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## Table T.1.1.1a: Yields

Bread wheat, ancient and Medieval

| Source ${ }^{1}$ | Sowing rate | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield less seed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fold | modii/iugerum | bushel/acre ${ }^{2}$ | 1/ha | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}^{3}$ | kg/ha |
| Varro | 5 modii/iugerum [128kg/ha] | 10-15 | 50-75 |  | 1712-2568 | 1284-1926 | 1156-1798 |
| Columella | 5 modii/iugerum [128kg/ha] | 4 | 20 |  | 685 | 514 | 385 |
| Cicero | 6 modii/iugerum [154kg/ha] ${ }^{4}$ | 8-10 fold | 48-60 |  | 1644-2055 | 1233-1541 | 1079-1387 |
| Hosebonderie [return by fold] | 2-2.5bushels/acre [131-163kg/ha] | 5 |  | 10-12.5 | 871-1088 | 653-816 | 490-686 |
| Hosebonderie [return in bu] |  |  |  | 11 | 958 | 718 | 555-588 |
| Walter of Henley |  |  |  | 10 | 871 | 653 | 490-522 |
| Winchester manors | 2-2.5bushels/acre [131-163kg/ha] | 4.22 |  | 8.44-10.55 | 735-919 | 551-689 | 420-526 |
| Battle manors | 3bushels/acre [196kg/ha] | 4.2 |  | 12.6 | 1097 | 823 | 627 |
| Range |  |  |  |  |  |  | 385-1798 |

[^0]Table T.1.1.1b: Yields

## Barley ancient \& Medieval

| Source | Sowing rate | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield less seed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fold | modii/iugerum | bushel/acre ${ }^{5}$ | 1/ha | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}{ }^{6}$ | kg/ha |
| Varro | 6 modii/iugerum [132kg/ha] | 10-15 | 60-90 |  | 2055-3082 | 1315-1973 | 1184-1841 |
| Columella | 5-6 modii/iugerum [110-132kg/ha] | 4 | 20-24 |  | 685-822 | 438-526 | 328-395 |
| P. Colt 82 | 5-6 modii/iugerum [110-132kg/ha] | 8-8.7 | 40-52.2 |  | 1370-1788 | 877-1144 | 767-1012 |
| Hosebonderie | 4bushels/acre [ $223 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ] | 8 |  | 32 | 2786 | 1783 | 1560 |
| Winchester manors | 4bushels/acre [ $223 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ] | 4.22 |  | 16.88 | 1470 | 941 | 718 |
| Battle manors | 6bushels/acre [ $334 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ] | 3.56 |  | 21.36 | 1860 | 1190 | 856 |
| Range |  |  |  |  |  |  | 328-1841 |

[^1]
## Table T.1.1.1c: Yields

## Emmer ancient, Medieval and by experiment (Butser)

| Source | Sowing rate | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield | Yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Durum Wheat

| Varro | 5 modii/iugerum [130kg/ha] | 10-15 | 50-75 | 1712-2569 | 1301-1952 | 1171-1822 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columella | 5 modii/iugerum [130kg/ha] | 4 | 20 | 685 | 521 | 390 |
| Cicero | 6 modii/iugerum [156kg/ha] ${ }^{8}$ | 8-10 fold | 48-60 | 1644-2055 | 1249-1562 | 1093-1406 |
| P. Colt 82 | 5 modii/iugerum [130kg/ha] ${ }^{9}$ | 6.7-7.2 | 33.5-36 | 1147-1233 | 872-937 | 742-807 |
| Range |  |  |  |  |  | 390-1822 |

Millet
Columella $\quad 0.25-03125$ modii/iugerum $[5.82-7.28 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}]$

Modern return on Columella's sowing

[^2]Table T.1.1.2: Land area required by unit type

| Cereal type | Yield | legio <br> 6059 men | ala milliaria <br> 936 men | ala <br> 624 men | cohors <br> equitata <br> milliaria <br> 1204 men | cohors <br> equitata <br> 693 men | cohors <br> milliaria <br> 910 men |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bread wheat | $385 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 4647 ha | 718 ha | 479 ha | 923 ha | 531 ha | cohors <br> 546 men |  |
| Durum wheat | $390 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 4588 ha | 709 ha | 472 ha | 912 ha | 525 ha | 689 ha | 418 ha |
| Emmer | $514 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 3481 ha | 538 ha | 358 ha | 692 ha | 398 ha | 523 ha | 313 ha |
| Millet | $285 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 6278 ha | 970 | 647 ha | 1247 ha | 718 ha | 943 ha | 565 ha |
| Barley | $395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 333 ha | 1774 ha | 1183 ha | 554 ha | 277 ha |  |  |
| Hay | $1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 263 ha | 1402 ha | 934 ha | 438 ha | 219 ha |  |  |

Table T.2.1.1: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison and farmers - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallow at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at <br> 0.809 kg per day for <br> garrison and their <br>  <br> 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry <br> pasture <br> 5 kg a day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 年 |  |  |

[^3]Table T.3.2.1a: Agricultural needs of Novae garrison, service providers and farmers - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallow at midrange yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, 2.5 kg barley horse | Arable need at 0.5663 kg per day for service providers and farm labourers | Cavalry pasture | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{12}$ | Pasture need for meat at 0.07 kg per day for service providers and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6059 legionaries } \\ & +41 \text { auxiliaries } \\ & 6100 \\ & \text { garrison } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9357ha |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 222,650 \mathrm{~kg}=4706 \mathrm{ha} \\ 65 \% & 144,722.5 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }= \\ 29 \% & 64,568.5 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ 5 \% & 11,132.5 \mathrm{ha} \\ 56 \mathrm{hg} \text { mutton }=278 \mathrm{ha} \end{array}$ |  |
| 144 horse | 665ha |  | 263ha |  |  |
| Garrison needs | 10,022ha ${ }^{\text {13 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2244 <br> labourers for garrison | 3442ha |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 81,906 \mathrm{~kg}=1731 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 65 \% ~ 53,239 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }= \\ & 29 \% \\ & 23,753 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ & 5 \% \\ & 5,095 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 32 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 7520 service providers |  | 8075ha ${ }^{14}$ |  |  |  |
| $1639$ <br> labourers for service providers |  | 1760ha |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 41,876 \mathrm{~kg}=885 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 65 \% \quad 27,220 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=817 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 12,144 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 16 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 2094 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=52 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals | 13,464ha | 9835ha | 263ha | 6437.4ha | 4946ha |
|  | 23,299ha |  | 263ha | 11,384ha |  |
|  | 34,946ha |  |  |  |  |

[^4]Table T.3.2.1b Agricultural needs of Novae garrison, service providers, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallow at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, 2.5 kg barley horse | Arable need at 0.5663 kg per day for service providers and farm labourers | Cavalry pasture | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{15}$ | Pasture need for meat at 0.07 kg per day for service providers and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6059 legionaries +41 auxiliaries 6100 garrison | 9357ha |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 222,650 \mathrm{~kg}=4706 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 65 \% \quad 144,722.5 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=4342 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 64,568.5 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=886 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 11,132.5 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=278 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 144 horse | 665ha |  | 263ha |  |  |
| Garrison needs | 10,022ha ${ }^{16}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3418 <br> labourers for garrison 13,673 people | 10,486ha |  |  | $\begin{array}{rl} 249,514 \mathrm{~kg}=5274 \mathrm{ha} \\ 65 \% & 162,184 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }= \\ 29 \% & 72,359 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ 5 \% & 12,476 \mathrm{ha} \\ 96 \mathrm{ha} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 7520 service providers |  | $8075 \mathrm{ha}^{17}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 192,136 \mathrm{~kg}=4061 \mathrm{ha} \\ 65 \% & 124,888 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }= \\ 2747 \mathrm{ha} \\ 29 \% & 55,719 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ 5 \% & 96 \mathrm{ha} \\ 5 \% & 9607 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=240 \mathrm{ha} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2096 <br> labourers for service providers 8384 people |  | 4501ha |  |  | $\begin{array}{rrr} 107,106 \mathrm{~kg}=2264 \mathrm{ha} \\ 65 \% & 69,619 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }= & 2089 \mathrm{ha} \\ 29 \% & 31,061 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= & 41 \mathrm{ha} \\ 5 \% & 5355 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=134 \mathrm{ha} \end{array}$ |
| Totals 29,577 civilians | 20,508ha | 12,576ha | 263ha | 9980ha | 6325ha |
|  | 33,084ha |  | 263ha | 16,305ha |  |
|  | 49,652ha |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Table T.3.3.1a: Agricultural needs of Dobrogea garrison, service providers and farmers - with 6 ha landholding and alternate fallow at midrange yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at <br> 0.809 kg per day for <br> garrison and their <br> farm labourers, 2.5kg <br> barley horse | Arable need at <br> 0.5663kg per day <br> for service <br> providers and <br> farm labourers |  | Cavalry <br> pasture | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for <br> garrison and their farm labourers by meat <br> type beef, pork and mutton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12,827 garrison | $19,676 \mathrm{ha}$ |  | Pasture need for meat at 0.07kg per <br> day for service providers and their <br> farm labourers by meat type beef, pork <br> and mutton |  |  |

[^6]Table T.3.3.1b: Agricultural needs of Dobrogea garrison, service providers, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallow at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at <br> 0.809 kg per day for <br> garrison and their <br> farm labourers, 2.5 kg <br> barley horse | Arable need at <br> 0.5663 kg per day <br> for service <br> providers and <br> farm labourers |  | Cavalry <br> pasture | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for <br> garrison and their farm labourers by meat <br> type beef, pork and mutton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12,827 garrison | $19,676 \mathrm{ha}$ |  | Pasture need for meat at 0.07kg per <br> day for service providers and their <br> farm labourers by meat type beef, pork <br> and mutton |  |  |

[^7]Table T.3.3.2a: Agricultural needs of poleis - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallow at mid-range yields $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at <br> 0.5663 kg per day for <br> service providers and <br> their farm labourers |
| :--- | :--- |
| 30,000 urban <br> dwellers | $32,213 \mathrm{ha}{ }^{24}$ |
| 6539 labourers for <br> poleis -1500 urban <br> dwelling farmers <br> $=5039$ labourers | 5411 ha |
| Total | $37,624 \mathrm{ha}$ |

Table T.3.3.2b: Arable needs of poleis with farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallow at mid-range yields $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at <br> 0.5663 kg per day for <br> service providers and <br> their farm labourers |
| :--- | :--- |
| 30,000 urban <br> dwellers | $32,213 \mathrm{ha}^{25}$ |
| 8382 labourers for <br> poleis -1500 urban <br> dwelling farmers <br> $=6862$ labourers | 7368 ha |
| Total | $39,581 \mathrm{ha}$ |

[^8]Table T.3.3.3: Distribution of positively located cIMeC sites against soil type ${ }^{26}$

| Type | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{No}$ | \% of located sites | Land area $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | \% of land | E | O-E | $\frac{(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}}{\mathrm{E}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A = Alluvial | 14 | 5.79 | 312 | 2.84 | 6.86 | 7.14 | 7.43 |
| SA = Alluvial soil | 7 | 2.89 | 135 | 1.23 | 2.97 | 4.03 | 5.47 |
| $\mathrm{BP}=$ Brown lessive | 0 | n/a | 189 | 1.72 | 4.16 | -4.16 | 4.16 |
| CA = Alluvial chernozems | 0 | n/a | 7 | 0.06 | 0.15 | -0.15 | 0.15 |
| $\mathrm{Ck}=$ Calcareous chernozems | 53 | 21.9 | 3623 | 32.92 | 79.67 | -26.67 | 8.93 |
| CLm = Moderately leached chernozems | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.11 | 0.26 | - 0.26 | 0.26 |
| CLs = Slightly leached chernozems | 10 | 4.13 | 519 | 4.72 | 11.41 | - 1.41 | 0.17 |
| CLuN = Sandy leached chernozems | 2 | 0.83 | 4 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 1.91 | 40.53 |
| Cn = Chernozems | 21 | 8.68 | 1473 | 13.38 | 32.39 | -11.39 | 4.01 |
| $\mathrm{CNi}=$ Dark grey leached chernozems | 12 | 4.96 | 474 | 4.31 | 10.42 | 1.58 | 0.24 |
| CNn = Typical grey | 0 | n/a | 117 | 1.06 | 2.57 | -2.57 | 2.57 |
| CV = Compacted clayey chernozems | 0 | n/a | 41 | 0.37 | 0.90 | -0.90 | 0.90 |
| $\mathrm{L}=$ Humic grey | 0 | n/a | 27 | 0.25 | 0.59 | -0.59 | 0.59 |
| LA = Alluvial humic | 0 | n/a | 82 | 0.75 | 1.80 | -1.80 | 1.80 |
| LS = Lithosols \& rock | 6 | 2.48 | 349 | 3.17 | 7.67 | -1.67 | 0.36 |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ Weakly developed sandy soil | 3 | 1.24 | 110 | 1.00 | 2.42 | 0.58 | 0.13 |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ Rendzinas | 14 | 5.79 | 375 | 3.41 | 8.25 | 5.75 | 4.01 |
| RS = Rhegosols | 37 | 15.29 | 649 | 5.90 | 14.27 | 22.73 | 36.21 |
| $\mathrm{SBi}=$ Dark chestnuts | 18 | 7.44 | 1078 | 9.80 | 23.71 | - 5.71 | 1.38 |
| SBn = Typical chestnuts | 38 | 15.70 | 944 | 8.58 | 20.76 | 17.24 | 14.31 |
| SC = Solenchalks | 0 | n/a | 47 | 0.43 | 1.03 | -1.03 | 1.03 |
| $\mathrm{X}=$ Forest chestnuts | 7 | 2.89 | 438 | 3.98 | 9.63 | -2.63 | 0.72 |
| Total | 242 | 100\% | 11005 | 100\% | 242 |  | 135.36 |
| $\mathrm{Z}=$ Inland water |  |  | 483 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\chi^{2}$ Critical value for $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ with 21 degrees of freedom $=32.671$ |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{2}=135.36=$ Significant |  |

