (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2019) and feed over 2 billion people worldwide (Scott *et al.*, 2000) (Figure 1). RTBs are especially vital in the least developed countries where they provide ≥15 % daily calories and a source of economic subsistence to over 750 million people (Kennedy *et al.*, 2019). In Africa, production of RTBs exceeds that for all other staples combined (Sanginga, 2015) and they are the most important crops for direct human consumption.

Over 30,000 RTB crop accessions are currently held in the gene banks of four CGIAR institutes with many further in national and regional collections, representing the diversity currently available for breeding (Tay, 2013). Whilst the RTB crops are cited to have high yield potential (especially regarding calories per hectare production) when compared to other staples (cereals), the extent of diversity available for breeding cannot be capitalised upon, due to the limited knowledge about the biological potential of these accessions. Besides the dearth of genetic resources, basic characterisation such as phenotypic and agronomic traits, including growth and yield parameters, are scarce for a large proportion of accessions. Consequently, insufficient germplasm characterisation and evaluation has hindered exploitation of the available diversity within breeding programmes (Jansky *et al.*, 2015). Depending on the RTB crop three factors have contributed, to a varying degree, to the current situation: (i) poor or under representation of crop wild relatives in germplasm collections (Castañeda-Álvarez *et al.*, 2016); (ii) high levels of accession duplication and misidentifications in the collections, particularly prevalent in clonal crop collections (yam up to 30% (Girma *et al.*, 2012), potato varies from ~4.5 % (Ellis *et al.*, 2018) to ~75 % (Huamán *et al.*, 2000) across different subsets); and (iii) the poorly recorded assessment of germplasm diversity which is especially complex in RTB crops due to crop-wild gene flow via ennoblement, hybridisation from overlapping natural and cultivation habitats and genetic assimilation from vegetative propagation (Scarcelli *et al.*, 2017).

In recent years many large-scale efforts have sought to further understanding of these crops, with genome sequences (Tamiru *et al.*, 2017; Yang *et al.*, 2017; D’Hont *et al.*, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2014; Xu *et al.*, 2011; Li *et al.*, 2019) and genome diversity studies (Bredeson *et al.*, 2016; Hardigan *et al.*, 2017; Nyine *et al.*, 2017; Muñoz-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2018; Němečková *et al.*, 2018; Christelová *et al.*, 2017), genetic selection (Wolfe *et al.*, 2016), molecular markers (QTLs) (Monden and Tahara, 2017; Kim *et al.*, 2017; Sharma and Bryan, 2017) and comparative transcriptome resources (Sarah *et al.*, 2017; Kundapura Venkataramana *et al.*, 2015; van Wesemael *et al.*, 2019; Cenci *et al.*, 2019) widely developed alongside morphologic, agronomic and phenotypic classifications (Rahajeng and Rahayuningsih, 2017; Dépigny *et al.*, 2018; Girma *et al.*, 2018; Oliveira *et al.*, 2015; van Wesemael *et al.*, 2019). The progress of the CGIAR research program on roots, tubers and bananas ([www.rtb.cgiar.org](http://www.rtb.cgiar.org)) applying genomics-assisted breeding to RTBs has recently been reviewed (Friedmann *et al.*, 2018). Though typically in early stages, the authors noted that success will be dependent upon the quality of phenotypic characterisation.

***Why metabolomics in breeding?***

Agronomic and consumer traits can often be directly associated to metabolite composition (Bino *et al.*, 2004), which favours the use of metabolomics to generate measurable biochemical signatures for characterisation. Metabolomics approaches can provide a standalone technique when genetic mechanisms are not well understood (Price *et al.*, 2017), as evident in RTB crops. Phenotypic evaluation of materials is required multiple times along the breeding pipeline and integration of metabolomics into current practices is advocated to greatly shorten the development time of new varieties, reduce costs, provide unbiased phenotypic profiles for validation of genetic parameters (Fernie and Schauer, 2009) and has the potential of being a powerful approach for future precision breeding (Zivy *et al.*, 2015).

A variety of different metabolomics approaches can be undertaken, generally encompassing untargeted metabolite profiling including broad-scale relative quantification of known and unknown metabolites and targeted profiling and absolute quantification of identified metabolites. As the accuracy of identification and quantification increases, so does the time required for analysis. Through integration with other “omics” to associate genotype with phenotype, the regulation of agronomic/ phenotypic traits (phenomics) at the genetic (genomics, epi-genomics), transcriptional (transcriptomics), translational (proteomic) and metabolic level (metabolomics) can be dissected in a holistic systems biology manner to enhance understanding of crop development and its responses to biotic and abiotic changes. The development of bioinformatics tools and resources has rapidly progressed alongside “omics” technologies to facilitate the integration and management of these large and complex datasets. However, interpretation of integrated datasets is complex, requiring expertise and collaboration across many scientific fields and remains the major challenge for multi-omics investigations (Pinu *et al.*, 2019; Misra *et al.*, 2019). This system biology approach has already been applied to model crops such as tomato, rice and wheat, whereby metabolomic analyses have provided a richness of resources (Grennan, 2009; Perez-Fons *et al.*, 2014) available to integrate with genetic breeding approaches. These resources rapidly accelerated progress for identifying trait markers (Sprenger *et al.*, 2018; B., Li *et al.*, 2016; Schwahn *et al.*, 2014), elucidation of biosynthetic pathways contributing to traits (Daygon *et al.*, 2017; Schwahn *et al.*, 2014) and validation of genetic/ metabolic prediction (Wei *et al.*, 2018). For example, integrating genetic and metabolite markers for phenotypic traits of wheat provided more robust signatures than either alone (Ward *et al.*, 2015) and both were equally predictive for complex traits (Riedelsheimer *et al.*, 2012).

