

Funcons for HGMP

The Fundamental Constructs of Homogeneous Generative Meta-Programming (Short Paper)

L. Thomas van Binsbergen

Royal Holloway, University of London

6 November, 2018

Reusable Components of Semantic Specifications

Martin Churchill¹, Peter D. Mosses^{2(✉)}, Neil Sculthorpe², and Paolo Torrini²

¹ Google, Inc., London, UK

² PLANCOMPSP Project, Swansea University, Swansea, UK

p.d.mosses@swansea.ac.uk

<http://www.plancomps.org>

- Identifies fundamental constructs in programming (paradigm-agnostic)
- Each funcon is formally defined via MSOS (Mosses, Plotkin)
- An open-ended library of (fixed) funcons makes FUNCONS
- Object language programs are translated to FUNCONS
- Unified meta-language called Component-Based Semantics (CBS)

Modelling Homogeneous Generative Meta-Programming*

Martin Berger¹, Laurence Tratt², and Christian Urban³

1 University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom

2 King's College London, United Kingdom

3 King's College London, United Kingdom

HGMP: programs manipulate meta-representations of program fragments as data and choose when and where to evaluate

- Formalisation of HGMP through a λ -calculus
- A semi-mechanical HGMPification ‘recipe’ applicable to calculi

Research questions

Can we apply the HGMPification recipe to FUNCONS?

What is the coverage of the added constructs?

Can we use them to describe real-world and academic languages?

In this paper we demonstrate

The HGMPification of FUNCONS by introducing funcons for HGMP

The potential of combining existing funcons with funcons for HGMP

A possible semantics of AST constructors in an example language (λ_v)

- ① Introduction to funcons and funcon translations through λ_v
- ② HGMPification of FUNCONS and λ_v
- ③ An example of combining funcons for HGMP with existing funcons

The PLanCompS project

- The PLanCompS project has identified over a hundred funcons
 - Procedural: procedures, references, scoping, iteration
 - Functional: functions, bindings, datatypes, patterns
 - Abnormal control: exceptions, delimited continuations
- A beta-version is available: <https://plancomps.github.io/CBS-beta/>

Example language

$x \in \text{vars} ::= \dots$
 $b \in \text{bools} ::= \dots$
 $i \in \text{ints} ::= \dots$
 $e \in \text{exprs} ::= x$

$| b$
 $| i$
 $| \lambda x.e$
 $| e_1 e_2$
 $| \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$
 $| \text{ite } e_1 e_2 e_3$
 $| \text{this}$
 $| e_1 + e_2$
 $| e_1 \leq e_2$

Translation (1)

$$\text{exprs}[\![b]\!] = \text{bools}[\![b]\!]$$

$$\text{exprs}[\![i]\!] = \text{ints}[\![i]\!]$$

$$\text{exprs}[\![x]\!] = \text{current-value}(\text{bound}(\text{vars}[\![x]\!]))$$

$$\text{exprs}[\![\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2]\!] = \text{let}_{\text{var}}(\text{vars}[\![x]\!], \text{exprs}[\![e_1]\!], \text{exprs}[\![e_2]\!])$$

$$\text{let}_{\text{var}}(x, e_1, e_2) = \text{let}(x, \text{alloc-init}(\text{values}, e_1), e_2)$$

$$\text{let}(x, e_1, e_2) = \text{scope}(\text{bind}(x, e_1), e_2)$$

Translation (1)

$$\text{exprs}[\![b]\!] = \text{bools}[\![b]\!]$$
$$\text{exprs}[\![i]\!] = \text{ints}[\![i]\!]$$
$$\text{exprs}[\![x]\!] = \text{current-value}(\text{bound}(\text{vars}[\![x]\!]))$$
$$\text{exprs}[\![\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2]\!] = \text{let}_{\text{var}}(\text{vars}[\![x]\!], \text{exprs}[\![e_1]\!], \text{exprs}[\![e_2]\!])$$
$$\text{let}_{\text{var}}(x, e_1, e_2) = \text{let}(x, \text{alloc-init}(\text{values}, e_1), e_2)$$
$$\text{let}(x, e_1, e_2) = \text{scope}(\text{bind}(x, e_1), e_2)$$

Example

$$\text{exprs}[\![\text{let } y = 1 \text{ in } y]\!] = \text{scope}(\text{bind}("y", \text{alloc-init}(\text{values}, 1)), "y")$$