[^9]Table T.3.3.4: Distribution of tumuli buffers against soil type

| Type | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{No}$ | \% of tumuli | Land Area | \% of land | $\mathrm{E}^{27}$ | Density per km ${ }^{2}$ | O-E | $\frac{(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E})^{2}}{\mathrm{E}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ck}=$ Calcareous chernozems | 911 | 40.52 | $1,672 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 38.44 | 864 | 0.544 | 47 | 2.56 |
| $\mathrm{Cn}=$ Chernozems | 577 | 25.67 | $1,119 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 25.72 | 578 | 0.515 | -1 | 0.0002 |
| Cls = Slightly leached chernozems | 267 | 11.88 | $312 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 7.17 | 161 | 0.85 | 106 | 69.78 |
| CV = Compacted clayey and leached chernozems | 46 | 2.04 | $33 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 0.76 | 17 | 1.39 | 29 | 49.47 |
| $\mathrm{CNn}=$ Typical grey | 21 | 0.93 | $35 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 0.8 | 18 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{CNi}=$ Dark grey \& degraded leached chernozems | 11 | 0.49 | $28 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 0.64 | 14 | 0.39 | -3 | 0.64 |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ Rendzinas | 81 | 3.6 | $125 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 2.87 | 64 | 0.65 | 17 | 4.52 |
| $\mathrm{SBi}=$ Dark chestnuts | 119 | 5.29 | $234 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 5.38 | 121 | 0.51 | -2 | 0.33 |
| $\mathrm{SBn}=$ Typical chestnuts | 17 | 0.76 | $87 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 2 | 45 | 0.19 | -28 | 17.42 |
| $\mathrm{X}=$ Forest chestnuts | 81 | 3.6 | $237 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 5.44 | 122 | 0.34 | -41 | 13.78 |
| RS = Rhegosols | 98 | 4.36 | $310 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 7.12 | 160 | 0.31 | -62 | 24.1 |
| SA = Alluvial soil | 19 | 0.85 | $59 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 1.36 | 30 | 0.32 | -12 | 4.03 |
| $\mathrm{Z}=$ Inland water | 0 | 0 | $115 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 2.59 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 2248 | 100 | $4350 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 100 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave }= \\ & 0.52 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 187.13 |
|  | $\chi^{2}$ Critical value for $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ with 11 degrees of freedom $=19.675$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{2}=187.13=$ significant |  |

[^10]Table T.4.3.1: Vehicular requirements for overland supply of wine to Novae


Table T.4.3.2: Vehicular requirements for overland supply of wine to Dobrogea

| Site \& need | Vehicles | Distance from nearest port | Travelling days (return journey) | Feed req | Loads (need/(350feed) | vehicles $p a$ ((loads x days)/300) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Durostorum 1515 men $414,731 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 120km from Tomis \& Callatis | 10 | 45 kg | 1360 | 45 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 7.5 | 33.75 kg | 1311 | 33 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 5 | 80 kg | 1536 | 25.5 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 5 | 80kg | 864 | 14.5 |
| Sucidava 347 men $94,991 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 94km from <br> Tomis | 8 | 36 kg | 303 | 8 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 6 | 27 kg | 294 | 6 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 4 | 64 kg | 332 | 4.5 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 4 | 64 kg | 192 | 2.5 |
| Tropaeum Traiani 619 men $169,451 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 60km from Tomis | 5 | 22.5 kg | 517 | 9 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 4 | 18 kg | 510 | 7 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 2.5 | 40 kg | 546 | 4.5 |
|  | 50 km trains |  | 2.5 | 40 kg | 332 | 3 |
| Sacidava 273 men <br> $74,734 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 76 km from Tomis | 7 | 31.5 kg | 235 | 5.5 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 5 | 22.5 kg | 228 | 4 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 3 | 48 kg | 247 | 2.5 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 3 | 48 kg | 146 | 1.5 |
| Axiopolis 400 men $109,500 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 57 km from Tomis | 5 | 22.5 kg | 334 | 5.5 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 3.5 | 15.75 kg | 328 | 4 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 2 | 32 kg | 344 | 2.5 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 2 | 32 kg | 207 | 1.5 |
| Capidava 546 men $149,468 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 58km from Histria | 5 | 22.5 kg | 456 | 7.5 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 3.5 | 15.75 kg | 447 | 5 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 2 | 32 kg | 470 | 3 |
|  | 50 km trains |  | 2 | 32 kg | 283 | 2 |
| Carsium 624 men$170,820 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 69 km from Histria | 6 | 27 kg | 529 | 10.5 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 4.5 | 20.25 kg | 518 | 8 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 3 | 48 kg | 566 | 5.5 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 3 | 48 kg | 334 | 3.5 |
| Cius347 men94.991 kg | 23 km wags | 78km from Histria | 7 | 31.5 kg | 298 | 7 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 5 | 22.5 kg | 290 | 5 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 3 | 48 kg | 315 | 3 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 3 | 48 kg | 186 | 2 |
| Troesmis6059 men$1,658,651 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 30km from Noviodunum | 3 | 13.5 kg | 4929 | 49 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 2 | 9 kg | 4864 | 32.5 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 2 | 32 kg | 5216 | 35 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 2 | 32 kg | 3141 | 21 |
| Arrubium 624 men $170,820 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 23 km wags | 44km from Noviodunum | 4 | 18 kg | 515 | 7 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 3 | 13.5 kg | 507 | 5 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 2 | 32 kg | 537 | 3.5 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 2 | 32 kg | 324 | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (Barboşi) } \\ & 137 \mathrm{men} \\ & 37,504 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | 23 km wags | 45 km from Noviodunum | 4 | 18 kg | 113 | 1.5 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 3 | 13.5 kg | 111 | 1 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 2 | 32 kg | 117 | 1 |
|  | 50 km trains |  | 2 | 32 kg | 71 | 0.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dinogetia } \\ & 136 \mathrm{men} \\ & 37,230 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | 23 km wags | 30km from Noviodunum | 3 | 13.5 kg | 111 | 1 |
|  | 32 km wags |  | 2 | 9 kg | 109 | 1 |
|  | 50 km wags |  | 2 | 32 kg | 117 | 1 |
|  | 50km trains |  | 2 | 32 kg | 71 | 0.5 |
| Noviodunum 1200 men $328,500 \mathrm{~kg}$ | No vehicles required |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total cargo $4,681,855 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  | 23 km wags, 11 50km wags, | 32km wags, 50 km trains |

## Catalogue of Sites in Dobrogea

| limes facing sites |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Details |  |
| FID | cIMeC code | Classification | Location | Silistra |

[^11]|  |  |  |  | indicator of habitation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 62495.02 | individual site | Oltina | Tumuli around village. |
| 16 | 61078.01 | individual site | Baneasa | Within village. |
| 17 | 61078.03 | individual site | Baneasa | 1 km WNW of village far enough from 61078.01 to suggest separate habitation. |
| 18 | 61032.01 | individual site | Dunăreni | 'Bracta' between right back of Danube and Lake Dunăreni. |
| 19 | 61032.02 | vicus type | Dunăreni | Military vicus alongside military fort of Sacidava on Muzait hill 5 km to NE of Dunăreni. |
| 20 | 61032.06 | fort | Dunăreni | Sacidava camp on Muzait hill north of Lake Vederoasa, 5 km NE of Dunăreni. |
| 21 | 61014.10 | individual site | Aliman | W bank Lake Vederoasa. |
| 22 | 61014.01 | individual site | Aliman | Tumuli around commune cf 61014.05 tomb within village. |
| 23 | 61014.09 | individual site | Aliman | 4.5 km SE of Aliman, Adâncata II at Adâncata = old village abandoned in 1977 in Poluci valley. |
| 24 | 61041.01 | individual site | Floriile | Within and SW of village. |
| 25 | 60918.01 | individual site | Hateg | Towards lake Bacui. |
| 26 | 62002.02 | individual site | Raristea | Within village. |
| 27 | 60927.01 | vicus type | Urluia | Unnamed kome in Poluci valley 3.3 km north of Urluia on plateau alongside irrigation canal, $60927.02=$ undated tumuli nearby. |
| 28 |  | villa |  | Senatorial latifundia of L. Aelius Marcianus suggested by CIL 3.12463. |
| 29 | 60892.08, et al | vicus type | Adamclisi | $60892.08=$ the municipal site, $60892.22=$ site to the east, $60892.02=$ monument, $60892.10=$ baths to west of city, $60892.02,60892.03$, $60892.0460892 .24=$ tombs and tumuli about site, 60892.01, 60892.12 60892.13 = aqueducts to N, NW and SE of town respectively. |
| 30 |  | fort | Adamclisi | Military site assumed but not located. |
| 31 | 61782.01 | individual site | Pădureni | Within village. |
| 32 |  | vicus type | Cetatea | Findspot of boundary marker reporting civitas Ausdecensium. |
| 33 | 60728.04 | individual site | Şipotele | No location given. |
| 34 | 61693.04 | individual site | Petroşani | 1.5 km NW of village. |
| 35 | 61693.01 | individual site | Petroşani | Within village to E. |
| 36 | 61700.01 | villa | Pietreni [com Deleni] | At IAS Pietrani to E of village, $c f 61700.02$ tumuli around commune. |
| 37 | 61906.02 | individual site | Fântâna Mare [Constanța County] | Significant clusters of tumuli around the commune. |


| 38 |  | individual site | Independența | Significant clusters of tumuli around the commune. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 61354.02 | individual site | Negreşti | SW of village $c f 61354.01$ isolated finds 2 km to the W. |
| 40 | 61336.01 | individual site | Conacu | On N bank of lake Conacu, between Conacu and Negreşti. |
| 41 | 61238.01 | villa | Credința | 300 m NE of Credința on Moncanilor hill. |
| 42 | 61489.01 | individual site | General Scărişoreanu | Movila de Ceusa 3km NE of General Scărişoreanu, two significant clusters of tumuli evident. |
| 43 | 62404.01 | individual site | Negru Vodă | On edge of marshes to N of village. |
| 44 | 61327.01 | individual site | Cobadin | In perimeter of village with necropolis. |
| 45 | 61292.01 | individual site | Ciocârlia | Tumuli in perimeter of village. |
| 46 | 62743.01 | individual site | Izvoru Mare | Within village next to school, tumuli with significant aggregation levels around village. |
| 47 | 62752.02 | individual site | Veteranu | Near neglected buildings, cf 62752.01 aqueduct thought to feed the village and a basin reported within the village. |
| 48 | 62725.03 | individual site | Ivrinezu Mare | 4.2 km NW of village on banks Lake Cochirleni. |
| 49 | 62805.01 | individual site | Rasova | 'Malu Rosu' 2.5 km NE of the village. cf 62805.05 tumuli in perimeter of commune. |
| 50 | 62805.04 | individual site | Rasova | 'Pescarie' 3km SW of Rasova, remains of Roman horreum with legionary building inscriptions, cf 62805.05 tumuli in perimeter of commune. |
| 51 | 62805.07 | individual site | Rasova | 1.5 km E-NE of village in Caramancea valley, cf 62805.05 tumuli in perimeter of commune. |
| 52 | 62805.02 | fort | Rasova | 2.5 km E of village in Caramancea valley, cf 62805.05 tumuli in perimeter of commune. |
| 53 | 60875.03 | vicus type | Cernovodă | Ancient Axiopolis, cf tumuli 60875.053 km S of site. |
| 54 | 60785.10 | individual site | Cernovodă | On Autostrada A2 153km [from Bucharest]. |
| 55 | 60785.12 | individual site | Cernovodă | On Autostrada A2 158km [from Bucharest]. |
| 56 | 62342.01 | individual site | Stefan cel Mare | On Aleca hill to the S of the Danube-Black Sea canal. |
| 57 | 60856.12 | vicus type | Medgidia | Near cement factory but north of canal I associate with vicus I Urb..... where there were $c$ (ives) c(onsistentes). Significant cluster of tumuli to the N . |
| 58 | 60856.10 | individual site | Medgidia | IAS Medgidia 300 m NW of Medgidia port. |
| 59 | 61130.02 | individual site | Castelu | 500 m NE of village $c f 61130.03$ tumuli along Agicab valley. |
| 60 | 61130.10 | individual site | Castelu | Near Gas pipeline exact location unclear. |
| 61 | 61130.09 | individual site | Castelu | Near Gas pipeline exact location unclear. |


| 62 | 61158.01 | individual site | Nisipari | 4 km N of village. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 61149.01, \\ 61149.02 \end{array}$ | individual site | Cuza Vodă | In zone CAP, cf $61149.01=$ aqueduct included with this site; significant cluster of tumuli along Agicab valley. |
| 64 | 62299.02 | individual site | Micea Vodă | Tumuli in perimeter of commune. |
| 65 | 60785.09 | fort | Cernovodă | Roman fort on Dermengi hill to NE of town. |
| 66 | 62930.02 | individual site | Seimenii Mici | On perimeter of village. |
| 67 | 62912.01 | individual site | Seimenii | N bank of Silisstea valley to NE of Seimeni village. |
| 68 | 62921.01 | individual site | Dunărea | No location given. |
| 69 | 63063.01 | fort | Capidava | Ancient Capidava; 63063.07 baths to E. |
| 70 | 63063.06 | vicus type | Capidava | Military vicus; 63063.07 baths to E. |
| 71 | 63063.05 | villa | Capidava | Vlah Canara' villa site 1.5 km NE of Capidava. |
| 72 |  | villa | Capidava | ISM 5.29, 5.30 refer to the owners of a villa seemingly over two generations near to Capidava. |
| 73 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Capidava | vicus Scenopensis. |
| 74 | 61577.01 | individual site | Băltăgeşti | On edge of village. |
| 75 | 61568.01 | individual site | Crucea | Location not given, cf 616568 tumuli. |
| 76 | 61595.01 | vicus type | Gălbiori | Inside village, I associate this with un-named vicus at Gălbiori, $c f$ $61595.02=$ associated tumuli. |
| 77 | 62468.03 | vicus type | Dorobanțu | I associate this with the vicus Hi... |
| 78 | 62994.03 | individual site | Târguşor | Sector zootechnic - no further details. |
| 79 | 61416.02 | individual site | Gura Dobrogei | On the confluence of Târguşor and Casimcea rivers W of village. |
| 80 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 63009.06, \\ 63009.01 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | vicus type | Casian | vicus Casianus $63009.06=$ modern Gazoduct site, $63009.01=$ Roman amphitheatre, treated as a single vicus together. |
| 81 | 63018.03 | individual site | Cheia | 1 km E of village, 500 m NE of cave 'La Soci', in Carasu valley. |
| 82 | 63018.01 | individual site | Cheia | Cave dwelling 'La Izvor' 500 m S of Cheia near confluence of two streams evidence of sizable community. |
| 83 | 63018.04 | individual site | Cheia | Cave dwelling 'Pestera X' on Pestera hill |
| 84 | 63018.05 | individual site | Cheia | Cave dwelling 'La Baba' 1.25 km SW of village 800 m SW of 'La Izvor'. |
| 85 | 63027.01 | individual site | Grădina | 500 m SW of village. |
| 86 | 62618.01 | vicus type | Pantelimon | vicus Ulmetum, LRE fortification to East of town, here Roman citizens and members of the Bessi were consistentes. |
| 87 |  | villa | Pantelimon | ISM 5.59 refers to the fines of an individual near Pantelimon. |
| 88 |  | villa | Pantelimon | ISM 5.70 refers to an actor near Pantelimon. |