Furthermore, metabolite markers are inherently affected by environmental factors and can provide more precise measures for crop trait variation compared to genetic markers. Metabolite markers can be stably inherited (Chan *et al.*, 2010) and as such, the metabolome can be viewed in an analogous manner to the epigenome; acting as a dynamic yet conserved network comprised from genetic and environmental influence. Consequently, when performing comparative analyses of crop growth under different environments, quantifying the contributions of biochemical signatures towards phenotype is often simpler than for genetic markers, especially in highly heterozygous crops, like RTBs. This gives rise to the potential to generate chemotype core collections (CCC) for use in breeding, whereby material selection is based on fixation of a complement of biochemical signatures which confer desired characteristics more robust to environmental variation. This is contrary to genotypic core collections whereby breeding tries to fix gene variants which can then often harbour different traits under different environments. Furthermore, increased trait-stability of CCCs would provide a suitable base for comparative GxE (Genotype x Environment) studies to elucidate environmental effects on crop production (Xu, 2016). CCCs would therefore complement genotypic core collections to facilitate localised precision breeding in the future.

Despite these advantages, the deployment of enhanced cultivars directly from metabolomics-directed breeding is still limited, largely based on slow uptake by breeders and limited access to this technology, with the field still being listed as prospective but with potential to be game-changing for future agricultural practice (Kumar *et al.*, 2017).

***Prospective societal impact***

Given the role RTB crops hold for the livelihoods of millions in the least developed nations, improvement is paramount. On the whole, RTBs are primarily grown through small-holder farms with a large proportion of child and female labour and therefore the crops hold extreme importance for the most vulnerable portions of society.

Increasing the precision and speed of phenotyping during the breeding ladder (Figure 2) would enable faster crop improvements and thus a multitude of benefits: (i) enhanced agronomic, breeding efficiency and consumer traits (e.g. increased yields, increased flowering, reduced dormancy and bio-fortification) to tackle food insecurity and malnutrition, which are more prevalent in RTB growing regions; (ii) decreased fertilizer inputs and improved pest and disease resistance to lower production costs and increase incomes; and (iii) increased abiotic stress tolerance to improve climate change adaptation and yields on marginal, saline or drought prone soils, and (iv) facilitate a better understanding of basic phenomena such as crop evolution/ domestication, ploidy and inheritance mechanisms for understudied clonal crops.

**Methods**

Samples from *in vitro* cultures and plants grown in the field were harvested, flash-frozen with liquid nitrogen and lyophilised to remove all water content. The samples comprised a collection of different tissues, e.g., leaf, root, tuber, stem and fruit from each crop. The tissue samples were then ground to a fine powder and metabolites extracted. Sample preparation and extraction and the profiling procedure of the extracts was based on previously published protocols and optimised for each crop to account for the matrix effects of the respective tissue (Price *et al.*, 2018; Drapal *et al.*, 2017; Price *et al.*, 2016; Drapal, Rossel, *et al.*, 2019; Drapal, Barros de Carvalho, *et al.*, 2019; Price *et al.*, 2017; Perez-Fons *et al.*, 2014; Drapal, de Carvalho, *et al.*, 2019). To account for the difference in chemical properties of the metabolites, three different platforms were utilised in a modular manner for the screening process: ultra/ high performance liquid chromatography with diode array detector (U/HPLC-DAD), liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The yam materials underwent GC-MS of both polar and non-polar extracts alongside HPLC-DAD of the non-polar phase. All other crops underwent GC-MS and LC-MS analysis on polar extracts and UPLC-DAD of non-polar extracts. Non-polar extracts from cassava and sweetpotato were also subjected to GC-MS analysis.

The curation of crop specific libraries with identified metabolites followed the same workflow for both the GC-MS and LC-MS analytical platforms (Figure 3), whereas an established UPLC-DAD library was used for all crops (Burns *et al.*, 2003; Fraser *et al.*, 2000) with an extended version used for yam and sweetpotato (Price *et al.*, 2018). All features detected in the generated sample set were aligned and following statistical analysis, significant features were identified and confirmed with standards (Fernie and Klee, 2011). GC-MS data was processed via AMDIS (v2.71, NIST) whereas the alignment and filtering of chromatograms for LC-MS was achieved via metaMS (Wehrens *et al.*, 2014; Franceschi *et al.*, 2014). U/HPLC-PDA data were analysed via Empower 2TM software (Waters Corp.). Manual confirmation of the identified compounds was carried out (Supplementary table ST1) and recurrent unidentified features that represent hypothetical compounds have been reported with unique identifiers per species (Supplementary table ST2) (Bino *et al.*, 2004). Normalisation to internal standards and sample weight allowed relative quantification, concatenation of data from the platforms and subsequent comparison between tissue types and species. For the UPLC, absolute quantification for the major photosynthetic compounds (β-carotene, violaxanthin, neoxanthin, phytoene, phytofluene, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, β-cryptoxanthin, lutein, antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin) was achieved via comparison to dose-response curves of authentic commercially available standards. For carotenoids where an authentic standard was not available, quantification was based on standard curves of carotenoid with closest chemical structure and spectral properties similarity. Where compounds were detected on more than one analytical platform, the values reported in the database represent that of the maxima recorded and the analytical technique that proved to be more amenable was cited first. The database and pie-charts were created in Microsoft Excel 2013.

Since the compiled dataset comprised of numerous independent analyses undertaken over a three-year time-frame, the metabolite ranges reported for each crop differ on the number of samples analysed and replicate measurements made. However, for each metabolite reported per crop a minimum of 12 measurements were taken and the validity and repeatability of measures were controlled within each independent study. Furthermore, analytical drift and different response factors were controlled platform-to-platform, batch–to-batch and study-to-study via the analysis of both reference sample (quality control) and reference metabolite (internal standard) to ensure robustness.

**Data statement**

All data compiled for this resource paper are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files) and references to the original publications/data sets are cited.