Translation (2)

exprs["this"] = **bound**("this")

exprs[$\lambda x.e$] = **function**(**closure**(*let_{var}*(*vars*[x], *given*₁, *let*("this", *given*₂, *exprs*[e])))

exprs[e₁ e₂] = **give**(*exprs*[e₁], **apply**(**given**, **tuple**(*exprs*[e₂], *given*)))

*given*₁ = **first**(**tuple-elements**(**given**))

*given*₂ = **second**(**tuple-elements**(**given**))

Translation (2)

$\text{exprs}[\text{this}] = \text{bound}(\text{"this"})$

$\text{exprs}[\lambda x.e] = \text{function}(\text{closure}(\text{let}_{\text{var}}(\text{vars}[x], \text{given}_1, \text{let}(\text{"this"}, \text{given}_2, \text{exprs}[e]))))$

$\text{exprs}[e_1 \ e_2] = \text{give}(\text{exprs}[e_1], \text{apply}(\text{given}, \text{tuple}(\text{exprs}[e_2], \text{given})))$

$\text{given}_1 = \text{first}(\text{tuple-elements}(\text{given}))$

$\text{given}_2 = \text{second}(\text{tuple-elements}(\text{given}))$

Example

$\text{exprs}[(\lambda y.y) \ 1] = \text{give}(\text{function}(\text{closure}(\text{abs})), \text{apply}(\text{given}, \text{tuple}(1, \text{given})))$

$\text{abs} = \text{scope}(\text{bind}(\text{"y"}, \text{alloc-init}(\text{values}, \text{given}_1)))$

$, \text{scope}(\text{bind}(\text{"this"}, \text{given}_2), \text{body}))$

$\text{body} = \text{current-value}(\text{bound}(\text{"y"}))$

Translation (3)

$\text{exprs}[\text{ite } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3] = \text{if-true-else}(\text{exprs}[e_1], \text{exprs}[e_2], \text{exprs}[e_3])$

$\text{exprs}[e_1 + e_2] = \text{integer-add}(\text{exprs}[e_1], \text{exprs}[e_2])$

$\text{exprs}[e_1 \leq e_2] = \text{integer-is-less-or-equal}(\text{exprs}[e_1], \text{exprs}[e_2])$

- ① Introduction to funcons and funcon translations through λ_v
- ② HGMPification of FUNCONS and λ_v
- ③ An example of combining funcons for HGMP with existing funcons

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs), with \downarrow and \uparrow modelling conversion
- ii) Introduce a compilation phase, modelled by \Rightarrow
- iii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
- iv) Add run-time HGMP constructs

HGMPification

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs), with \downarrow and \uparrow modelling conversion
New types: **tags**, **asts**
- ii) Introduce a compilation phase, modelled by \Rightarrow
- iii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
- iv) Add run-time HGMP constructs

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs), with \downarrow and \uparrow modelling conversion
 - New types: **tags**, **asts**
 - New funcons: **ast**, **astv**
- ii) Introduce a compilation phase, modelled by \Rightarrow
- iii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
- iv) Add run-time HGMP constructs

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs), with \downarrow and \uparrow modelling conversion
New types: **tags**, **asts**
New funcons: **ast**, **astv**
- ii) Introduce a compilation phase, modelled by \Rightarrow
(Run-time semantics of FUNCONS is modelled by \longrightarrow)
- iii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
- iv) Add run-time HGMP constructs

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs), with \downarrow and \uparrow modelling conversion
New types: **tags**, **asts**
New funcons: **ast**, **astv**
- ii) Introduce a compilation phase, modelled by \Rightarrow
(Run-time semantics of FUNCONS is modelled by \longrightarrow)
- iii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
New funcons: **meta-up**, **meta-down**, **meta-let**
- iv) Add run-time HGMP constructs

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs), with \downarrow and \uparrow modelling conversion
New types: **tags**, **asts**
New funcons: **ast**, **astv**
- ii) Introduce a compilation phase, modelled by \Rightarrow
(Run-time semantics of FUNCONS is modelled by \longrightarrow)
- iii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
New funcons: **meta-up**, **meta-down**, **meta-let**
- iv) Add run-time HGMP constructs
New funcons: **eval**

Meta-representations (ASTs)

Let **tags** be the type of funcon names (in our examples, **tags** are **strings**)

- New value constructor **astv**(T, V_1, \dots, V_k) for building ASTs, with
 - If T a type, then $k = 1$ and V_1 some value with $V : T$
 - If T a tag, then V_1, \dots, V_k are **asts**

$$\frac{T : \text{types}}{\mathbf{astv}(T, V) \Downarrow V}$$

$$\frac{T : \text{tags} \quad V_1 \Downarrow X_1 \dots V_k \Downarrow X_k}{\mathbf{astv}(T, V_1, \dots, V_k) \Downarrow \mathbf{funcon}_T(X_1, \dots, X_k)}$$