| 89 | 62654.01 | individual site | Runca | Location not given. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | 63054.01 | individual site | Topalu | N of Topalu on high ground on left bank of Cerchirgea valley, possible fort according to Zahariade \& Gudea. |
| 91 | 61853.02 | individual site | Ghindăreşti | 2 km S of village. |
| 92 | 61853.01 | fort | Ghindărești | NW of village. |
| 93 | 60810.01 | fort | Hârşova | Ancient Carsium, $60810.10,60810.11=$ towers to W and 375 m to NW, $60810.08=$ Roman road, $60810.09=$ necropolis. |
| 94 | 60810.04 | vicus type | Hârşova | Military vicus, to W of fortified settlement, Str Crinului Vadului Concordiei. |
| 95 | 60810.02 | individual site | Hârşova | Tell - the original stone age settlement to SE of the town, area of Str Gradinilor and Gheorghe Doja, shows continuity through to Roman period. |
| 96 | not listed | vicus type | Hârşova | 'La Moara' standalone Getic site probable civitas capital. |
| 97 | 61265.01 | individual site | Ciobanu | Within the village to the W . |
| 98 | not listed as fort | fort | Lake Hasarlâc /Gârliciu | Cius fort, 5 km S of village and E of Lake Hasarlâc on the Hasarlâc hill. |
| 99 | 61817.01 | vicus type | Lake Hasarlâc Gârliciu | Military vicus assumed alongside fort 5 km S of village and E of Lake Hasarlâc on the Hasarlâc hill, cf 61817.02 tumuli around commune. |
| 100 | not listed | vicus type | Vicinity Lake Hasarlâc /Gârliciu | vicus Vergobrittiani thought to be close to Cius. |
| 101 | not listed | vicus type | Vicinity Lake Hasarlâc /Gârliciu | vicus Ram thought to be close to Cius. |
| 102 |  | villa |  | Argued on the basis of an inscription CIL 3.14214 ${ }^{21}$ (ISM 5.116) recording a vilicus. |
| 103 | 62850.01 | individual site | Dulgheru | WNW of village. |
| 104 | 62636.02 | individual site | Nistoreşti | 1.5 km N of village. |
| 105 | 62636.01 | individual site | Nistoreşti | 200 m N of village. |
| 106 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Râmiciu de Jos | vicus $V$... near Râmiciu de Jos, on the road Carsium to Histria. |
| 107 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Râmiciu de Jos/Casimcea/Sarighiol de Deal | vicus Secundini where cives Romani et Lai consistentes. |
| 108 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Râmiciu de | vicus ....stro where cives Romani consistentes. |


|  |  |  | Jos/Casimcea/Sarighiol de Deal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Neatârnarea | Un-named vicus on the basis of an inscription recording a magister. |
| 110 | 159874.01 | villa | Sarighiol de Deal | 3 km N towards Neatârnarea. |
| 111 | 159856.04 | individual site | Beidaud | 3 km W of village exact location unclear $c f 159856.03$ cistern/fountain at same location. |
| 112 | 159972.01 | villa | Casimcea | 300 m N of village at the bend in the Dulbenci river. |
| 113 | 159972.02 | individual site | Casimcea | 2.5 km SE of village parallel with road to Sarighiol de Deal. |
| 114 | not listed | individual site | Casimcea | 'La vie' on SW edge of village funeral architecture distinct from 159972.01-02 above, reported by Baumann and Bărbulescu. |
| 115 | 161357.01 | individual site | Stejaru [Tulcea county] | Between village of Vasile Alecsandri and Stejaru. |
| 116 | 159801.01 | vicus type | Camena | vicus Petra E of the village. |
| 117 | 161277.01 | vicus type | Slava Rusă | vicus Ibida within modern village to the W. |
| 118 | 161268.02 | individual site | Slava Cercheză | 'La Vii' 1 km NE of village. |
| 119 | 160234.02 | individual site | Ciucurova | 'La Izvor' 1.5 km NW of village. |
| 120 | 161393.01 | villa | Topolog | N edge of village. |
| 121 | 161393.02 | villa | Topolog | 300 SW of village. |
| 122 | 161455.01 | individual site | Sâmbăta Nouă | 200 m SE of village. |
| 123 | 161437.01 | individual site | Luminița [Tulcea county] | $300 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{village}$. |
| 124 | 161428.01 | individual site | Făgăraşu Nou | Within village. |
| 125 | 160010.03 | individual site | Rahman | 2 km NE of village. |
| 126 | 160010.04 | individual site | Rahman | 'La Baba Caira' 200m NE of village. |
| 127 | 160010.01 | individual site | Rahman | Between Rahman and Haidar. |
| 128 |  | fort | Ostrov | Beroe - Roman period fort thought to underlie LRE fort, n.b. cIMeC code 160396.01 reported as Byzantine Beroe, but erroneously associated with other sites at Frecăței further north in the county. |
| 129 | 161115.01 | individual site | Ostrov [Tulcea County] | 'Piatra Frecăței' 3 km S of Ostrov on right bank of Danube $=$ Beroe, a civilian settlement that was inhabited before and after the Roman period, Roman occupation not reported but assumed as individual settlement. |
| 130 | 161115.02 | individual site | Ostrov [Tulcea County] | Tell 5 km N of Ostrov, size not given, assumed as individual settlement but could be larger. |


| 131 | 161160.01 | fort | Peceneaga | 'La Cordon' on a hill to the S. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 132 | 161160.02 | individual site | Peceneaga | 'La Piscul Sarat' on a hill to the S. |
| 133 | 160163.01 | individual site | Traian [Tulcea <br> County] | On the Cale-Baie hill. |
| 134 | 160537.02 | villa | Horia | 'La Baraj' alongside dam 2.5km NW of modern town. |
| 135 | 160573.02 | fort | Izvoarele [Tulcea <br> County] | 2km SW of village. |


| 151 | 160635.01 | individual site | Garvăn | 2km NW of Garvăn on north bank of Lake Jijila. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 152 | 160635.06 | individual site | Garvăn | On Crancanele hill 2.3km NNW of village towards Dinogetia. |
| 153 | 160626.01 | individual site | Jijila | 3km SE of village. |
| 154 | 160715.03 | individual site | Văcăreni | In the Nevestelniṭa valley 2km E of village which discharges into Lake <br> and Danube. |
| 155 | 160699.03 | fort | Luncavița | On Milan hill listed in Zahariade \&Gudea, but cIMeC lists a LRE site <br> here. |
| 156 | 160699.02 | individual site | Luncavița | On Cetatuia hill 4km South of Luncavița. |
| 157 | 160706.01 | fort | Rachelu | On W edge of village. |
| 158 | 159696.05 | fort | Isaccea | Noviodunum 2.5km NE of Isaccea dated as LRE on cIMeC. |
| 159 |  | vicus type | Isaccea | To south of Noviodunum fort. |
| 160 | 159696.04 | individual site | Isaccea | 'La Suhat' NW of Isaccea, 1km S of Danube. |
| 161 |  | fort | Orlovka [Ukraine] | In Ukraine, suggested on the basis of Ptolemy \& brick stamps. |
| 162 | 161339.01 | individual site | Parcheş | 'Bugeac' - Parches wood 1km W of village. |
| 163 | 161311.01 | individual site | Somova | 'La Poienita'. |
| 164 | 161044.03 | individual site | Niculițel | 350 m from Saon Monastery. |
| 165 | 161044.04 | individual site | Niculitel | 3-3.5km NE of village 'Ceairul lui Iancu'. |
| 166 | 161044.02 | villa | Niculițel | In Capacilia valley 800m W of DN 22 parallel to river. |
| 167 | Baumann <br> Bărbulescu | individual site | Niculițel | Pasoaiei knoll midway between Capacilia Valley and Iancu valley. |
| 168 | Baumann <br> Bărbulescu | individual site | Niculițel | 1500m NW of Capacilia site. |
| 169 | Baumann <br> Bărbulescu | individual site | Niculițel | 2000m NW of Capacilia site. |
| 170 | Baumann <br> Bărbulescu | individual site | Niculițel | Cocos Monastery. |
| 171 | Baumann <br> Bărbulescu | individual site | Niculițel | West of Iancu valley 1km NW of village. |
| 172 | 161044.06 | villa | Niculițel | In Gurgoaia suburb in N of village cf 161044.09 monetary finds in same <br> area. |
| 173 | 161044.08 | individual site | Niculțel | 'La Cornet'; at the head of the Capaclia valley N of village. |
| 174 | 160421.01 | vicus type | Telița | 'La-Amza' 600m NE of village. |
| 175 | 160421.03 | individual site | Teliṭa | Within village SW sector. |


| 176 | 160421.05 | individual site | Telița | In the Morilor valley near Celic Dere monastery. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 177 | 160412.05 | villa | Poşta | 500 m NE of road junction 229A and 229 F to the Celic Dere monastery. |
| 178 | 160412.07 | villa | Poşta | Within village SW sector. |
| 179 | 160412.03 | individual site | Poșta | 1 km NW of village. |
| 180 | 160412.08 | individual site | Poşta | 'La Piatra Frecăței' near Celic Dere monastery. Site of military granary built by legio V Macedonica. |
| 181 | 161026.05 | individual site | Trestenic | 350 m NE of village. |
| 182 | 161026.02 | individual site | Trestenic | Within village SW sector. |
| 183 | 161026.09 | individual site | Trestenic | 100 m SE of village parallel to road to Nalbant. |
| 184 | 161026.04 | individual site | Trestenic | 800 m SE of village. |
| 185 | 161026.06 | individual site | Trestenic | 1.5 km SE of village. |
| 186 | 161008.02 | individual site | Nalbant | On E edge of village. |
| 187 | 161008.01 | individual site | Nalbant | 2 km NE of village. |
| 188 | 160396.07 | individual site | Frecăței | Within village, cf 160396.02 funeral monuments 650 m E of village. |
| 189 | 160396.03 | individual site | Frecătei | 'La Livada' 1.6km E of village, of 160396.02 funeral monuments 650 m East of village. |
| 190 | 160403.05 | individual site | Cataloi | 1.1 km W of village on terrace above river Telița. |
| 191 | 160403.04 | villa | Cataloi | 600 m W of village 600 m N of road to Nalbant. |
| 192 | 160403.03 | individual site | Cataloi | 200 m W of village $500 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{road} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{Frecătei}$. |
| 193 | 160403.01 | villa | Cataloi | 750 m E of village 1.5 km S of station. |
| 194 | 160403.02 | individual site | Cataloi | 500 m SE of village between DN22 and railway line. |
| 195 |  | fort | Tulcea | Aegyssus fort on shore line. |
| 196 | $\begin{aligned} & 159623.01 \mathrm{et} \\ & \text { al } \end{aligned}$ | vicus type | Tulcea | Ancient Aegyssus town, at Eroilor monument park and surrounding area, $159623.08=$ Str Surorilor, $159623.10=$ Str Veseliei, of 159623.07 necropolis. |
| 197 | 159623.04 | individual site | Tulcea | 'Taberei hill' NW of town. |
| 198 | 159623.03 | individual site | Tulcea | 'La Vie Judecatorul' 4km E of town. |
| 199 | not recorded | vicus type | Vicinity Tulcea | vicus Urbin.... vicinity of Tulcea. |
| 200 | not recorded | fort | Ismail [Ukraine] | In Ukraine. |
| 201 | 161080.02 | individual site | Malcoci | 500 m NW of village. |
| 202 | 161062.03 | individual site | Nufăru | 1.5 km SE of village, 1 km S of route Nufăru - Beștepe. |
| 203 | 161062.01 | individual site | Nufăru | Within village. |
| 204 | 160760.07 | individual site | Beştepe | 1.5 km N of village. |


| 205 | 160760.06 | individual site | Beştepe | On N limit of village. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 206 | 160760.04 | individual site | Beştepe | 2km NE of village. |
| 207 | 160760.03 | individual site | Beştepe | 'cetate' in valley Curpenisului to E of village. |
| 208 | 160742.01 | individual site | Băltenii de Jos | Within village. |
| 209 | 160733.12 | fort | Mahmudia | Salsovia LRE fortified settlement, Roman period fort supposed to <br> underlie visible remains, but no evidence of military vicus. |
| 210 | 160733.04 | individual site | Mahmudia | 2.5 km East of village to the north of the Filip Rosu canal. |
| 211 | 160920.02 | fort | Murighiol | Ancient Halymris pre-Roman settlement, Roman LRE fortified site 2km <br> SE of village, 200m N of route to Dunavatul de Sus, 1.5km S of Sf <br> Gheorghe branch of Danube. |
| 212 | not listed | vicus type | Murighiol | vicus classicorum to the S of ancient Halmyris where c(ives) R(omani) <br> consist(entes). |
| 213 | 160920.01 | individual site | Murighiol | 1.7 km SE of village to left of route to Dunavățu de Sus, 160920.09 = <br> LRE camp on same site. |
| 214 | 160920.03 | individual site | Murighiol | 2km SE of village, N of Murighiol hill. |
| 215 | 160975.01 | individual site | Sarinasauf | 750m N of village. |
| 216 | 161516.01 | individual site | Iazurile | 2 km E of village. |
| 217 | 161491.01 | individual site | Valea Nucarilor | SE of village. |
| 218 | 161507.03 | individual site | Agighiol | 1.5km SE of village on banks of Lake Omonim. |
| 219 | 161507.08 | villa | Agighiol | 1.5km North of village in Tulcei valley. |
| 220 | 161507.04 | individual site | Agighiol | 1km NW of village. |
| 221 | 161204.01 | individual site | Sabangia | 'La Tantana Ialnascu' 2km N of village close to DJ222 direction of <br> Agighiol. |
| 222 | 161204.03 | individual site | Sabangia | Within village of Sabangia on premises of SMA. |
| 223 | 161188.01 | individual site | Sarichioi | Within village on northern limit. |
| 224 | 161188.10 | individual site | Sarichioi | On banks of Lake Razim. |
| 225 | 161188.04 | individual site | Sarichioi | 1.5km S of Sarichioi in Saratura Valley. |
| 226 |  | fort | Vicinity Agighiol <br> Sarichioi | vallis Domitiana recorded in IA 226.5. |
| 227 | 161197.01 | individual site | Enisala | NE of village right side of road to Sarichioi on banks of Lake Razim. |
| 228 | 161197.05 | individual site | Enisala | On West of village 200m S of road to Babadag. |
| 229 | 161197.03 | individual site | Enisala | Within the village, W sector, cf 161197.10 = monetary finds at LRE <br> 'Pestera' site. |


| 230 | 161213.01 | individual site | Visterna | Within village on N sector cf 161213.02 necropolis 500m distant. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 231 | 159669.02 | vicus type | Babadag | vicus Novus 500m SW of village at junction of DN22 and the 223A road <br> to Slava Rusă. |
| 232 | 159669.03 | individual site | Babadag | No location given. |
| 233 | 159669.01 | individual site | Babadag | 2km NE of town, on banks of Lake Babadag. |
| 234 | 160840.03 | vicus type | Mihai Bravu | On the W of the village this ought to be associated with vicus Bad..... <br> where (veterani) et $c($ ives $)$ R(omani) consist (entes). |
| 235 | 160840.07 | individual site | Mihai Bravu | 'La Moara' 750m N of village on left bank of the Taita river. |
| 236 | 160868.02 | individual site | Turda | NW sector of village between Taita and DJ. |