**Results & Discussion**

***Metabolomics approach – general screening***

The metabolomics workflow implemented and optimised for each crop was based on a general concept (Figure 2). All plant materials collected were flash-frozen, lyophilised and ground to a homogenous powder before undergoing metabolite profiling workflow to ensure consistent reproducibility. A common two-phase solvent extraction method was implemented to extract a broad range of metabolites from each type of sample. This standardised and widely used method also allowed rapid optimisation of different tissue types. Furthermore, the partition into aqueous and organic phase allowed the independent analysis of polar and non-polar extracts which simplified sample handling, chromatographic method development and metabolite identification. During analysis, the requirements for extraction blanks, quality controls and internal standards were implemented to maintain consistency and good laboratory practices and enable normalisation and batch correction (Fernie and Klee, 2011).

***Database curation***

The data generated can be deposited in public repositories addressing metabolomics in general (Metabolights, Dataverse, Metabolomics Workbench, Metexplore or Metabolonote) and/or crop specific database such as CassavaBase and MusaBase or PlantCyc. Initial fingerprinting via LC-MS was conducted on materials to enable a rapid screen of biochemical diversity, especially focussed on secondary metabolism as this is typically where the largest proportion of chemical diversity resides (De Luca *et al.*, 2012). The bottleneck in many LC-MS based metabolomics studies is compound identification and use of the same chromatographic method meant data generated could also be used to guide purchase of metabolite standards for LC-MS library generation. Typical fingerprinting screens are performed on methanol extracts and measured only one biological replicate for speed. A minimum of three biological replicates and at least two analytical platforms were used for untargeted studies, including study of both aqueous and organic extracts for more comprehensive coverage of the metabolome. For the identification of features/ compounds detected during the untargeted analysis, quality controls representing a pool of samples for each species were used. Peaks detected during GC-MS and LC-MS analysis were identified with published libraries (e.g. NIST, GMD (Kopka *et al.*, 2005), MassBank (Horai *et al.*, 2010) etc.) and confirmed by authentic commercial standards to build a crop specific library. After database curation, automated analysis was possible for the whole dataset of each species and the identification process integrated as an element of the metabolomics data analysis pipeline. Nevertheless, manual curation was undertaken for each dataset to reduce matching errors. The analysis of isoprenoid derived metabolites, such as carotenoids and chlorophylls, was carried out with ultra or high performance liquid chromatography coupled with a diode array detector (U/HPLC-DAD). As the composition of leaf and tuber materials has been reported extensively (Drapal *et al.*, 2017; Burns *et al.*, 2003; Price *et al.*, 2018; Drapal, Rossel, *et al.*, 2019; Drapal, Barros de Carvalho, *et al.*, 2019) and methods previously validated (Nogueira *et al.*, 2013; Fraser *et al.*, 2000), this was performed in a semi-targeted mode whereby the majority of compounds were quantified absolutely. This approach remains essential due to the intrinsic chemical nature of the photosynthetic pigments displaying a lack of amenability to MS.

***Current progress in defining the metabolome of RTB crops***

The database curated for banana, cassava, potato, sweetpotato and yam, currently includes over 300 identified metabolites (Supplementary table ST1). Additionally, a significant number of reoccurring unidentified features summarised as “unknowns” were measured (Figure 3, Supplementary table ST2). The metabolites identified in each crop present a broad range of the plant metabolome including amino acids, organic acids, compounds of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, isoprenoid derived compounds, phenylpropanoids, sugars, fatty acids, sterols and corresponding subfamilies. The metabolite libraries have been implemented in the current projects of the RTB programme facilitating the assessment of biochemical diversity, with future intentions to aid the identification of trait biomarkers in the RTB crops. The limits of metabolite concentrations have been reported to include all the available quantitative range for use in targeted breeding. This is exploitable because extremes are often favoured in crop breeding to achieve the maximum gains and enhancements above the average range and contrasts with other databases reporting the average and/ or standard deviation.

Potato had the simplest biochemical profile with the presence of just 10 chemical classes (excluding unknowns); four of them related to primary metabolism. Sweetpotato and banana comprised 13 and 16 chemical families respectively whilst the cassava and yam chemo-libraries sum up over 20 families of compounds (Figure 3a).

Sugars was the largest annotated chemical class in all crops. This is expected in sink/ storage organs as is the case of the tissues analysed in the collection. Similarly, chemical classes related to primary metabolism (namely amino acids, organic acids and components of the tricarboxylic acid cycle) were also well-annotated in all species. Potato’s chemical composition presented the largest proportion of these primary metabolite sectors with sugars comprising more than the other crops representing the presence of higher starch quantity.

The divergence between crop’s compositions resided mostly on components related to secondary metabolism. For example, yams had a greater proportion of odd-chain fatty acids which are rare in plants. Also characteristic of yam was the higher content and diversity of nitrogen-containing compounds such as amines, nucleobases and catecholamines. Nevertheless, the catecholamine dopamine was vastly more abundant (up to one order of magnitude) in *Musa*. Triterpenoids also constituted a source of chemical diversity within the RTB crops with a more complex composition found in both cassava and yam. Whilst typically these compounds were detected in the leaf tissue of the accessions, yam tubers also presented significant amounts of sterols. Crude extracts of yam presented a range of triterpenoids, including cholesterol, reflecting the production of glycosylated steroidal saponins within this crop (Sautour *et al.*, 2007). Similarly, cassava leaves showed an accumulation of amyrins and isomers, which likely represent the glycosylated pentacyclic saponins. High levels of β-carotene and xanthophylls were also observed for orange-fleshed lines of sweetpotato and yam tubers, cassava roots and *Musa* fruit, as to be expected. The largest diversity of phenolic compounds such as phenylpropanoids, coumarins, flavonoids and lignin/ lignin oligomers was encountered in cassava and sweetpotato. Though in the case of sweetpotato many phenolics remain structurally elusive (level 3 unknown).