Dynamic semantics of meta-representations

- New funcon **ast**(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k), with
 - X_0 (evaluates to) a tag or a type
 - X_1, \dots, X_k (evaluate to) a single value or zero or more **asts**

$$\frac{T : \text{types} \quad V : T}{\mathbf{ast}(T, V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{astv}(T, V)}$$

$$\frac{T : \text{tags} \quad V_1 : \mathbf{asts} \dots V_n : \mathbf{asts}}{\mathbf{ast}(T, V_1, \dots, V_n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{astv}(T, V_1, \dots, V_n)}$$

$$\frac{X_i \longrightarrow X'_i}{\mathbf{ast}(X_0, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{ast}(X_0, \dots, X'_i, \dots, X_k)}$$

Funcons for HGMP - Summary

Funcon	Description
meta-up (e_1)	Convert e_1 to its meta-representation
meta-down (e_1) (outside meta-up)	Compile and evaluate e_1 , and splice the resulting AST at this location
meta-down (e_1) (inside meta-up)	Cancels out upwards conversion, potentially leaving a partially evaluated AST
meta-let (x, e_1, e_2)	Bind x to the value of e_1 , making it available to e_2
eval (e_1)	Evaluate e_1 to an AST a , and evaluate the term represented by a

Example (1)

```
eval(scope(bind("x", meta-up(given))
      , meta-up(give(3, meta-down(bound("x"))))))
```

compiles to:

```
eval(scope(bind("x", ast("given"))
      , ast("give", astv(naturals, 3), bound("x"))))
```

which evaluates to 3

Example (2)

```
print(meta-let("x"  
    , astv(integers, read)  
    , meta-down(ast("integer-add", astv(integers, 1), bound("x")))))
```

compiles to:

```
print(integer-add(1, 7))
```

if the user inputs 7 during compilation

HGMPification (completed)

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs), with \downarrow and \uparrow modelling conversion
 - New types: **tags**, **asts**
 - New funcons: **ast**, **astv**
- ii) Introduce a compilation phase, modelled by \Rightarrow
(Run-time semantics of FUNCONS is modelled by \longrightarrow)
- iii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
 - New funcons: **meta-up**, **meta-down**, **meta-let**
- iv) Add run-time HGMP constructs
 - New funcons: **eval**

HGMPification of λ_v

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs)
- ii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
- iii) Add run-time HGMP constructs

Adding HGMP Constructs

```
e ∈  exprs ::= ...  
|  eval e  
|  lift e  
|  let↓ x = e1 in e2  
|  ↓{e}  
|  ↑{e}
```

$$\textit{exprs}[\textbf{eval } e] = \textbf{eval}(\textit{exprs}[e])$$

$$\textit{exprs}[\textbf{lift } e] = \textbf{ast}(\textbf{values}, \textit{exprs}[e])$$

$$\textit{exprs}[\textbf{let}_\downarrow x = e_1 \textbf{in} e_2] = \textbf{meta-let}(\textit{vars}[x], \textit{exprs}[e_1], \textit{exprs}[e_2])$$

$$\textit{exprs}[\downarrow\{e\}] = \textbf{meta-down}(\textit{exprs}[e])$$

$$\textit{exprs}[\uparrow\{e\}] = \textbf{meta-up}(\textit{exprs}[e])$$

HGMPification of λ_v

- i) Add meta-representations (ASTs)
- ii) Add compile-time HGMP constructs
- iii) Add run-time HGMP constructs

Adding AST Constructors

Recall translation of application:

$$\text{exprs}[\![e_1 \ e_2]\!] = \mathbf{give}(\text{exprs}[\!e_1\!], \mathbf{apply}(\mathbf{given}, \mathbf{tuple}(\text{exprs}[\!e_2\!], \mathbf{given})))$$

How do we translate the AST constructor for application?

$$\text{exprs}[\![\mathbf{ast}_{app}(e_1, e_2)]\!] = \mathbf{ast}("give", \text{exprs}[\!M\!], \mathbf{ast}("apply", \dots))$$

We have duplicated the translation of application...