Polis facing sites

| FID | cIMeC code | Classification | Location | Details |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 237 | 160653.02 | vicus type | Jurilovca | Cape Doloşman, ancient Argamum within regio Histriae. |
| 238 | 160653.01 | individual site | Jurilovca | Within village SW sector. |
| 239 | 160653.05 | individual site | Jurilovca | Within village to the W of LRE site. |
| 240 | 160653.03 | individual site | Jurilovca Insula <br> Bisericuta | Island in Lake Razim LRE site with earlier Roman finds. |
| 241 | 160671.05 | individual site | Sălcioara | 4.5km N of village near Calugara hill. |
| 242 | 160671.06 | individual site | Sălcioara | Within the village, this shows occupation either side of Roman period. |
| 243 | 160622.02 | individual site | Vişina | On eastern edge of the village on bank of Lake Golovița. |
| 244 | 160622.01 | individual site | Vişina | Within village eastern sector. |
| 245 | 160118.02 | individual site | Lunca | 200m NE of village 150m from road to Vişina. |
| 246 | 97287.01 | individual site | Lunca | On the eastern edge of the village South of the road to Vişina cf <br> 160118.04, 160118.05, 160118.06 undated tumuli. |
| 247 | 160109.02 | individual site | Ceamurlia de Jos | $250 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{SE} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{road} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{Lunca} \mathrm{on} \mathrm{eastern} \mathrm{edge} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{village}$. |
| 248 | 160109.01 | villa | Ceamurlia de Jos | NW of town N of road to Slava Rusă SE of railway, cf 160109.03, <br> $160109.04,160109.05$ undated tumuli nearby. |


| 249 |  | individual site | Ceamurlia de Jos | NW of Town, N of railway 1.5 km from 160109.01 above, cf 160109.03 , 160109.04, 160109.05 undated tumuli nearby. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 |  | fort | Vicinity Lunca Ceamurlia de Jos | ad salices recorded in IA 227. |
| 251 | 159794.06 | individual site | Baia | On SE limits of town. |
| 252 | 159794.02 | individual site | Baia | 2 km E of Baia station which puts it on the banks of Lake Ceamurlia, so distinct from 159794.08. |
| 253 | 159794.08 | individual site | Baia | E of village on banks of Lake Golovița. |
| 254 | 159794.04 | individual site | Baia | On railway unable to locate exact location. |
| 255 | 62271.01 | vicus type | Sinoe | vicus Quintionis 6km E of village on Cale hill, Bessi \& Lai consistentes. |
| 256 | 62271.02 | individual site | Sinoe | 1 km SSE of village school. |
| 257 | not listed | vicus type | Mihai Viteazu | vicus Buteridavensis, cf 632262.02 Roman period tumuli in vicinity of modern village. |
| 258 | not listed | villa | Mihai Viteazu | ISM 1.359-360 record boundary between vicus Buterdavensis above and the property of Messiea Pudentilla. |
| 259 | 61407.01 | vicus type | Fântânele | vicus South of Fântânele village. |
| 260 | 61443.05 | individual site | Tariverde | Tariverde III, $800 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{from} \mathrm{bridge} \mathrm{on} \mathrm{Constanța-Tulcea} \mathrm{highway}$. |
| 261 | 61443.04 | individual site | Tariverde | 100 m NE of bridge on Constanta-Tulcea highway. |
| 262 | 61443.07 | individual site | Tariverde | In E of town. |
| 263 | 61443.01 | individual site | Tariverde | S of town. |
| 264 | 61443.03 | individual site | Tariverde | Tariverde Duingi Dere unable to locate. |
| 265 | 61381.01 | individual site | Cogealac | Cogealac 'Bent' 300 m E of village, cf 61381.03 tumuli around commune. |
| 266 | 61381.04 | individual site | Cogealac | On the route between Gura Dobrogei and Tariverde, cf 61381.03 tumuli around commune. |
| 267 | 62048.02 | individual site | Nuntaşi | 300 m SW of village. |
| 268 | 62048.04 | individual site | Nuntaşi | 'Baile Nuntasi' 400 m SW of road intersection. |
| 269 | 62039.01 | polis | Istria | Ancient Histria 5km SE of village on bank of Lake Sinoe. |
| 270 |  | vicus type | Istria | Un-named kome in Caranasuf suburb considered separate from Histria polis. |
| 271 | 62039.10 | individual site | Istria | Histria hill 2km SW from town distinct from ancient Histria. |
| 272 | 62039.05 | individual site | Istria | Histria $\beta$ Roman rural site 1.5 km SE of modern village. |
| 273 | 62039.06 | individual site | Istria | Histria $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ two separate sites close together on Lake Sinoe. |
| 274 | 62039.06 | individual site | Istria | Histria $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ two separate sites close together on Lake Sinoe. |


| 275 | 62887.02 | individual site | Săcele | 300 m SE of village. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 276 | 62896.02 | individual site | Traian [Constanṭa County] | 2.5 km E of site, cf 62896.04 tumuli around town. |
| 277 | 61540.03 | individual site | Vadu | 'Pepiniera' 2km NW of village. |
| 278 | 61540.04 | vicus type | Vadu | vicus Celeris, 1.5km S of Vadu. |
| 279 | 61540.06 | individual site | Vadu | 'Bardalia' 2 km S of village E of frontier picket. |
| 280 | 61540.05 | individual site | Vadu | 5 km NE of village on banks of Chituc Island. |
| 281 | not listed | vicus | Vicinity of Vadu | vicus Parsul. |
| 282 | not listed | vicus | Vicinity of Vadu | vicus C....cos. |
| 283 | not listed | vicus | Vicinity of Histria | vicus Arcidava. |
| 284 | 61522.03 | individual site | Corbu | 1 km NW of village. |
| 285 | 61522.02 | individual site | Corbu | Between upper and lower Corbu. |
| 286 | 61531.01 | individual site | Luminița [Constanța County] | No location given. |
| 287 | 61522.04 | individual site | Corbu | Cape Midia 3.5 km SSE of village on peninsula. |
| 288 | not listed | vicus type | Vicinity Corbu | Tres Protomae - location uncertain but 27 Roman miles $=40 \mathrm{~km}$ from Tomis. |
| 289 | not listed | vicus type | Vicinity Corbu | Chora Dagei. |
| 290 | not listed | vicus-type | Vicinity Corbu | Laigos Pyrgos. |
| 291 | 62226.01 | individual site | Palazu Mic | 1km NW of highway bridge. |
| 292 | 62244.01 | individual site | Sibioara | NW of Lake Tasaul exact location unclear $c f 62244.02$ Roman period tumuli in vicinity. |
| 293 | 62208.02 | vicus-type | Mihail Kogălniceanu | vicus Clementiani, on the Roman road Constanta - Calachioi exact location unclear. |
| 294 | 60516.06 | individual site | Navodari | Northern limits of town. |
| 295 | 60516.01 | individual site | Navodari | South of town on road to Mamaia. |
| 296 | 60446.01 | individual site | Palazu Mare | In village. |
| 297 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Constanța | vicus Sc[aplia N of Constanța between outer suburbs and Palazu Mare. |
| 298 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Constanța | vicus Turris Mucapoeos northern suburbs of Constanța cives Romani et Lai consistentes. |
| 299 | $\begin{aligned} & 60428.33, \\ & 60428.34 \end{aligned}$ | individual site | Constanța | Site and necropolis at the Real 2 shopping centre 5 km from ancient Tomis so treated separately. |


| 300 | 60428.01 et al | polis | Constanța | Ancient Tomis, $60428.01=$ Tomis, $60428.02=$ cathedral park, 60428.09 $=$ amphitheatre, $60428.16=$ Str Traian site \& necropolis, $60428.17=$ South of port, vicinity of oxygen plant, $60428.21=$ Str Arhiepiscopiei, $60428.04=$ aqueduct near 'modern' beach, $60428.30=$ Str Mihai Viteazu, $60428.11=$ baths SE of Edifice with mosaics, $60428.32=\operatorname{Str}$ Brancoveanu, 60428.16 = Bd Lapusneanu. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 |  | villa | Constanța | The estate of Marcus Ulpius Longinus posited on the basis of ISM 2.180. |
| 302 |  | vicus type | Vicinity Constanța | kome Appollonion. |
| 303 |  | vicus type | Vicinity of Poiana | vicus Nacissiani, note significant clusters of tumuli to the NW in Ovidiu valley. |
| 304 | 62770.02 | fort | Porta Albă | Roman period round fort associated with Valu lui Traian. |
| 305 | 62379.05 | individual site | Murfatlar [formerly Basarabi] | Graeco-Roman site on IAS farm to NE. |
| 306 | 62379.04 | individual site | Murfatlar [formerly Basarabi] | Centre of village. |
| 307 | 62379.02 | fort | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Murfatlar [formerly } \\ & \text { Basarabi] } \end{aligned}$ | Location not given. |
| 308 | 60589.01 | individual site | Lazu | Centre of village, significant tumuli nearby. |
| 309 | 63269.01 | individual site | Agigea | Location unclear, W of mill site. |
| 310 | 63269.03 | individual site | Agigea | Location unclear, significant tumuli nearby. |
| 311 | 61639.01 | individual site | Cumpăna | Location not given. |
| 312 | 61666.01 | individual site | Straja | W of road to Cumpăna. |
| 313 | 60543.03 | individual site | Techirghiol | On the shore Lake Techirghiol. |
| 314 | 60543.02 | individual site | Techirghiol | 1.5 km W of town. |
| 315 | 60543.04 | individual site | Techirghiol | 4 km SW of village 600 m from shore Lake Techirghiol. |
| 316 | 60730.02 | individual site | Tuzla | West of village 1 km S of Lake Techirghiol. |
| 317 | 60730.04 | individual site | Tuzla | West of the Tuzla-mare gulf. |
| 318 | 60730.03 | individual site | Tuzla | Between Tuzla-mica and Tuzla-mare gulfs. |
| 319 | 60464.01 | individual site | Eforie Sud | In southern part of town. |
| 320 | not on cIMeC | vicus-type | Vicinity Cape Tuzla | vicus Strationis. |
| 321 | 60749.01 | vicus-type | Costineşti | Ancient Parthenopolis, location presumed on site of Hellenistic sites $60729.02=2 \mathrm{~km}$ NE of intersection of main highway and road to Costineşti. |


| 322 | 60749.03 | individual site | Costineşti | On small promontory between lake and sea. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 323 | 60605.06 | individual site | 23-Aug | Location not given. |
| 324 | 60605.05 | individual site | 23-Aug | 1.5 km S of village. |
| 325 | 60605.04 | individual site | 23-Aug | On shore of Lake Tătlăgeac exact location not given. |
| 326 | 60605.01 | individual site | 23-Aug | On shore of Lake Tătlăgeac exact location not given. |
| 327 | 60605.03 | individual site | 23-Aug | Small peninsula SW Lake Tătlăgeac many sites located on Lake Tătlăgeac exact location unclear. |
| 328 | 60605.02 | individual site | 23-Aug | At the end of Lake Tătlăgeac many sites located on Lake Tătlăgeac exact location unclear. |
| 329 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | Vicinity Lake Tătlăgeac | vicus Amlaidina. |
| 330 | 60614.02 | individual site | Dulceşti | 0.5 km South of village. |
| 331 | 60623.02 | individual site | Moşeni | SW of Moşeni alongside road to Peceneaga. |
| 332 | 60623.01 | villa | Moşeni | 1 km SW of IAS Moşeni. |
| 333 | 62681.03 | individual site | Pecineaga | 5 km NW of village. |
| 334 | 62681.04 | individual site | Pecineaga | 200m S of Karachioi hill, significant tumuli clusters nearby. |
| 335 | 62681.01 | individual site | Pecineaga | 3 km E of village. |
| 336 | 60963.02 | individual site | Arsa | NE of village, significant tumuli clusters nearby. |
| 337 | 60491.03, et al | polis | Mangalia | Ancient Callatis, 60491.27 = Str Stefan cel Mare, 60491.10, $60491.20=$ Str Tepes Voda, 60491.16 = Str Vasile Parvan, 60491.29 = Str Oituz, $60491.13=$ Str Mihai Eminescu, $60491.05=$ Hotel President, $60491.29=$ Scoala Generala, $60491.30=$ Hellenistic town. |
| 338 | 60491.32 | individual site | Mangalia | 3.2 km West of town, distinct from polis. |
| 339 | 60669.01 | individual site | 2 Mai | Civil settlement. |
| 340 | 60641.07 | individual site | Limanu | 450 m North of village, exact location not given (four different sites N of Limanu). |
| 341 | 60641.05 | individual site | Limanu | 200 m from village, exact location not given (four different sites N of Limanu). |
| 342 | 60641.04 | individual site | Limanu | 220 m from village, exact location not given (four different sites N of Limanu). |
| 343 | 60641.06 | individual site | Limanu | 1.3 km NW of village, exact location not given (four different sites N of Limanu). |
| 344 | 60650.04 | individual site | Hagieni | SE edge of village. |


| 345 | 60954.04 | villa | Albessti | On a plateau 500m S from village. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 346 | 60954.07 | individual site | Albeşti | Within the village NE of the route to Mangalia. |
| 347 | 60990.01 | individual site | Vârtop | 700m NE of village. |
| 348 | 60981.01 | individual site | Cotu Văii | On via lui Avram. |
| 349 | 60678.01 | individual site | Vama Veche | North of village, significant clusters of tumuli to N. |
| 350 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | un-located | kome Ke $\ldots$ |
| 351 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | un-located | kome Val.... |
| 352 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | un-located | kome Asbolodina |
| 353 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | un-located | kome Sardes |
| 354 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | un-located | kome $\ldots$. myle |
| 355 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | un-located | kome $P . .$. |
| 356 | not on cIMeC | vicus type | un-located | Pyrgos. |
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Appendices
A- Tables of land requirements
B, C, D Calculations for Transport Solutions