Unknowns comprised over half of all metabolites measured (Figure 3b) and ranged from approximately a quarter to a third of features recorded, for each individual crop following analysis of crude extracts (Figure 3a). Distinguishing chemical features detected via LC-MS, into distinct compounds was challenging and will require further work to determine whether each peak is of biological origin. Given that in typical LC-MS screening over 90% of features detected are not true metabolites (Mahieu and Patti, 2017; Aksenov *et al.*, 2017), a conservative approach to limit false positives was chosen wherein only unknowns that are well characterised (e.g. via MS/MS, clear UV-Vis spectra) were included in the database. The drawback to this is that the true level of unknowns may be greatly underestimated in the current database. As to be expected, the unknowns that could be assigned to a compound class were predominantly secondary metabolites (Supplementary table ST2). Unknowns have been given unique identifiers to allow on-going annotations of compounds for libraries and curation and updating of the database (Supplementary table ST2).

The diversity of compound classes recorded was highest in yam and cassava, then banana, sweetpotato and lowest in potato (Figure 3a). This is not unsurprising given that cassava was most intensively studied (most accessions and on all platforms) and yam is a multi-species crop and large biochemical diversity previously been evidenced across the genus (Price *et al.*, 2016). In line with this, yam presented the highest proportion of unknowns (~50 %, Figure 3a); despite not undergoing LC-MS study as per the other crops. Sweetpotato also had a comparably large proportion of unknowns (~45 %) mostly comprising phenolic-derived compounds, likely conjugates (Drapal, Rossel, *et al.*, 2019). Accurate identification of such compounds has been shown to require comprehensive MS3 fragmentationand is thus beyond that typically conducted in current metabolite screening practices (Akimoto *et al.*, 2017). Interestingly, even with the relatively extensive application of metabolomics to potato (Puzanskiy *et al.*, 2017), a large number of unknowns still exist and were mostly sugars (Supplementary table ST2). Carbohydrate analysis is particularly complex with high numbers of isomers and complex polymers which likely contributes to the lack of conclusive annotation. Level 3 unknowns detected in banana extracts were mostly sugars and phenolics. Furthermore, cassava had the lowest proportion of unidentified metabolites. Cassava material was the most intensively studied (subjected to all three analytical platforms and largest number of tissues and accessions analysed) and thus highlights that extensive analysis via diverse methods can elucidate unknowns and slowly conquer the challenge of identification commonly touted as metabolomics biggest hurdle.

Overall, the observed differences between crops’ metabolite databases may be the result of the application of different analytical platforms to each crop within the modular pipeline. However, current observations do match that expected from literature. Dominance of particular classes of compounds in each crop reflects the plasticity of plants metabolism to develop physiological features than can be linked to particular phenotypes.

***Future developments***

Presenting the ranges of metabolites recorded in a simple spreadsheet format enables easy use of information regarding the comparative biochemical diversity of these under characterised crops. All compounds detected represent a portion of the steady-state metabolome of the plant samples and can be used for untargeted data analysis to unravel the great amount of variation that can be used to guide breeding decisions. The system has proven robust over datasets even when measured months apart. Therefore, it is possible for future work to extend the platform from relative to proximate absolute quantification for many compounds through generation of relative response factors to the internal standard (Cifkova *et al.*, 2012) and subsequent correction following testing of extraction recovery. Thus, the next step will represent the transition of the untargeted pipeline to a holistic semi-targeted system. From this, data can be more informative for use in flux modelling and genome-wide reconstructions which are essential for understanding fundamental processes governing plant physiology (Kruger and Ratcliffe, 2015).

More elaborate sample preparations such as solid phase extraction (SPE) and molecular recognition, via immunoaffinity or imprinting, can be used to extend the breadth of metabolites captured and increase metabolome coverage. However, this would concurrently increase the number of unidentified compounds, which already represent a considerable proportion of the dataset (Figure 3b). Extensive structural elucidation via multistage MS fragmentation (MSn) and/or coupling of LC to NMR platforms (e.g. LC-SPE-MS/NMR) or ion mobility (e.g. LC-IMS-MS) has not yet become routine, largely hindered by the high capital costs at outset, and expert knowledge required for data interpretation, which is labour intensive. That said, in recent years a great deal of progress has been made in accessibility of tools for computational interpretation of such data (Spicer *et al.*, 2017; Tsugawa, 2018). Investments in automated structural elucidation of unidentified compounds have potential to revolutionise metabolomics workflows by overcoming the current bottleneck of structural elucidation.

However, knowing the structure of a compound does not allow one to fully assess biological relevance. Recent years have seen a shift towards increased spatial resolution via mass spectrometry imaging and localisation through cell sorting and laser microdissection etc., alongside flux-omics and longitudinal (time-series/ developmental) applications. These applications evidence that contextualising metabolomic data requires a detailed understanding of metabolic network dynamics and functional activity which will become the next hurdle for the field.

Screening of complete germplasm collections will allow the establishment of a chemotypic core collection (CCC) that comprises the majority of biochemical diversity available. CCCs would thus represent an advance in precision over morphological core collections and can be overlaid with genotypic collections to reduce and focus the selection on accessions with highest prospects for successful transfer of desired traits i.e. through overcoming genetic differences which do not translate through to phenotype and encompassing biochemical traits not observed at the morphological level.

**Conclusion**

***Outlook for metabolomics in breeding of RTBs***

Future work appears set to capitalise on the synergy of pursuing a multiple “omics” platform for rapid progress during crop improvement and breeding. At the forefront of this is the combination of genomics and transcriptomics for breeding and trait understanding. Moreover, recently metabolomics has been favoured to enhance precision during molecular phenotyping and the utilisation of such methods looks set to increase. Metabolomics can prove especially useful when tackling complex traits, i.e. those with many determinants, as metabolome inherently reflects environmental factors and other stimuli such as chemical interactions. This is evidenced by the favour for elucidation of “interactomes” such as the rhizosphere and volatile-ome of plants by incorporating deep sequencing of the microbiome (Hu *et al.*, 2018; Jacoby and Kopriva, 2019) or atmospheric transformation of volatiles (T., Li *et al.*, 2016; Blande *et al.*, 2014), respectively. Combining these measurements expands the biological system to the complete local environment and thus characterisation occurs at the ecosystem level.