Homomorphic Translations

A *funcon-translation* Ψ is homomorphic if for each object language operator o we have an f_o such that:

$$\Psi(o(M_1, \dots, M_k)) = f_o(\Psi(M_1), \dots, \Psi(M_k))$$

We can write the translation of application as follows:

$$\text{exprs}[\![e_1\ e_2]\!] = f_{app}(\text{exprs}[\![e_1]\!], \text{exprs}[\![e_2]\!])$$

$$f_{app}(M, N) = \mathbf{give}(M, \mathbf{apply}(\mathbf{given}, \mathbf{tuple}(N, \mathbf{given})))$$

and the translation of the AST constructor as follows:

$$\text{exprs}[\![\mathbf{ast}_{app}(e_1, e_2)]\!] = \mathbf{meta-up}(f_{app}(\mathbf{meta-down}(\text{exprs}[\![e_1]\!]), \mathbf{meta-down}(\text{exprs}[\![e_2]\!])))$$

- ① Introduction to funcons and funcon translations through λ_v
- ② HGMPification of FUNCONS and λ_v
- ③ An example of combining funcons for HGMP with existing funcons

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Value

```
let fib =  $\lambda n.$ ite ( $n \leq 2$ ) 1 (this( $n + (-2)$ ) + this( $n + (-1)$ ))  
in let double =  $\lambda n.$   $n + n$   
in double (fib 7)
```

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Value

```
let fib =  $\lambda n.$ ite ( $n \leq 2$ ) 1 (this( $n + (-2)$ ) + this( $n + (-1)$ ))  
in let double =  $\lambda n.$  13 + 13  
in double (fib 7)
```

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Value

```
let fib =  $\lambda n.$ ite ( $n \leq 2$ ) 1 (this( $n + (-2)$ ) + this( $n + (-1)$ ))  
in let double =  $\lambda n.$  13 + 13  
in double ( $\uparrow\{fib\}$  7)
```

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Value

```
let fib =  $\lambda n.$ ite ( $n \leq 2$ ) 1 (this( $n + (-2)$ ) + this( $n + (-1)$ ))  
in let double =  $\lambda n.$   $n + n$   
in double ( $\uparrow\{fib\}$  7)
```

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Value

```
let fib =  $\lambda n.$ ite ( $n \leq 2$ ) 1 (this( $n + (-2)$ ) + this( $n + (-1)$ ))  
in let double =  $\lambda n.$  eval  $n + \text{eval } n$   
in double ( $\uparrow\{fib\} 7$ )
```

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Name

```
let fib =  $\lambda n.$ ite ( $n \leq 2$ ) 1 (this( $n + (-2)$ ) + this( $n + (-1)$ ))  
in let double =  $\lambda n.$  eval  $n + \text{eval } n$   
in double ( $\uparrow\{fib\} 7$ )
```

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Name + Sharing

```
let fib =  $\lambda n.$ ite ( $n \leq 2$ ) 1 (this( $n + (-2)$ ) + this( $n + (-1)$ ))  
in let double =  $\lambda n.$  !x + !x  
in double ( $\uparrow\{fib\}$  7)
```

Delayed Arguments

Call-by-Name + Sharing

```
let fib = λn.ite (n ≤ 2) 1 (this(n + (-2)) + this(n + (-1)))
in let double = λn. !x + !x
    in double (↑{fib 7})
```

Sharing Construct

$$e \in \text{exprs} ::= \dots \\ | \quad !x$$

$\text{exprs}[\![!x]\!] = \text{give}(\text{eval}(\text{current-value}(\text{bound}(\text{vars}[x]))))$
 $, \text{seq}(\text{assign}(\text{bound}(\text{vars}[x])), \text{ast}(\text{values}, \text{given})), \text{given}))$

Conclusions

- Applying HGMPification to FUNCONS is relatively straightforward (details in paper)
- Adding object language ASTs risk duplication; solvable in homomorphic translations
- Potential benefits:
 - Widen the scope of the funcon approach to include HGMP languages
 - New method to formalise behaviour of programs in HGMP languages

Future work

Component-Based Semantics (CBS)

- More funcons: concurrency, non-determinism
- Static semantics of funcons

Funcons for Meta-programming

- Extend λ_v with pattern matching
- Integrate ideas of this paper into CBS
- Run-time version of **meta-up**, i.e. run-time quotation
- Extend OCaml Light semantics with MetaOCaml constructs
- Further case studies to investigate coverage of funcons for HGMP

Funcons for HGMP

The Fundamental Constructs of Homogeneous Generative Meta-Programming (Short Paper)

L. Thomas van Binsbergen

Royal Holloway, University of London

6 November, 2018