Appendix A.1.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.1.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 385 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.1.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 6 ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.1.2a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 6ha landholding, without alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.1.2b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 6ha landholding, without alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.1.2c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 6ha landholding, without alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.2.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.2.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.2.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.2.2a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.2.2b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.2.2c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison

- with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.3.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents
-with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$
Appendix A.3.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.3.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.3.2a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 6ha landholding without alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.3.2b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 6ha landholding without alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.3.2c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 6ha landholding without alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.4.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.4.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

Appendix A.4.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents

- with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$
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Appendix A.1.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at low yields of 200kg/ha

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{29}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | 92,241ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\begin{array}{r} 41 \mathrm{ha} \\ 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }= \\ 1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 41,263ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 133,504ha ${ }^{30}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha <br> Included within fallow |
|  | 168,633ha |  |  |  |  |
| 43,813 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129,373ha } \\ & \text { 390ha } \\ & \text { 3809ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,651,078 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \\ & 1,073,200 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=32,196 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \\ & \hline 578,812 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned} \quad 82,554 \mathrm{hg} \text { mutton }=2064 \mathrm{ha} .$ | 4017ha | 5944ha leguminous 5944ha other vegetables |
|  | 133,572 |  | 34,898ha stock raising | 4017ha | 11,888ha Included within fallow |
|  | 172,487ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 267,076ha | 8253ha | 59,000ha | 6791ha |  |
|  | 341,120ha |  |  |  |  |

[^12]Appendix A.1.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 385 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at <br> 0.809 kg per day for <br> garrison and their <br>  <br> 2.5kg barley horse | Cavalry <br> pasture <br> 5 kg a day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $37,917 \mathrm{ha}$ <br> garrison | Pasture need for meat at 0.1kg per day <br> for garrison and their farm labourers <br> by meat type beef, pork and mutton |  |

[^13]Appendix A.1.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 6 ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{33}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31,238$ <br> garrison | 30,747ha <br> ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=\quad 1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 13,754ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 44,501 $\mathrm{ha}^{34}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha <br> Included within fallow |
|  | 79,630ha |  |  |  |  |
| 8872 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 10,294 workers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8733ha } \\ & \text { 130ha } \\ & \text { 1270ha } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 914ha | 1353ha leguminous 1353ha other vegetables |
|  | 10,132ha |  | 7942ha stock raising | 914ha | 2705ha <br> Included within fallow |
|  | 18,988ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 54,633ha | 8253ha | 32,044ha | 3688ha |  |
|  | 98,618ha |  |  |  |  |

[^14]Appendix A.1.2a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 6ha landholding, without alternate fallowing at low yields of 200kg/ha

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{35}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46,121 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 20,631 ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | $66,752 \mathrm{ha}{ }^{36}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 110,091ha |  |  |  |  |
| 14,756 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 16,178 workers | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 21,786 \mathrm{ha} \\ \text { 195ha } \\ \text { 1904ha } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 590,497 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \quad 383,823 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=11515 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 171244 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 228 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 29,525 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=738 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 1437ha | 2126ha <br> leguminous <br> 2126ha other vegetables |
|  | 23,886ha |  | 12,481ha stock raising | 1436ha | 4252ha |
|  | 42,055ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 90,638ha | 8253ha | 36,583ha | 4211ha | 12,462ha |
|  | 152,147 |  |  |  |  |

[^15]Appendix A.1.2b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 6ha landholding, without alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{37}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31,238$ <br> garrison | 23,959ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=\quad 1425 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 10,446ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 34,405ha ${ }^{38}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 77,744ha |  |  |  |  |
| 6575 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 7997 workers | 5043ha <br> 101ha 989ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 291,891 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \quad 189,728 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=5692 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \\ & 84,648 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 113 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 14595 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=365 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 710ha | 1051ha leguminous 1051ha other vegetables |
|  | 6133ha |  | 6170ha stock raising | 710ha | 2102ha |
|  | 15115ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 40538ha | 8253ha | 30,272ha | 3484ha | 10312 |
|  | 92,859ha |  |  |  |  |

[^16]Appendix A.1.2c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 6 ha landholding, without alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{39}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 31,238 \\ \text { garrison } \end{array}$ | 15,374ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 6877ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 22,25140 | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 65,590ha |  |  |  |  |
| 4040 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 5462 workers | 1988ha <br> 65ha <br> 635ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 199,363 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \text { 129,586kg beef }=3888 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \text { 57,815kg pork }=\quad 77 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% ~ 9968 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=\quad 249 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 485ha | 718ha leguminous 718ha other vegetables |
|  | 2688ha |  | 4214ha stock raising | 485ha | 1435ha |
|  | 8822ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 24,939ha | 8253ha | 28316ha | 3259ha | 9645ha |
|  | 74,412ha |  |  |  |  |

[^17]Appendix A.2.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at low yields of 200 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{41}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92,241 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 41,263ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 133,504ha ${ }^{42}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  |  |  | 168,633ha |  | Included within fallow |
| 2,831,474 arable labourers | 8,360,918ha not viable |  | 103,348,801 kg not viable |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | not viable |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | not viable |  |  |  |  |

[^18]Appendix A.2.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{43}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | 47,917ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 20,893ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 68,810ha ${ }^{44}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha <br> Included within fallow |
|  | 103,939ha |  |  |  |  |
| 46,937arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 48,359 workers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 71,999ha } \\ & \text { 202ha } \\ & \text { 1979ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,765,104 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 1,147,317 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=34,420 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 511,880 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ & 5 \% 883 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned} 885 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=\quad 2206 \mathrm{ha} .$ | 4295ha | 6354ha leguminous 6354ha other vegetables |
|  | 74,180ha |  | 37,308ha stock raising | 4295ha | 12,709ha |
|  | 115,782ha |  |  |  | Included within fallow |
| Totals | 142,990ha | 8253ha | 61,410ha | 7069ha |  |
|  | 219,722ha |  |  |  |  |

[^19]Appendix A.2.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{45}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30,747ha } \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 13,754ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 44,501 $\mathrm{ha}^{46}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 79,630ha |  |  |  | Included within fallow |
| 22,077arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 23,499 workers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21,730 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { 130ha } \\ & \text { 1270ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 857,714 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 557,514 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=\quad 16725 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \\ & 5 \% \\ & 5 \% 8,737 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ & 42,886 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }= \\ & =1072 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2087ha | 3088ha leguminous 3088ha other vegetables |
|  | 23,130ha |  | 18,129ha stock raising | 2087ha | 6176ha Included within fallow |
|  | 43,346ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 67,631ha | 8253ha | 42,231 ha | 4861ha |  |
|  |  |  | 122,976ha |  |  |

[^20]Appendix A.2.2a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at low yields of 200kg/ha

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{47}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46,121ha } \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 20,631 ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 66,752ha ${ }^{48}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 110,092ha |  |  |  |  |
| 43,813 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 45,235 workers | 64687ha 195ha 1904 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,651,078 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \quad 1073200 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=32196 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \\ & 5 \% \\ & 5 \% 8,812 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ & 638 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4017ha | 5944ha leguminous 5944ha other vegetables |
|  | 66,786ha |  | 34,898ha stock raising | 4017ha | 11,888ha |
|  | 117,589ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 133,538ha | 8253ha | 59,000ha | 6791ha |  |
|  | 227,681 |  |  |  |  |

[^21]Appendix A.2.2b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{49}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31,238$ <br> garrison | 23,959ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 10,446ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | $34,405 \mathrm{ha}^{50}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 77,744ha |  |  |  |  |
| 15,408 arable <br> labourers <br> 132 stock hands <br> 1290 vine workers <br> 16,698 workers | 11818ha <br> 101ha 989ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 609,477 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \\ & 396,160 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=11884 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \\ & 176,748 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 19,580 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=762 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 1483ha | 2194ha leguminous 2194ha other vegetables |
|  | 12908ha |  | 12,882ha stock raising | 1483ha | 4388ha |
|  | 31,661ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 48313ha | 8253ha | 36,984ha | 4257ha | 12,598 |
|  | 110,405ha |  |  |  |  |

[^22]Appendix A.2.2c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison - with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{51}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | 15,374ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 6877ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | $22,251^{52}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 65,590ha |  |  |  |  |
| 8873 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 10,295 workers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4367ha } \\ & \text { 65ha } \\ & \text { 635ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 375,768 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 244,249 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=7327 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 108,973 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=145 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% 18,788 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=470 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 914ha | 1353ha leguminous 1353ha other vegetables |
|  | 5067ha |  | 7942ha stock raising | 914ha | 2706ha |
|  | 16,629ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 27318ha | 8253ha | 32,044ha | 3688ha | 2706ha |
|  | 82,219ha |  |  |  |  |

[^23]Appendix A.3.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at
low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{53}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92,241ha } \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\begin{array}{r} 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }= \\ 1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 41,263ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 133,504ha ${ }^{54}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 168,633ha |  |  |  | Included within fallow |
| 1,390,666 workers unviable 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers | Unviable |  | Unviable | Unviable | Unviable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |

[^24]Appendix A.3.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{55}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | 47,917ha |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ 65 \% & 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ 29 \% & 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ 5 \% & 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }= & 1425 \mathrm{ha} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 20,893ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 68,810ha ${ }^{56}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 103,939ha |  |  |  | Included within fallow |
| 23,469 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 24,891 workers 99,564 civilians | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 72,000 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { 405ha } \\ & \text { 3958ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,817,043 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \quad 1,181,078 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=35,432 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 526,942 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 703 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 90,852 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=2271 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 4421ha | 6541ha leguminous 6541ha other vegetables |
|  | 76,363ha |  | 38,406ha stock raising | 4421ha | 13083ha |
|  | 119,190ha |  |  |  | Included within fallow |
| Totals | 145,173ha | 8253ha | 62,508ha | 7195ha |  |
|  | 223,129ha |  |  |  |  |

[^25]Appendix A.3.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{57}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,747 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 13,754ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 44,501 $\mathrm{ha}^{58}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha Included within fallow |
|  | 79,630ha |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,530ha |  | 19,227ha stock raising | 2213ha | 6550ha <br> Included <br> within fallow |
|  | 64,537ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 69,031ha | 8253ha | 43,329ha | 4987ha |  |
|  | 125,600ha |  |  |  |  |

[^26]Appendix A.3.2a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding without alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{59}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46,121ha } \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \quad 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 20,631ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | $66,752 \mathrm{ha}{ }^{60}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 110,091ha |  |  |  |  |
| 21,906 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 23,328 workers 93,312 civilians | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64,685ha } \\ & \text { 390ha } \\ & \text { 3809ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,702,944 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \\ & 1,106,914 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=33,207 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \\ & \hline 493,854 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= \\ & 5 \% \\ & 55,147 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=2129 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 4143ha | 6131ha leguminous 6131ha other vegetables |
|  | 68,884ha |  | 35,995ha stock raising | 4143ha | 12,261ha |
|  | 121,283ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 135,636ha | 8253ha | 60,097ha | 6917ha | 20,471ha |
|  | 231,374ha |  |  |  |  |

[^27]Appendix A.3.2b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding without alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{61}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | 23,959ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \quad 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 10,446ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 34,405ha ${ }^{62}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 77,744ha |  |  |  |  |
| 7704 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 9126 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11,818 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { 202ha } \\ & 1979 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 666,198 } \mathrm{kg}= \\ & 65 \% \text { 433,029kg beef }=12,991 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 193,197 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 258 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 33,310 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=833 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 1621ha | 2398ha leguminous 2398ha other vegetables |
|  | 13,999ha |  | 14,081 ha stock raising | 1621ha | 4797ha |
|  | 34,498ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 48,404ha | 8253ha | 38,183ha | 4395ha | 13,007ha |
|  | 112,242ha |  |  |  |  |

[^28]Appendix A.3.2c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 6ha landholding without alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{63}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | 15,374ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\begin{array}{rl}  \\ 5 \% & 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }= \\ 1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 6877ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | $22,251^{64}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 65,590ha |  |  |  |  |
| 4436 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 5858 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 4366ha } \\ & \text { 130ha } \\ & \text { 1270ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 427,634 \mathrm{~kg}=9039 \\ & 65 \% \\ & 277,962 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=8339 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \\ & 5 \% \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned} \quad 21,01482 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 165 \mathrm{ha} \text { a mutton }=535 \mathrm{ha} .$ | 1040ha | 1539ha leguminous 1539ha other vegetables |
|  | 5766ha |  | 9039ha stock raising | 1040ha | 3079ha |
|  | 18,924ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 28,017ha | 8253ha | 33,141ha | 3814ha | 11,289ha |
|  | 84,514ha |  |  |  |  |

[^29]Appendix A.4.1a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents, - with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at <br> 0.809 kg per day for <br> garrison and their <br>  <br> 2.5kg barley horse | Cavalry <br> pasture <br> 5 kg a day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ha |  |  |
| ha |  |  |

[^30]Appendix A.4.1b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents, - with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$


[^31]Appendix A.4.1c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents, - with 3ha landholding and alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{69}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,747 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 13,754ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 44,501 $\mathrm{ha}^{70}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha Included within fallow |
|  | 79,630ha |  |  |  |  |
| 43,145 arable labourers 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 44,567 workers 178,268 civilians | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 84,934 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 260 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 2539 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|ccc\|} \hline 3,253,391 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ 65 \% & 2,114,704 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }= & 63,441 \mathrm{ha} \\ 29 \% & 943,483 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }= & 1258 \mathrm{ha} \\ 5 \% & 162,670 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }= & 4067 \mathrm{ha} \end{array}$ | 7916ha | 11712ha leguminous 11712ha other vegetables |
|  | 87,733ha |  | 68,766ha stock raising | 7916ha | 23,424ha Included within fallow |
|  | 164,415ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 132,234ha | 8253ha | 92,868ha | 10690ha |  |
|  |  |  | 244,045ha |  |  |