Improvement of RTB crops is vital for the attainment of the UN Sustainable Development Goals and improving livelihoods in the most deprived regions of the globe. In addition, the RTB crops show potential as scientific models for the analysis of complex genetic architectures; revealing the interplay between evolution and domestication in clonal crops.

Breeding and development for each of the RTB crops shows unique pitfalls and problems, yet each is widely grown due to the unique traits they present. The complexities that have hindered crop improvement and agronomic development for production of RTBs to date may also be the crops largest saviours. In light of climate change, the large morphological plasticity, limited genetic assimilation and resilience of these crops to extreme conditions and low technology agricultural systems provide the potential to adapt and overcome the impacts of global warming, and thus provide incentive to increase research efforts towards these critically important understudied RTB crops. To ensure this, the breeding community needs to move beyond viewing metabolomics and other omics as hypothesis-free service science to techniques which can be integrated to solve complex biological questions in a rapid, large-scale manner. Ironically, initial characterisation of plant genetic resources and diversity available is crucial to pose the biological questions for investigation and as such metabolomics can progress on both fronts.

**Acknowledgments**

This research was undertaken as part of, and funded by, the CGIAR Research Program on Roots, Tubers and Bananas (RTB) and supported by CGIAR Fund Donors (www.cgiar.org/funders); the African Cassava Whitefly Project (www.cassavawhitefly.org) funded by Natural Resources Institute (NRI), University of Greenwich, UK, from a grant provided by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grant OPP1058938); the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, UK, Doctoral Training Partnership No. 1638827; the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, UK, Global Challenges Research Fund No. EP/P510865/1 and USAID project “Reviving the plantain breeding program” at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nigeria.

The authors would like to acknowledge the Genetic Resources Center at the IITA for providing yam germplasm; the Genetic Resources Program at the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) & NRI for providing cassava germplasm; the CIP genebank of the International Potato Center (CIP) for providing potato and sweetpotato germplasm and the Bioversity International *Musa* Germplasm Transit Centre (ITC) for provision of banana germplasm.

The authors would like to thank Hana Chaïr (CIRAD, Montpellier, France) and Paul Wilkin (Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, UK) for helpful discussion of data and Harriet Berry for validation of a subset of data sets.

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest in accordance with journal policy.

**Author Contribution**

E.P., M.D. & L.P-F. generated the datasets, assembled the figures, compiled supplementary tables and drafted the manuscript and devised the concept.

D.A., R.B., B.H, M.R & R.S. selected plant materials, aided interpretation of results and elaborated the manuscript.

L.A.B.L-L. selected plant materials, aided interpretation of results, coordinated across centres and elaborated the manuscript.

P.D.F. aided interpretation of results, drafted and edited the manuscript, secured funding and devised the concept.

**References**

**Akimoto, N., Ara, T., Nakajima, D., et al.** (2017) FlavonoidSearch: A system for comprehensive flavonoid annotation by mass spectrometry. *Sci. Rep.*, **7**, 1–9.

**Aksenov, A.A., Silva, R. Da, Knight, R., Lopes, N.P. and Dorrestein, P.C.** (2017) Global chemical analysis of biology by mass spectrometry. *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, **1**, 1–20.

**Basu, S., Duren, W., Evans, C.R., Burant, C.F., Michailidis, G. and Karnovsky, A.** (2017) Sparse network modeling and metscape-based visualization methods for the analysis of large-scale metabolomics data. *Bioinformatics*, **33**, 1545–1553.

**Bino, R.J., Hall, R.D., Fiehn, O., et al.** (2004) Potential of metabolomics as a functional genomics tool. *Trends Plant Sci.*, **9**, 418–425.

**Blande, J.D., Holopainenen, J.K. and Niinemets, Ü.** (2014) Plant volatiles in polluted atmospheres: stress responses and signal degradation. *Plant. Cell Environ.*, **37**, 1892–1904.

**Bredeson, J. V, Lyons, J.B., Prochnik, S.E., et al.** (2016) Sequencing wild and cultivated cassava and related species reveals extensive interspecific hybridization and genetic diversity. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **34**, 562–570.

**Burns, J., Fraser, P.D. and Bramley, P.M.** (2003) Identification and quantification of carotenoids, tocopherols and chlorophylls in commonly consumed fruits and vegetables. *Phytochemistry*, **62**, 939–947.

**Castañeda-Álvarez, N.P., Khoury, C.K., Achicanoy, H.A., et al.** (2016) Global conservation priorities for crop wild relatives. *Nat. Plants*, **2**, 16022.

**Cenci, A., Hueber, Y., Zorrilla-Fontanesi, Y., et al.** (2019) Effect of paleopolyploidy and allopolyploidy on gene expression in banana. *BMC Genomics*, **20**, 1–12.

**Chan, E.K.F., Rowe, H.C., Hansen, B.G. and Kliebenstein, D.J.** (2010) The complex genetic architecture of the metabolome. *PLoS Genet.*, **6**, e1001198.

**Christelová, P., Langhe, E. De, Hřibová, E., et al.** (2017) Molecular and cytological characterization of the global Musa germplasm collection provides insights into the treasure of banana diversity. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, **26**, 801–824.

**Cifkova, E., Holcapek, M., Lisa, M., Ovcacikova, M., Lycka, a, Lynen, F. and Sandra, P.** (2012) Nontargeted quantitation of lipid classes using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry with single internal standard and response factor approach. *Anal Chem*, **84**, 10064–10070.

**D’Hont, A., Denoeud, F., Aury, J.-M.J.-M., et al.** (2012) The banana (Musa acuminata) genome and the evolution of monocotyledonous plants. *Nature*, **488**, 213–218.

