

# **Conformal Anomaly Detection based on Association Rules**

Ilia Nouretdinov, James Gammerman, Daljit Rehal CLRC, Royal Holloway University of London; Centrica, UK

## Abstract

- We propose a novel technique based on a combination of association rule learning and conformal prediction in its Mondrian form.
- As an application, we use data about (anonymised) business customers of a multinational energy company, Centrica plc.
- There are multiple fields in Centrica's SAP database indicating if a customer is an Industrial Corporation or Small/Medium-sized Enterprise. We consider these as labels.
- Often these labels are incorrect or inconsistent across the SAP system, which has a financial impact on the company. The aim of this work is to use machine learning to identify potential errors and propose corrections.

# Data details and preprocessing

- Original dataset: 2.4m rows.
   Sample: First 50,000 records (from ~ 37, 000 companies). All relevant features are categorical.
- Features: abwck, formkey, z-edi-inv, zahlkond, sparte, zz-mbd-flag, zz-mba-flag, zz-mb-flag
- These give information on the customers e.g. payment terms, type of invoice, type of energy (gas vs electricity), microbusiness or not
- After one-hot-encoding to convert the data to a binary representation, the number of features rises from 8 to 43
- Labels: kofiz-sd, kofiz, bpkind, zzcustomer-type These indicate whether an example is assigned as INC or SME, but sometimes contradict each other:
- 1 kofiz-sd: 7% INC, 93% SME
- 2 kofiz: 6% INC, 94% SME
- 3 bpkind: 5% INC, 95% SME
- 4 zzcustomer-type: 7% INC, 93% SME
- We engineer a new feature indicating 'overall label', which is the average of the four labels (Let INC=1, SME=0). Rounding is used where necessary to make it binary.

# Methodology

# Our approach:

 Come up with a set of rules relating features to labels.
 Identify which data examples break these rules the most often, i.e. the most non-conforming examples, using conformal prediction.

- We consider only rules of the following types:
   (+) IF *i*th feature = 1 AND *i*th feature = 1 THEN label=1
   (-) IF *i*th feature = 1 AND *i*th feature = 1 THEN label=0
- A rule is considered valid if the following conditions are met:
- 1) there are at least 20 supporting examples of the features being found together

2) average label over the supporting examples is above 0.8 for (+)-type rules, or below 0.05 for (-)-type rules

 The non-conformity score (NCS) of a data instance is: the number of rules that the instance breaks by having the wrong label

• The **p-value** of a data instance *#i* is:

the number of data instances with the same (rounded) label as #i but with a greater NCS than #i;

i.e. If the p-value is close to 0, the label of that instance is likely to be wrong.

### Results



· Most anomalous examples circled in red - worthy of investigation

#### Interpretation of results

Fragment of investigation report for an individual anomaly:						
[1] "anomalous example number"	'					
[1] 110/						
[1] p-value						
[1] "the features in original	format"					
[1] the reactives in original	TOT mat	formkov		r odi inv		
"EALSE" "7 BT INDIVIDUAL INVOICE"						
zahlkond	1_110101000	snarte		mhd flag		
"7002"		"02"		"Y"		
zz mba flag		zz mb flag				
"X"		"X"				
[1] "the features in binary format"						
· [1] 10101000001000000000000000000000000						
[1] "the labels in original format"						
kofiz_sd kofi	kofiz_sd kofiz		bpkind zzcustomer_type			
"02" "02	2"	"ZSME"	"MU"			
<ol> <li>"the average label (1=INC, 0=SME)"</li> </ol>						
[1] 0.75						
[1] "the non-conformity score"						
[1] 53						
[1] "the rules broken by the e	xample"					
[1] "IF" "abwvk"		"FALSE"	"AND"	"abwvk"		
[8] "FALSE" "THEN Y=SME"	<b>N</b> - 1					
	abwvk		"="			
[4] FALSE	AND		"THEN V-CME"			
[1] "IF" "abank"	"_" INDIV	"CALSE"	"AND"	"a odi inu"		
[1] IF ADWVK		FALSE	AND	2_eur_inv		

This example has 3 out of 4 labels as INC, but the algorithm suggests that it is actually an SME. This has been validated.

# **Conclusions and Future Work**

- We have developed a novel technique combining conformal prediction and association rule mining to detect anomalies and applied it to find possible errors in the database of a large corporation.
- Future directions:

1) Further develop NCM function, such as elimination of the parameters and making p-values more sensitive.

2) Add a prediction step after anomaly detection:
i) To check whether the alternative label is anomalous as well (indicated by a low CP p-value) → shows features may be unreliable
ii) To get a probabilistic (Venn-ABERS) prediction of the label.

3) Use the recently developed **probabilistic input** version of conformal framework, for a deeper analysis of contradictions between labels in the input data.

#### Contact information and acknowledgements

Corresponding authors: Ilia Nouretdinov, <u>i.r.nouretdinov@r.hul.ac.uk</u> James Gammerman, <u>james.Gammerman@c.entrica.com</u>

Work funded by Centrica plc.