[^32]Appendix A.4.2a: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at low yields of $200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$


[^33]Appendix A.4.2b: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at mid-range yields of $385 / 395 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$


[^34]Appendix A.4.2c: Agricultural needs of Lower Moesia garrison, farmers and their dependents - with 3ha landholding without alternate fallowing at high yields of $600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Consumer | Arable need at 0.809 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers, \& 2.5 kg barley horse | Cavalry pasture 5 kg a day | Pasture need for meat at 0.1 kg per day for garrison and their farm labourers by meat type beef, pork and mutton ${ }^{75}$ | Vineyards | Vegetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31,238 \\ & \text { garrison } \end{aligned}$ | 15,374ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,140,187 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% \quad 741,123 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=22,236 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 330,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 441 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 57,009 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1425 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2774ha | 4105ha leguminous 4105ha other vegetables |
| 4522 horse | 6877ha | 8253ha |  |  |  |
|  | 22,251 ${ }^{\text {76 }}$ | 8253ha | 24,102ha stock raising | 2774ha | 8210ha |
|  | 65,590ha |  |  |  |  |
| 11,039 arable labourers, <br> 132 stock hands 1290 vine workers 12461workers 49,844 civilians | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10,866ha } \\ & \text { 130ha } \\ & \text { 1270ha } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 909,653 \mathrm{~kg}= \\ & 65 \% 591,274 \mathrm{~kg} \text { beef }=17,738 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 29 \% \quad 263,799 \mathrm{~kg} \text { pork }=\quad 351 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 5 \% \quad 45,483 \mathrm{~kg} \text { mutton }=1137 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 2213ha | 3275ha leguminous 3275ha other vegetables |
|  | 12,265ha |  | 19,227ha stock raising | 2213ha | 6550ha |
|  | 40,255ha |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 34,516ha | 8253ha | 43,329ha | 4987ha | 14,760ha |
|  | 105,845ha |  |  |  |  |

[^35]
## Appendix B: Transport solutions Novae-Nicopolis

 vicinity between Novae and Nicopolis, but this calculation ignored the problems of transporting such foodstuffs.
 for the area that there could have been 7520 service providers resident alongside the garrison also. 55 of these were allocated to Sextaginta Prista and the remaining 7465 were resident at Novae

 30,436 ha allowing for that part of the potential which was being consumed at source by the farmers. Throughout Chapter Three, all needs and potentials were doubled to allow for alternate fallowing, but here in Chapter Four it is the annual potential which would have been required to be moved to consumer year on year, so that the potential was halved to 15,218 ha.

 in the case of the oxen-drawn wagons the needs were not met until three travelling days, using mules this could be achieved within two days.
 whole days which are then divided by a 300 working day year

## Appendix B.1: 23km Oxen-drawn wagons radii Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { + surplus } \\ & \text { - deficit } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Settlements, weights \& wagons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+ \text { surplus } \\ & \text { - deficit } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Settlements, weight and wagons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+ \text { surplus } \\ & \text { - deficit } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  | Four days |  |
| Sexaginta Prista $\begin{aligned} & 41+55= \\ & 60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus, } 10 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { villae ( } 12.048 \% \text { of } \\ & 10960 \mathrm{ha}) 960+1320.481928 \\ & =2280.481928 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =885.6593639 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail, but } 62.54298922 \mathrm{ha} \text { req } \\ & =60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 1.56855427 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 823.1163746ha | +823.1163746ha to Novae |  |  |  |  |  |
| w = wheat $=23475 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 69 loads 138 wagon days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Novae } \\ & 6059+144+7465 \\ & =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =8654.918396 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.65228 \mathrm{hab} \end{aligned}$ | Area A, 4 vici, 55 of Conrad's 83 villae ( $66.265 \%$ of 10960ha), 7 Poulter villae <br> $=695 \mathrm{ha}+3840 \mathrm{ha}+7262.650602 \mathrm{ha}+840 \mathrm{ha}$ <br> $=12637.6506 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673$ <br> $=4908.021175 \mathrm{ha}$ avail $\begin{aligned} = & 4452.054952 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.658228 \mathrm{hab} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 123.307995 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | 4202.863444ha | 3 un-located vici, 18 of Conrad's 83 sites ( $21.687 \%$ of 10960 ha ) 49 Poulter villae 16 Poulter Individual sites $=2880+2376.86747 \mathrm{ha}+5880+163.2=$ $11300.06747 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673$ $=4388.550703 \mathrm{ha}+823.1163746 \mathrm{ha}$ Sextaginta Prista surplus <br> $=5211.667078$ ha avail but 4424.960773 ha req $=4202.863444 \mathrm{ha} \mathbf{w}$ <br> 222.0973286ha feed b | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+786.706306 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { surplus } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici (2 un-located), } 62 \text { Poulter } \\ & \text { villae, } 74 \text { Poulter Individual sites } \\ & =2880+7440+754.8 \\ & =11074.8 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =4301.064702 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $5087.771008 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { Poulter villae, } 9 \\ & \text { Poulter Individual sites } \\ & =1800+91.8=1891.8 \\ & / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =+734.708907 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+ \\ & 5822.479915 \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=131400 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1,714,041 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 48,707 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,618,102 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 87,728 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 5412 loads 10824 wagon days |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4874 \text { loads } \\ & 19495 \text { wagon days } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagon day totals | 10961 |  | 19495 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons pa | $30456=102$ wagons required pa |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5822.479915ha surplus |

[^36]
## Appendix B.2: 32km Oxen-drawn wagons radii Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + surplus } \\ & \text { - deficit } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  |
| Sexaginta Prista <br> $41+55=$ $60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}{ }^{79}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I vicus } 9 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { villae }(10.84337 \% \text { of } 10960) \\ & =960+1188.43373 \\ & =9148.433735 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =834.3764675 \text { avail, } 62.54298933 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{req} \\ & =60.97433506 \mathrm{haw} \\ & \quad 1.56855427 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \end{aligned}$ | + 771.8334782ha |  |  |  | 771.8334782ha |
| w = wheat $=23475 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 69 loads 138 wagon days |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Novae } \\ & 6059+144+7465 \\ & =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =80 \\ & =8654.918396 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.65228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | ```Area A, 4 vici, 70 of Conrad's 83 villae ( \(84.3373 \%\) of 10960 ha ) 21 of Poulter's villae \(=695+3840+9243.373494+2520\) \(=16298.37349 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673\) \(=6329.717822 \mathrm{ha}\) avail \(=5838.09599 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}\) 332.6582278 ha b 158.9636037ha feed b``` | 2816.822406ha | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { vici, } 4 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { villae ( } 4.8193 \% \text { of } 10960 \mathrm{ha} \text { ), } \\ & 85 \text { of Poulter's villae, } 82 \text { of Poulter's Individual sites } \\ & 5760+528.192711+10200+836.4 \\ & =17324.59277 / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =6728.265472 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail but } 2965.675382 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{req} \\ & =2816.822406 \mathrm{haw} \\ & \quad 148.852976 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+ \\ & 3762.59009 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 27 of Poulter's villae, 17 Poulter's Individual sites $\begin{aligned} & =3240+173.4 \\ & =3413.4 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =1325.645091 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $5088.235181 \mathrm{ha}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=131400 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,247,667 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 62,791 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,084,577 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 58,797 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 6977 loads 13953 wagon days |  | 3266 loads 13066 wagon days |  |  |  |
| Wagon day totals | 14091 |  | 13066 |  |  |  |
| Wagons pa | 27157 = 91 wagons required $p a$ |  |  |  |  | 5860.06866ha surplus |

[^37]
## Appendix B.3: 50k Mule-drawn wagons radii Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sexaginta Prista } \\ & 41+55= \\ & 60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | All of potential within 50km of Sexaginta Prista also within 50 km of Novae so this calculation carried out first to supply needs to Sexaginta Prista <br> 66.95489076ha req <br> 60.97443506ha w <br> 5.980455696ha feed b | + Needs met |  |  |
| $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=23475 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 23,475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 2,362 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 74 loads wagon days 148 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Novae } \\ & 6059+144+7465 \\ & =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =8654.918396 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.65228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | ```Area A + Area B +8 vici +65 Poulter's villae +30 Poulter's Individual sites \(695+10960+7680+7800+306\) \(=27441 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673\) \(=10657.12397 \mathrm{ha}-\) [66.95489076ha for Sexaginta Prista above \(]\) \(=10590.16908\) ha avail but 9869.937858 ha req \(=8654.918396 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}\) 332.6582278 ha b 882.3612343ha feed b``` | $\begin{aligned} & +720.23122 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { surplus } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3 vici }+68 \text { Poulter's villae }+69 \text { Poulter's Individual sites } \\ & 2880+8160+703.8 \\ & =11743.8 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =4560.880887 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | + 5281.112107 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=131400 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 348,533 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | loads 10892 wagon days 21783 |  |  |  |
| Wagon day totals | 21931 |  |  |  |
| Wagons pa | $=73$ wagons pa |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5281.112107 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { surplus } \end{aligned}$ |

[^38]
## Appendix B.4: 50k Mule-trains radii Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sexaginta Prista } \\ & 41+55= \\ & 60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | All of potential within 50 km of Sexaginta Prista also within 50 km of Novae so this calculation carried out first to supply needs to Sexaginta Prista <br> 64.57630042ha req <br> 60.97443506ha w <br> 3.601865ha feed b |  |  |  |
| w $=$ wheat $=23475 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23,475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 1,423 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mule trains }=44 \\ & \text { Mule train days }=89 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Novae } \\ & 6059+144+7465 \\ & =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =8654.918396 \mathrm{haw} \\ & 332.65228 \mathrm{hab} \end{aligned}$ | ```Area A + Area B +8 vici +65 Poulter's villae +30 Poulter's Individual sites \(695+10960+7680+7800+306=27441 \mathrm{ha}\) \(12 \times 0.77673\) \(=10657.12397 \mathrm{ha}-\) [64.57630042ha req for Sexaginta Prista above] \(=10592.54767 \mathrm{ha}\) avail but 9518.998731 ha req 8654.918396ha w 332.6582278ha b 531.422107ha feed b``` | 1073.548939ha surplus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3 vici }+68 \text { Poulter's villae }+69 \text { Poulter's Individual sites } \\ & 2880+8160+703.8=11743.8 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.77673 \\ & =4560.880887 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 5634.429826 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=131400 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 209,912 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Mule-trains 6560 <br> Mule-trains days 13119 |  |  |  |
| Mule train day totals | 13208 |  |  |  |
| Mule train $p a$ | $=44$ Mule-trains required $p a$ |  |  | 5634.429826ha surplus |

[^39]
## Appendix B.5: 23km Oxen-drawn wagons Service Areas Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  | Four days |  | Five days |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sexaginta Prista } \\ & 41+55= \\ & 60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | ```I vicus +9 of Conrad's 83 villae ( \(10.843 \%\) of 10960) \(=960+1188.433735\) \(=2148.433735 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673\) \(=834.3764675\) ha avail but 62.54298933 ha req 60.97443506ha w 1.568554271ha feed b``` | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+ \\ & 771.83347 \\ & 8 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=23475 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 620 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 69 wagon loads 138 wagon days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Novae } \\ & 6059+144+7465 \\ & =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =86 \\ & =864.918396 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.65228 \mathrm{hab} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area A, } 4 \text { vici, }+40 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { villae } \\ & (48.19277 \% \text { of } 10960) \\ & 695+3840+5281.927711 \\ & =9816.927711 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =3812.55113 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \\ & =.3384 .058877 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.658228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 95.83402506 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5270.8595 \\ & 19 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { villae } \\ & \text { (40.96386\% of 10960) }+16 \\ & \text { Poulter's villae } \\ & 4489.638554+1920 \\ & =6409.638554 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =2489.279277 \mathrm{ha}+ \\ & 771.833478 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{Sexaginta} \\ & =3261.112755 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \\ & =3097.431207 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & \quad 163.6815481 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & \text { 2173.42831 } \\ & \text { 2ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37 Poulter's villae }+21 \text { Poulter's } \\ & \text { Ind sites } \\ & =4440+214.2 \\ & ==4654.2 \mathrm{hah} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =1807.528383 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =1671.354609 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & \quad 136.1737745 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 502.073704ha | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { vici }+35 \text { Poulter's villae }+53 \\ & \text { Poulter's Ind sites } \\ & =5760+4200+540.6 \\ & =10500.6 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & 4078.065519 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail but } \\ & 558.1790135 \mathrm{ha} \text { req } \\ & =502.073704 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 56.1053095 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \text { 3519.88650 } \\ & \text { 5ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45 \text { Poulter's villae }+25 \\ & \text { Poulter's Individual sites } \\ & =5400+255 \\ & =5655 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & 2196.204075 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $5716.09058$ ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ <br> $3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> b = barley = <br> 131400 kg | $\begin{gathered} 1,302,863 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 37,854 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,192,511 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 64,654 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 643,472 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 53.789 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 193,298 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 22,162 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4206 \text { loads } \\ & 8412 \text { wagon days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 3592 loads 14368 wagon days |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1992 \text { loads } \\ 11953 \text { wagon days } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 616 \text { loads } \\ 4925 \text { wagon days } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagon day totals | 8550 |  | 14368 |  | 11953 |  | 4925 |  |  |  |
| Wagons $p a$ | $39795=133$ wagons required $p a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5716.09058 \\ & \text { ha } \\ & \text { surplus } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