**Daygon, V.D., Calingacion, M., Forster, L.C., et al.** (2017) Metabolomics and genomics combine to unravel the pathway for the presence of fragrance in rice. *Sci. Rep.*, **7**, 1–12.

**Dépigny, S., Tchotang, F., Talla, M., Fofack, D., Essomé, D., Ebongué, J.P., Kengni, B. and Lescot, T.** (2018) The ‘Plantain-Optim’ dataset: Agronomic traits of 405 plantains every 15 days from planting to harvest. *Data Br.*, **17**, 671–680.

**Drapal, M., Carvalho, E., Ovalle Rivera, T.M., Becerra Lopez-Lavalle, L.A. and Fraser, P.D.** (2019) Capturing Biochemical Diversity in Cassava ( Manihot esculenta Crantz) through the Application of Metabolite Profiling. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **67**, 986–993.

**Drapal, M., Carvalho, E.B. de, Rouard, M., et al.** (2019) Metabolite profiling characterises chemotypes of Musa diploids and triploids at juvenile and pre-flowering growth stages. *Sci. Rep.*, **9**, 4657.

**Drapal, M., Farfan-Vignolo, E.R., Gutierrez, O.R., Bonierbale, M., Mihovilovich, E. and Fraser, P.D.** (2017) Identification of metabolites associated with water stress responses in Solanum tuberosum L. clones. *Phytochemistry*, **135**, 24–33.

**Drapal, M., Rossel, G., Heider, B. and Fraser, P.D.** (2019) Metabolic diversity in sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas, Lam.) leaves and storage roots. *Hortic. Res.*, **6**, 2.

**Ellis, D., Chavez, O., Coombs, J., Soto, J., Gomez, R., Douches, D., Panta, A., Silvestre, R. and Anglin, N.L.** (2018) Genetic identity in genebanks: application of the SolCAP 12K SNP array in fingerprinting and diversity analysis in the global in trust potato collection. *Genome*, **61**, 523–537.

**Fernie, A.R. and Klee, H.J.** (2011) The Use of Natural Genetic Diversity in the Understanding of Metabolic Organization and Regulation. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **2**, 59.

**Fernie, A.R. and Schauer, N.** (2009) Metabolomics-assisted breeding: a viable option for crop improvement? *Trends Genet.*, **25**, 39–48.

**Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations** (2019) FAOSTAT Database. Available at: http://www.fao.org/faostat [Accessed July 7, 2019].

**Franceschi, P., Mylonas, R., Shahaf, N., et al.** (2014) MetaDB a Data Processing Workflow in Untargeted MS-Based Metabolomics Experiments. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, **2**, 1–12.

**Fraser, P.D., Pinto, M.E.S., Holloway, D.E. and Bramley, P.M.** (2000) Application of high-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detection to the metabolic profiling of plant isoprenoids. *Plant J.*, **24**, 551–558.

**Friedmann, M., Asfaw, A., Anglin, N., et al.** (2018) Genomics-Assisted Breeding in the CGIAR Research Program on Roots, Tubers and Bananas (RTB). *Agriculture*, **8**, 89.

**Girma, G., Bhattacharjee, R., Lopez-Montes, A., Gueye, B., Ofodile, S., Franco, J. and Abberton, M.** (2018) Re-defining the yam ( Dioscorea spp.) core collection using morphological traits. *Plant Genet. Resour. Charact. Util.*, **16**, 193–200.

**Girma, G., Korie, S., Dumet, D. and Franco, J.** (2012) Improvement of Accession Distinctiveness As an Added Value To the Global Worth of the Yam (Dioscorea spp) Genebank. *Int. J. Conserv. Sci.*, **3**, 199–206.

**Grennan, A.K.** (2009) MoTo DB: A Metabolic Database for Tomato. *Plant Physiol.*, **151**, 1701–1702.

**Hardigan, M.A., Laimbeer, F.P.E., Newton, L., et al.** (2017) *Genome diversity of tuber-bearing* Solanum *uncovers complex evolutionary history and targets of domestication in the cultivated potato*,.

**Horai, H., Arita, M., Kanaya, S., et al.** (2010) MassBank: A public repository for sharing mass spectral data for life sciences. *J. Mass Spectrom.*, **45**, 703–714.

**Hu, L., Robert, C.A.M., Cadot, S., et al.** (2018) Root exudate metabolites drive plant-soil feedbacks on growth and defense by shaping the rhizosphere microbiota. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 1–13.

**Huamán, Z., Ortiz, R. and Gómez, R.** (2000) Selecting a Solanum tuberosum subsp. andigena core collection using morphological, geographical, disease and pest descriptors. *Am. J. Potato Res.*, **77**, 183–190.

**Jacoby, R.P. and Kopriva, S.** (2019) Metabolic niches in the rhizosphere microbiome: new tools and approaches to analyse metabolic mechanisms of plant–microbe nutrient exchange. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **70**, 1087–1094.

**Jansky, S.H., Dawson, J. and Spooner, D.M.** (2015) How do we address the disconnect between genetic and morphological diversity in germplasm collections? *Am. J. Bot.*, **102**, 1213–1215.

**Kennedy, G., Raneri, J.E., Stoian, D., et al.** (2019) Roots, Tubers and Bananas: Contributions to Food Security. In *Encyclopedia of Food Security and Sustainability*. Elsevier, pp. 231–256.

**Kim, J.-H., Chung, I.K. and Kim, K.-M.** (2017) Construction of a genetic map using EST-SSR markers and QTL analysis of major agronomic characters in hexaploid sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam). *PLoS One*, **12**, e0185073.

**Kopka, J., Schauer, N., Krueger, S., et al.** (2005) GMD@CSB.DB: The Golm metabolome database. *Bioinformatics*, **21**, 1635–1638.

**Kruger, N.J. and Ratcliffe, R.G.** (2015) Fluxes through plant metabolic networks: measurements, predictions, insights and challenges. *Biochem. J.*, **465**, 27–38.