[^40]
## Appendix B.6: 32km Oxen-drawn wagons Service Areas Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  | Four days |  |
| Sexaginta Prista <br> $41+55=$ <br> 60.97443506ha ${ }^{87}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I vicus } 11 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { villae }(13.253 \% \text { of } 10960) \\ & =960+1452.53012 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =2412.53012 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =936.9422602 \mathrm{ha} \text { but } 62.54298933 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{req} \\ & 60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 1.568554271 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 874.3992709ha |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 23475 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23,475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 620 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 69 loads <br> 138 wagon days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Novae } \\ & 6059+144+7465 \\ & =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =8854.918396 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.65228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | ```Area A,+4 vici, + 56 of Conrad's 83 villae ( \(67.46987 \%\) of 10960) + 3 Poulter's villae \(695+3840+7394.698795 \mathrm{ha}+360\) \(=12289.6988 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673\) \(=4772.888873 \mathrm{ha}\) \(=4320.311717 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}\) 332.658228ha b 119.9189286ha feed b``` | 4347.940650ha | ```16 of Conrad's 83 villae ( \(19.2771 \%\) of 10960 ) +43 Poulter's villae, +15 Poulter's Ind sites \(2112.771084+5160+153\) \(=7425.771084 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673\) \(=2883.909587 \mathrm{ha}+874.3992709 \mathrm{ha}\) Sextaginta surplus \(=3758.308858 \mathrm{ha}\) \(=3569.672077 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}\) 188.6367808ha feed b``` | -764.934602ha | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { vici + 46 Poulter's villae, } \\ & +63 \text { Poulter's Ind sites } \\ & =5760+5520+642.6 \\ & =11922.6 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =4630.320549 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail but } \\ & 827.2577214 \mathrm{ha} \text { req } \\ & 764.934602 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 62.3231194 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 3803.062828ha | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 41 Poulter's villae }+ \\ & \text { 21 Poulter's Ind sites } \\ & 4920+214.2 \\ & =5134.2 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & \text { 1993.943583ha } \end{aligned}$ | 5797.00641 ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ <br> 3,332,144kg <br> $\mathrm{b}=$ barle $\mathrm{y}=$ <br> 131400 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 1,663,320 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 47,368 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,374,324 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 74,512 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 294,500 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 24,618 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 5263 loads 10526 wagon days |  | 4140 loads 16,558 wagon days |  | 912 loads 5471 wagon days |  |  |  |
| Wagon day totals | 10664 |  | 16,558 |  | 5471 |  |  |  |
| Wagons pa | 32693 wagon loads $=109$ wagons required $p a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5797.006411ha surplus |

[^41]
## Appendix B.7: 50km Mule-drawn wagons Service Areas Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sexaginta Prista } \\ & 41+55= \\ & 60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 1 vicus, 11 of Conrad's 83 sites $960+$ [13.253012\% of 10960] <br> $960+1452.53012$ <br> $=2412 . .53012$ ha $/ 2 \times 0.77673$ <br> $=936.9422602$ avail but 66.95489069 ha req <br> 66.95489069ha $\begin{aligned} & =60.974435 \text { ha w } \\ & \\ & 5.98045569 \text { ha feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | + 869.9873695ha |  |  |  |  |
| w = wheat $=23475 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23,475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 2,362 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | loads 74 <br> wagon days 148 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Novae $\begin{gathered} 6059+144+7465 \\ =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ =8654.918396 \mathrm{haw} \\ 332.65228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area A, } 4 \text { vici, } 61 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { sites, 22, Poulter's villae } \\ & 695+3840+[73.494 \% \text { of } 10960=8054.939759]+2640 \\ & =15229.93976 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =5914.775555 \mathrm{ha}+869.9873695 \mathrm{ha} \text { Sextaginta surplus } \\ & =6784.762925 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =5845.313362 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & \quad 332.6582278 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \\ & \text { 606.791335ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | - 2809.605034ha | 6 vici, 11 of Conrad's 83 sites, 83 Poulter's villae, 80 Poulter's Individual sites <br> $5760+[13.253012 \%$ of $10960=1452.53012$ ha $]+9960+816$ <br> $=17988.53012$ ha $/ 2 \times 0.77673$ <br> $=6986.1155 \mathrm{ha}$ avail but 3422.410806 ha req <br> 2809.605034ha w <br> 612.8057718ha Feed b | + 3563.704694ha | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28 \text { Poulter's villae, } \\ & 19 \text { Poulter's Individual sites } \\ & 3360+193.8 \\ & =3553.8 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =1380.171537 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | + 4943.876231ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg}$ $\mathrm{b}=$ barley $=131400 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,250,446 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 239,683 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,081,698 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 242,058 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | loads 7490 <br> wagon days 14,980 |  | loads 3782 <br> wagon days 15129 |  |  |  |
| Wagon day totals | 15,128 |  | 15129 |  |  |  |
| Wagons pa | 30,256= 101 wagons required $p a$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4943.876231ha } \\ & \text { surplus } \end{aligned}$ |

[^42]
## Appendix B.8: 50km Mule-trains-Service Areas Novae-Nicopolis

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sexaginta Prista } \\ & 41+55= \\ & 60.97443506 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 11 \text { of Conrad's } 83 \text { sites } \\ & 960+[13.253012 \% \text { of } 10960] \\ & 960+1455.53012 \\ & =2412.53012 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =936.9422602 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail but } 64.57630042 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{req} \\ & =60.974435 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+ \\ & =3.601865362 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | + 872.3659598ha |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ 23475 \mathrm{~kg} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 23,475 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 1,423 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Mule-trains 44 Mule-train days 89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Novae } \\ & 6059+144+7465 \\ & =8987.576624 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =82 \\ & =8654.918396 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.65228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | ```Area A, 4 vici,, 61 of Conrad's 83 sites, 22 Poulter's villae \(695+3840+[73.494 \%\) of \(10960=8054.939759]+2640\) \(=15229.93976 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673\) \(=5914.775555 \mathrm{ha}+872.3659598 \mathrm{ha}\) Sexaginta Prista surplus \(=6787.141515 \mathrm{ha}\) \(=6075.435687 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}\) 332.6582278ha b 379.0475998ha Feed b``` | -2579.482709ha | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { vici, } 11 \text { of Conrad's } 83,83 \text { Poulter's villae } 80 \text { Poulter's Ind sites } \\ & 5760+[13.253012 \% \text { of } 10960=1452.53012 \mathrm{ha}]+9960+816 \\ & =17988.53012 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.77673 \\ & =6986.1155 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail but } 2903.892948 \mathrm{ha} \text { req } \\ & =2579.482709 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 324.410238 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | + 4082.222552 | 28 Poulter's villae 19 Poulter's Individual sites $\begin{aligned} & 3360+193.8 \\ & =3553.8 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \mathrm{x} 0.77673 \\ & =1380.171537 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | + 5462.394089ha |
| Weight <br> $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ <br> $3,332,144 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{b}=$ barley $=$ <br> 131400kg | $\begin{aligned} & 2,339,043 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 149,724 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $993,101 \mathrm{~kg}$ w <br> $128,142 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | 4679 mule-trains 9358 mule-train days |  | 2002 mule-trains 8009 mule-train days |  |  |  |
| mule train day totals | 9447 |  | 8009 |  |  |  |
| mule trains $p a$ | $17456=58$ mule trains required $p a$ |  |  |  |  | 5462.394089ha |

Appendix B.9: Summary Table Novae-Nicopolis

| Model | Surplus available | Wagons/mule trains required |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Direct routes across country calculated using radii |  |  |  |
| 23km Oxen | $5822.479915 \mathrm{ha}+64.35 \%$ | 30,640 wagon-days $=102$ wagons $p a$ |  |
|  | $5860.06866 \mathrm{ha}+64.76 \%$ | 27,157 wagon-days $=91$ wagons $p a$ |  |
| 32 km Oxen | $5281.112107 \mathrm{ha}+58.36 \%$ | 21,931 wagon-days $=73$ wagons $p a$ |  |
| 50 km Mule-drawn wagons |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 50 km Mule-train | $5634.429826 \mathrm{ha}+62.27 \%$ | 13,208 wagon-days $=44$ Mule trains $p a$ |  |
| According to road network calculated using Service Areas |  |  |  |
| 23 k Oxen | $5716.09058 \mathrm{ha}+63.17 \%$ | 39,795 wagon-days $=133$ wagons $p a$ |  |
| 32 km Oxen | $5797.006411 \mathrm{ha}+64.07 \%$ | 32,693 wagon-days $=109$ wagons $p a$ |  |
| 50 km Mule-drawn wagons | $4943.876231 \mathrm{ha}+54.64 \%$ | 30,256 mule-train days $=101$ wagons $p a$ |  |
| 50 km Mule-trains | $5462.394089 \mathrm{ha}+60.37 \%$ | 17,456 mule-train days $=58$ mule-trains $p a$ |  |
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## Annex C Transport Solutions: Dobrogea

 from the immediate vicinity of Dobrogea, but this calculation ignored the problems of transporting such foodstuffs.
 Nevertheless it was felt best to stick with the recorded distribution of forces. It is also necessary to locate 13,920 service providers derived from $20 \%$ of the suggested population for Dobrogea. There were three



 arbitrary, putting 867 in each, and in some cases this is more than the garrison size, but any other adjustment for garrison size is no less speculative.


 the limes garrison in terms of vehicle loads and travelling days travelling to any particular garrison site. It is of course only one solution; there are countless possibilities, but what this method shows is the most
 possible, in terms of time and cost even if performed as munera.

 32,566ha, allowing for that part of the potential which was being consumed at source by the farmers. Throughout Chapter Three, all needs and potentials were doubled to allow for alternate fallowing, but here in Chapter Four it is the annual potential which would have been required to be moved to consumer year on year, so that the potential was halved to $16,283 \mathrm{ha}$.
 whole days which are then divided by a 300 working day year.

Appendix C.1: 23km Oxen-drawn wagons Dobrogea

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + surplus } \\ & \text { - deficit } \end{aligned}$ | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus - deficit | Deficit after 5 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  | Four days |  | Five days |  | Long-d solution |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Durostorum } \\ & 1515+36+1250 \\ & 1162+83+671 \\ & =1916.232479 \mathrm{ha}^{93} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici, } 3 \text { Ind }=2910.6 \mathrm{ha} \\ & / 2 \times 0.769527=1119.893953 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 1008.588709 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 83.164557 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 28.14068729 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 824.479213 \\ \text { ha } \end{array}$ | No new solutions | 824.479213ha | No new solutions | 824.479213ha | No new solutions | 824.479213ha | No new solutions | 824.479213ha | 824.479213ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=705731 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=32850 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 388,306 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 32,850 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ 11116 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=1235 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2470 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sucidava } \\ & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & =911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}^{94} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 4 \text { Ind }=1960.8 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.769527=754.4451532 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 555.216705 \mathrm{ha} \text { w } \\ & 180.1898374 \mathrm{hab} \\ & 19.03857516 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{feed} \mathrm{~b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176.399819 \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 Ind }=20.4 / 2 \times 0.7695279= \\ & 7.84918458 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 7.455218845 \mathrm{ha} \text { w } \\ & 0.393965735 \mathrm{hafeed} \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | 168.9446ha | No new solutions | 168.9446ha | No new solutions | 168.9446ha | No new solutions | 168.9446ha | 168.9446ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=281672 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 213,758 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 7,520 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2870 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 156 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=836 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1672 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads }=8.6 \\ \text { Wagon days }=35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tropaeum Traiani } \\ & 619+78+800 \\ & 475+180+430 \\ & =1084.452255 \end{aligned}$ | 3 vici, 2 villae 14 Ind $=3262.8 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \mathrm{x}$ <br> $0.769527=1255.407816$ ha avail <br> 1112.46995ha req <br> 904.262377ha w <br> 180.189873ha b 28.01770025ha feed b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=348141 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 348,141 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 11,067 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Needs met <br> +142.93786 <br> 58ha to <br> Sacidava | $\begin{aligned} & =8.892585136 \text { to Axiopolis } \\ & =134.0452807 \text { to Sacidava } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  | Needs met |  | Needs met |  | Needs met | Needs met |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Loads }=1230 \\ \text { Wagon days }=2459 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sacidava } \\ & 273+867 \\ & 209+465 \\ & =674.8604455 \mathrm{ha}^{96} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 6 \mathrm{Ind}=1021.2 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.769527=392.9209457 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 383.066639 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 9.854307155 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 291.793807 \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Ind }=10.2 / 2 \times 0.7695279= \\ & 3.92459229+134.0452807 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { Tropaeum surplus }=137.969873 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { 131.0448986ha w } \\ & \text { 6.924974417ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | -160.748908ha | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { villa }+2 \mathrm{Ind}=140.4 \\ & 12 \times 0.769528= \\ & 54.02085858 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 49.9510889 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 4.069769682 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - 110.797819ha | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \text { Ind }=10.2 / 2 \\ \text { x0.7695279 } \\ =3.92459229 \mathrm{ha} \\ 3.53012283 \mathrm{ha} \\ 0.394480007 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ | - 107.267707ha | No new solutions | - 107.267707ha | - 107.267707ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=259821 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 147,481 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 3,892 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50,452 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 2,735 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 19,231 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 1,608 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1359 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 156 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| W wagons loads Wagon Days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=432 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=865 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=152 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=608 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=60 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=357 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=4.3 \\ & \text { W-days }=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Axiopolis $400+867$ $307+465$ $=772.2661468 \mathrm{ha}^{97}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { polis, } 7 \text { Ind }=1031.4 \\ & 12 \times 0.769527=396.845538 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 386.892804 \mathrm{haw} \\ & 9.95273443 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $385.373343$ <br> ha | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \text { vicus } 7 \text { Ind }=1031.4 / 2 \times \\ & 0.7695279=396.845538 \mathrm{ha}+ \\ & 8.89258 \text { surplus }=405.7381231 \\ & 385.373343 \mathrm{ha} \text { w } \\ & 20.36478014 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  | Needs met |  | Needs met |  | Needs met | Needs met |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=297322 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 148,954 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 3,931 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 145,117 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 7868 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Wagon loads }=437 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=874 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Wagon loads }=447 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1788 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 5359.617718ha moved by travelling day | 3681.571536ha $=68.6909 \%$ |  | 523.8734604ha $=9.7745 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 49.9510889 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 0.932 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.530112283 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 0.0659 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1100.691521 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 20.5368 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Wagon days sub total | 8339 |  | 2430 |  | 418 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| Wagon days this page | 11161 = 37 pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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 Appendix D.