**Kumar, R., Bohra, A., Pandey, A.K., Pandey, M.K. and Kumar, A.** (2017) Metabolomics for Plant Improvement: Status and Prospects. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **8**, 1–27.

**Kundapura Venkataramana, R., Hastantram Sampangi-Ramaiah, M., Ajitha, R., N. Khadke, G. and Chellam, V.** (2015) Insights into Musa balbisiana and Musa acuminata species divergence and development of genic microsatellites by transcriptomics approach. *Plant Gene*, **4**, 78–82.

**Li, B., Zhang, Y., Mohammadi, S.A., Huai, D., Zhou, Y. and Kliebenstein, D.J.** (2016) An Integrative Genetic Study of Rice Metabolism, Growth and Stochastic Variation Reveals Potential C/N Partitioning Loci. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 1–13.

**Li, M., Yang, S., Xu, W., et al.** (2019) The wild sweetpotato (Ipomoea trifida) genome provides insights into storage root development. *BMC Plant Biol.*, **19**, 119.

**Li, T., Blande, J.D. and Holopainen, J.K.** (2016) Atmospheric transformation of plant volatiles disrupts host plant finding. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 33851.

**Luca, V. De, Salim, V., Atsumi, S.M., et al.** (2012) Mining the Biodiversity of Plants: A Revolution in the Making. *Science*, **336**, 1658–1661.

**Mahieu, N.G. and Patti, G.J.** (2017) Systems-Level Annotation of a Metabolomics Data Set Reduces 25 000 Features to Fewer than 1000 Unique Metabolites. *Anal. Chem.*, **89**, 10397–10406.

**Misra, B.B., Langefeld, C., Olivier, M. and Cox, L.A.** (2019) Integrated omics: tools, advances and future approaches. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.*, R21–R45.

**Monden, Y. and Tahara, M.** (2017) Genetic linkage analysis using DNA markers in sweetpotato. *Breed. Sci.*, **67**, 41–51.

**Muñoz-Rodríguez, P., Carruthers, T., Wood, J.R.I., et al.** (2018) Reconciling Conflicting Phylogenies in the Origin of Sweet Potato and Dispersal to Polynesia. *Curr. Biol.*, **28**, 1246-1256.e12.

**Němečková, A., Christelová, P., Čížková, J., et al.** (2018) Molecular and Cytogenetic Study of East African Highland Banana. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **9**, 1–13.

**Nogueira, M., Mora, L., Enfissi, E.M.A., Bramley, P.M. and Fraser, P.D.** (2013) Subchromoplast Sequestration of Carotenoids Affects Regulatory Mechanisms in Tomato Lines Expressing Different Carotenoid Gene Combinations. *Plant Cell*, **25**, 4560–4579.

**Nyine, M., Uwimana, B., Swennen, R., Batte, M., Brown, A., Christelová, P., Hribová, E., Lorenzen, J. and Doleziel, J.** (2017) Trait variation and genetic diversity in a banana genomic selection training population. *PLoS One*, **12**, 1–23.

**Oliveira, E.J., Filho, O.S.O. and Santos, V.S.** (2015) Classification of cassava genotypes based on qualitative and quantitative data. *Genet. Mol. Res.*, **14**, 906–924.

**Perez-Fons, L., Wells, T., Corol, D.I., Ward, J.L., Gerrish, C., Beale, M.H., Seymour, G.B., Bramley, P.M. and Fraser, P.D.** (2014) A genome-wide metabolomic resource for tomato fruit from Solanum pennellii. *Sci. Rep.*, **4**, 3859.

**Pinu, F.R., Beale, D.J., Paten, A.M., Kouremenos, K., Swarup, S., Schirra, H.J. and Wishart, D.** (2019) Systems Biology and Multi-Omics Integration: Viewpoints from the Metabolomics Research Community. *Metabolites*, **9**, 76.

**Price, E.J., Bhattacharjee, R., Lopez-Montes, A. and Fraser, P.D.** (2017) Metabolite profiling of yam (Dioscorea spp.) accessions for use in crop improvement programmes. *Metabolomics*, **13**, 144.

**Price, E.J., Wilkin, P., Sarasan, V. and Fraser, P.D.** (2016) Metabolite profiling of Dioscorea (yam) species reveals underutilised biodiversity and renewable sources for high-value compounds. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 29136.

**Price, E.J.E.J., Bhattacharjee, R., Lopez-Montes, A. and Fraser, P.D.P.D.P.D.** (2018) Carotenoid profiling of yams: Clarity, comparisons and diversity. *Food Chem.*, **259**, 130–138.

**Puzanskiy, R.K., Yemelyanov, V.V., Gavrilenko, T.A. and Shishova, M.F.** (2017) The perspectives of metabolomic studies of potato plants. *Russ. J. Genet. Appl. Res.*, **7**, 744–756.

**Rahajeng, W. and Rahayuningsih, S.A.** (2017) Agronomic performance, variance component, and diversity of sixty-two sweet potato accessions. *Biodiversitas, J. Biol. Divers.*, **18**, 95–100.

**Riedelsheimer, C., Czedik-Eysenberg, A., Grieder, C., et al.** (2012) Genomic and metabolic prediction of complex heterotic traits in hybrid maize. *Nat. Genet.*, **44**, 217–220.

**Sanginga, N.** (2015) Root and tuber crops (cassava, yam, potato and sweet potato). In *Feeding Africa: An Action Plan for African Agricultural Transformation*. Duhar, Senegal: African Development Bank Group, pp. 1–26.

**Sarah, G., Homa, F., Pointet, S., et al.** (2017) A large set of 26 new reference transcriptomes dedicated to comparative population genomics in crops and wild relatives. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, **17**, 565–580.

**Sautour, M., Mitaine-Offer, A.-C. and Lacaille-Dubois, M.-A.** (2007) The Dioscorea genus: a review of bioactive steroid saponins. *J. Nat. Med.*, **61**, 91–101.

**Scarcelli, N., Chaïr, H., Causse, S., Vesta, R., Couvreur, T.L.P. and Vigouroux, Y.** (2017) Crop wild relative conservation : Wild yams are not that wild. *Biol. Conserv.*, **210**, 325–333.

**Schwahn, K., Souza, L.P. de, Fernie, A.R. and Tohge, T.** (2014) Metabolomics-assisted refinement of the pathways of steroidal glycoalkaloid biosynthesis in the tomato clade. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.*, **56**, 864–875.

**Scott, G.J., Rosegrant, M.W. and Ringler, C.** (2000) Roots and tubers for the 21st century trends, projections, and policy options. *Food, Agric. Environ. Discuss. Pap.*, 1–64.

**Shannon, P.** (2003) Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.*, **13**, 2498–2504.

**Sharma, S.K. and Bryan, G.J.** (2017) Genome Sequence-Based Marker Development and Genotyping in Potato. In *The Potato Genome*. pp. 307–326.

**Spicer, R., Salek, R.M., Moreno, P., Cañueto, D. and Steinbeck, C.** (2017) Navigating freely-available software tools for metabolomics analysis. *Metabolomics*, **13**, 1–16.

**Sprenger, H., Erban, A., Seddig, S., et al.** (2018) Metabolite and transcript markers for the prediction of potato drought tolerance. *Plant Biotechnol. J.*, **16**, 939–950.

**Tamiru, M., Natsume, S., Takagi, Hiroki, et al.** (2017) Genome sequencing of the staple food crop white Guinea yam enables the development of a molecular marker for sex determination. *BMC Biol.*, **15**, 86.

**Tay, D.** (2013) *Conservation of Tropical Plant Species* M. N. Normah, H. F. Chin, and B. M. Reed, eds., New York, NY: Springer New York.

**Tsugawa, H.** (2018) Advances in computational metabolomics and databases deepen the understanding of metabolisms. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, **54**, 10–17.

**Varshney, R.K., Ribaut, J.M., Buckler, E.S., Tuberosa, R., Rafalski, J.A. and Langridge, P.** (2012) Can genomics boost productivity of orphan crops? *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **30**, 1172–1176.

**Wang, W., Feng, B., Xiao, J., et al.** (2014) Cassava genome from a wild ancestor to cultivated varieties. *Nat. Commun.*, **5**, 5110.

**Ward, J., Rakszegi, M., Bedő, Z., Shewry, P.R. and Mackay, I.** (2015) Differentially penalized regression to predict agronomic traits from metabolites and markers in wheat. *BMC Genet.*, **16**, 19.

**Wehrens, R., Weingart, G. and Mattivi, F.** (2014) metaMS: An open-source pipeline for GC–MS-based untargeted metabolomics. *J. Chromatogr. B*, **966**, 109–116.

**Wei, J., Wang, A., Li, R., Qu, H. and Jia, Z.** (2018) Metabolome-wide association studies for agronomic traits of rice. *Heredity (Edinb).*, **120**, 342–355.

**Wesemael, J. van, Kissel, E., Eyland, D., Lawson, T., Swennen, R. and Carpentier, S.** (2019) Using Growth and Transpiration Phenotyping Under Controlled Conditions to Select Water Efficient Banana Genotypes. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **10**, 1–14.

**Wolfe, M.D., Kulakow, P., Rabbi, I.Y. and Jannink, J.-L.** (2016) Marker-Based Estimates Reveal Significant Nonadditive Effects in Clonally Propagated Cassava ( Manihot esculenta ): Implications for the Prediction of Total Genetic Value and the Selection of Varieties. *G3&amp;#58; Genes|Genomes|Genetics*, **6**, 3497–3506.

**Xu, X., Pan, S., Cheng, S., et al.** (2011) Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature*, **475**, 189–195.

**Xu, Y.** (2016) Envirotyping for deciphering environmental impacts on crop plants. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **129**, 653–673.

**Yang, J., Moeinzadeh, M.-H., Kuhl, H., et al.** (2017) Haplotype-resolved sweet potato genome traces back its hexaploidization history. *Nat. Plants*, **3**, 696–703.

**Zivy, M., Wienkoop, S., Renaut, J., Pinheiro, C., Goulas, E. and Carpentier, S.** (2015) The quest for tolerant varieties: the importance of integrating “omics” techniques to phenotyping. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **6**, 1–11.

**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1.** Production of root, tuber and banana (RTB) crops. Global and continental production of RTB crops highlights their importance as a staple food and livelihood for billions of people especially in Low Income Food Deficit Countries (LIFDCs). Data taken from FAOSTAT (production data for 2017, value data for 2016)(Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2019). World map image modified from www.freevectormaps.com.

**Figure 2.** Workflow of metabolomics analysis established to screen biochemical diversity of root, tuber and banana crops. The use of numerous and complementary analytical platforms provide a more comprehensive coverage of the metabolome and customised libraries specific for each crop reduces matrix effects. Metabolic fingerprint analysis typically takes ~20 min per sample and generates ~10,000 features, with data analysis being ~ 1 h per 100 samples. Library creation is on-going but requires ~20 h per crop prior to implementing automation; inclusive of machine time. Untargeted metabolite profiling takes ~60 min per sample per analytical platform and data analysis plus manual curation takes ~ 10 h per 100 samples.Example statistical visualisations created using SIMCA-P (Umetrics), Metscape (Basu *et al.*, 2017) in Cytoscape (Shannon, 2003) and an in-house pathway mapper, Biosynlab (Royal Holloway University of London).

**Figure 3.** Pie-charts showing total number of annotated compounds in RTB crops following the metabolomic workflow (Figure 2) and displayed (a) per crop and (b) for all RTB crops combined. Colours represent different compound classes and colouration follows the legend clock-wise per each pie-chart.
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