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons |  | Settlements, weights \& wagons |  | Long distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capidava } \\ & 546+867 \\ & 419+465 \\ & =884.2443546 \text { ha }^{98} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { vici, } 4 \text { villae, } 4 \text { Ind }=5320.8 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.7695279=2047.252025 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =906.9913515 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 884.24435 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 22.74699647 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met + 1140.260674ha | $=1140.260674 \mathrm{ha}$ to Carsium |  |  |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=340434 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 340,434 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 8,985 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads 973 Wagon days | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Loads }=998 \\ \text { Wagon days }=1997 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carsium } \\ & 624+662+867 \\ & 479+1529+465 \\ & =2473.372126 \text { ha }^{99} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 3 \text { Ind }=1950.6 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =750.5205609 \\ & =356.193060 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & \text { 375.2602805ha b } \\ & \text { 19.06722038ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | -1741.918785ha | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { vici, } 1 \text { villa, } 13 \text { Ind }=4092.6 / 2 \times 0.769528=1574.684942 \\ & +1140.260674 \mathrm{ha} \text { Capidava surplus } \\ & =2714.945616 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail but } 1835.55326 \mathrm{ha} \text { req } \\ & =587.875269 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 1154.043517 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 93.63447476 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Needs net }+ \\ & 879.3923558 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Needs net + } \\ & 870.3923558 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |
| Weights <br> $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=363466 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $\mathrm{b}=$ barley $=604075 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 137,134 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 148,228 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 7,532 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 226,332 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 455,847 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 36,986 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads 2764 Wagon days | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Loads }=837 \\ \text { Wagon days }=1674 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=2055 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=8219 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cius } \\ & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & =911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}^{100} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici } 1 \text { villa } 3 \text { Ind }=3030.6 \text { ha } / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =1166.065627 \text { ha avail but } 935.3828195 \text { hareq } \\ & =731.6165234 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 180.1898734 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 23.57642268 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | + 230.6828075ha |  |  | + 230.6828075ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & w=\text { wheat }=281672 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 281,672 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ 10,378 \mathrm{~g} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads 1008 Wagon days | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads = } 1035 \\ \text { Wagon days }=2069 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 4269.422877ha moved by travelling day | $2527.504093 \mathrm{ha}=59.2 \%$ |  | 1741.918786ha $=40.8 \%$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} +1101.020431 \mathrm{ha} \\ \text { surplus } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Wagon loads sub totals | 5740 |  | 8219 |  |  |
| Wagon days this page | $13959=47 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |

In the centre of Dobrogea, the needs of Capidava, Carsium and Cius can be seen to have been met locally with a surplus of 1101 ha directed to the north.
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 than Arrubium.

| Garrison | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Deficita after 5 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  | Four days |  | Five days |  | Long distance solution |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Troesmis } \\ & 6059+144+3200 \\ & 4647 \mathrm{ha}+333 \mathrm{ha}+ \\ & 1718 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =6697.775669 \mathrm{ha}^{101} \end{aligned}$ | 2 vici, 1 villa 3 Ind = 2070.6ha $/ 2 \times 0.7695279$ $=796.6922349 \mathrm{ha}$ <br> 443.836571ha w 332.658228hab <br> 20.19743655ha feed b | 5921.280871h <br> a | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { villae } 12 \text { Ind } 102= \\ & 242.4 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =93.26678148 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & \\ & \text { 88.58554157ha w } \\ & 4.681239909 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 5832.695329ha | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \text { vici } 2 \text { Ind }=2900.4 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.7695279= \\ & 1115.969361 \\ & \text { 1031.895572ha w } \\ & 84.07378911 \text { ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $4800.799758$ ha | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { villa } 10 \text { Ind }=222 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \mathrm{x} \\ & 0.7695279=85.4175969+ \\ & (1110.075163 \mathrm{ha} \text { centre surplus } / 2= \\ & 555.0375816)=640.4551785 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \\ & 576.0798894 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 64.37528912 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 4224.719868h <br> a | $\begin{aligned} & (1 \text { vicus } 6 \mathrm{Ind}) / 2=510.6 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 1 \times 0.7695279= \\ & 196.4604729 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 171.7603454 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 24.70012754 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4052.95952 \\ & 3 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | 4052.959523ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=2450570 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=131400 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $170,877 \mathrm{~kg}$ w <br> $131,400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b <br> 7978 kg feed b |  | $\begin{aligned} & 34,105 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 1,849 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 397,280 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 33,209 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 221,790 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 25,428 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 66,128 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 9,757 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=886 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1773 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=103 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=411 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=1230 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=7380 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads }=706 \\ \text { Wagon days }=5651 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=217 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2168 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Arrubium $624+662+867$ $479 \mathrm{ha}+152 \mathrm{Ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}$ $=2473.372126 \mathrm{ha}{ }^{103}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I vicus } 2 \text { Ind }=980.4 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =377.2225766 \\ & \text { 179.027825ha w } \\ & 188.6112883 \mathrm{hab} \\ & 9.583462967 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $2105.733012$ ha | No new solutions | $2106.798613$ ha | 2 vici 1 villa 2 Ind $=$ 2060.4ha / $2 \times 0.7695279$ $=792.7676426$ <br> 335.8834356ha w 396.3838213ha b 60.50038567ha feed b | 1373.465755 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { villa } 10 \text { Ind = } 222 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \\ & \mathrm{x} 0.7695279 \\ & =85.4175969 \\ & 34.01155398 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 42.70879845 \mathrm{hab} \\ & 8.697244465 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1296.745403}$ |  | 640.957003 <br> ha | 640.957003ha $=$ 115.106141ha w 525.850862ha b |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=363466 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=604075 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68,926 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 74,501 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 3,785 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 129,315 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 156,572 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 23,898 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $13,094 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $16,870 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $3,435 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  | $107,815 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $148,421 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $37,805 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=421 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=841 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads } \\ & \text { Wagon days } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=885 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=5310 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=95 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=763 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads }=840 \\ \text { Wagon days }=8401 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| (Barbosi) <br> $137+867$ <br> $105 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}=$ <br> 570.551978ha ${ }^{104}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { vicus } 4 \mathrm{Ind}=1000.8 \mathrm{ha} \\ / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ =385.0717612 \mathrm{ha} \\ 375.414309 \mathrm{haw} \\ 9.657452607 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 195.137669 \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ | No new solutions | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \text { 195.137669 } \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ | No new solutions | 195.137669 <br> ha | $+203.9647641 \mathrm{ha}$ Noviodunum surplus <br> 183.4632659ha w 20.50149815ha feed b | 11.674403ha | No new solutions | $-11.674403 \mathrm{~h}$ | 11.674403ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=219662 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144,535 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 3,815 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70,633 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 8,098 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Loads $=424$ <br> Wagon days $=848$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=225 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1800 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

[^46]| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Dinogetia } \\ 136+867 \\ 104 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}= \\ 569.7850039 \mathrm{ha}^{105} \end{array}$ | 1 vicus 3 Ind $=990.6 \mathrm{ha}$ <br> $12 \times 0.7695279$ <br> $=381.1471689 \mathrm{haw}$ <br> 371.588144 ha w <br> 9.559025332 ha feed b | 198.196860ha | No new solutions | 198.196860ha | +214.344968 ha w Noviodunum surplus 198.196860ha w 18.20767409ha feed b | Needs met |  | Needs met |  | Needs met |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=219367 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144,535 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 3,776 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 76,306kg w 6,379236kg feed b |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads 627 Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=420 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=839 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=240 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1417 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noviodunum } \\ & 1200+867 \\ & 920 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =1385.845368 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { vici } 3 \text { villae } 15 \text { Ind }= \\ 2433 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ =936.1306904 \\ 912.652890 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ 23.47779995 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ | 473.192477ha | 2 vicus 3 villae $10 \mathrm{Ind}=$ $2382 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \mathrm{x} 0.76952279$ $=916.5077289 \mathrm{ha}$ avail but 498.1979968 ha req 473.192477 ha w 25.00551974 ha feed b | Needs met + 418.3097321ha $=214.344968 \text { ha w }$ <br> To Dinogetia $+203.9647641 \mathrm{ha}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=533550 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 351,371 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 9,274 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 182,179 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 9,877 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | to (Barboşi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads 1524 Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=1030 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2061 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads = } 549 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2195 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { 11697.33014ha moved } \\ & \text { by travelling day } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2803.789254 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 23.9695 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 561.7780186 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 4.8026 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1962.359689 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 16.7761 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $836.2635078 \mathrm{ha}=7.1492 \%$ |  | 827.5487453ha $=7.0747 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4705.59093 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 40.23 \% \\ & \text { 4179.740067ha w } \\ & \text { 525.850862ha b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Wagon days sub total | 6362 |  | 2606 |  | 14108 |  | 8214 |  | 10569 |  |  |
| Wagon days this page | $41858=139.5 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total wagon days | $13959+11161$ from centre \& south $+41767=66978$ wagon days $/ 300$ working days $\mathrm{pa}=223$ wagons at work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 $40.23 \%$, 4706ha deficit. Therefore, a long distance solution would have been necessary.

## Appendix C.1a: Comparison table \% moved by travelling day - see Table 4.2.3.1 in main thesis

|  | Day one | Day two | Day three | Day four | Day five | deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of 5359.617718ha moved by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 3681.571536 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =68.69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 523.8734604 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =9.77 \% \end{aligned}$ | $49.9510889 \mathrm{ha}=0.93 \%$ | $3.530112283 \mathrm{ha}=0.066 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1100.691521 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =20.54 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Number of wagon days for southern area 11161=37 pa wagons pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 4269.422877ha moved by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 2527.504093 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 59.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1741.918786 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 40.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0\% |
| Total Number of wagon days for central area $=13959=46.5 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 11697.33014ha moved by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 2803.789254 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 23.97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 561.7780186 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 4.80 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1962.359689 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 16.78 \% \end{aligned}$ | $836.2635078 \mathrm{ha}=7.15 \%$ | $827.5487453 \mathrm{ha}=7.07 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4705.59093 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =40.23 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total number of wagon days for north $41858=139.5 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For whole Dobrogea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of original <br> 21,326.37074ha need <br> by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 9012.864883 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 42.26 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2827.570265 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 13.26 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012.31078 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 9.44 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 839.79362 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 3.94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 827.5487453 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 3.88 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5806.282450ha } \\ & =27.23 \% \end{aligned}$ |

[^47]| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Appendix C.2: } 32 \\ & \hline \text { Garrison } \end{aligned}$ | m Oxen-drawn wagons, Dobrogea Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Deficit after 5 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  | Four days |  | Long-d solution |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Durostorum } \\ & 1515+36+1250 \\ & 1162+83+671 \\ & =1916.232479 \mathrm{ha}^{107} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici, } 3 \text { Ind }=2910.6 \mathrm{ha} \\ & / 2 \times 0.7695279=1119.893953 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 1008.588709 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 83.16455696 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 28.14068729 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - 824.479213ha | No new solutions | - 824.479213ha | No new solutions | - 824.479213ha | No new solutions | - 824.479213ha | - 824.479213ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=705731 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=32850 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 388,307 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 32,850 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 11,116 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=1235 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2470 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sucidava } \\ & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & =911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}^{108} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \text { vici } 5 \text { Ind }=1971 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.7695299=758.3697455 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 559.042879 \mathrm{haw} \\ & 180.189873 \mathrm{wab} \\ & 19.13700243 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - 172.573654ha | No new solutions | - 172.573654ha | No new solutions | - 172.573654ha | No new solutions | - 172.573654ha | - 172.573654ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=281672 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 215,232 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 7,559 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=840 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1680 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tropaeum Traiani } \\ & 619+78+800 \\ & 475+180+430 \\ & =1084.45225^{109} \end{aligned}$ | ```3 vici, 3 villae 18 Ind \(=3423.6\) ha \(/ 2 \times 0.7695279\) \(=1317.277859\) ha avail but 1112.46995 ha req 904.2623766ha w 180.1898734ha b 28.01770025ha feed b``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=348141 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 348,141 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 12,333 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Needs met } \\ & +204.8079088 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =6.456957152 \text { to Axiopolis } \\ & =198.6750388 \text { to Sacidava } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  | Needs met |  | Needs met | Needs met |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=1233 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2466 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sacidava } \\ & 273+867 \\ & 209+465 \\ & =674.8604455 \mathrm{ha}^{110} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 6 \mathrm{IId}=1021.2 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.7695279=392.9209457 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 383.066639 \mathrm{haw} \\ & 9.854307155 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | -291.793807ha | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { Ind }=20.4 / 2 \times 0.7695279= \\ & 7.84918458+198.6750388 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { Tropaeum surplus }=206.2001364 \mathrm{a} \\ & \text { 195.8505533ha w } \\ & 10.34958313 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -95.635433ha | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{Ind}=10.2 / 2 \times 0.76953= \\ & 3.92459229 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { 3.628925262ha w } \\ & 0.295667028 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | -92.006508 | No new solutions | -92.006508 | -92.006508 |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=259821 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 147,480 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 3,892 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 75,402 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 4,088 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{array}$ |  | 1397 kg w 117 kg feed b |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon Days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=432 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=865 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=227 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=908 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=4.3 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=26 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Axiopolis } \\ & 400+867 \\ & 307+465 \\ & =772.2661468 \mathrm{ha}^{111} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vicus/polis } 12 \mathrm{Ind}=2042.4 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.7695279=785.8418915 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 766.133277 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 19.70861431 \mathrm{haf} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | -6.132870 | $\begin{aligned} & +6.456957152 \mathrm{ha} \text { Tropaeum surplus } \\ & \text { 6.132870ha } \mathrm{w} \\ & 0.3240871519 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  | Needs met |  | Needs met | Needs met |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=297322 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 294,961 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 7,785 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2361 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 128 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=865 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1730 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads }=7 \\ \text { Wagon days }=28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 5359.617718ha moved by travelling day | 4064.638175ha $=75.838 \%$ |  | 201.9834233ha $=3.7686 \%$ |  | $3.596001928 \mathrm{ha}=0.067 \%$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1089.059374ha = } \\ & 20.3197 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Wagon day sub totals | 9204 |  | 937 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Wagon days this page | $10169=34 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^48] need, 4270ha was visible in the south, resulting in a $20.32 \%$ deficit of 1089 ha , suggested to have been met either from Novae-Nicopolis or by overseas shipments.

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons |  | Settlements, weights \& wagons |  | Long distance solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capidava } \\ & 546+867 \\ & 419+465 \\ & =884.2443546 \mathrm{ha}^{112} \end{aligned}$ | ```6 vici, 4 villae, 10 Ind \(=6342 \mathrm{ha}\) /2 x 0.769528 \(=2440.172971 \mathrm{ha}\) 909.5931317ha 884.2443546ha w 22.74699646ha feed b``` | Needs met + 1533.18162ha to Carsium |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=340434 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 340434 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 10013 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads }=1001 \\ \text { Wagon days }=2002 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carsium } \\ & 624+662+867 \\ & 479+1529+465 \\ & =2473.372126 \mathrm{ha}^{113} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{rl} \hline 2 \text { vici } 3 \text { Ind }= & 1950.6 \mathrm{ha} \\ & / 2 \times 0.769528 \\ = & 750.5205609 \\ 356.193060 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} 375.2602805 \mathrm{hab} \mathrm{~b} \\ \text { 19.06722038ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | -1741.918785ha | ```4 vici, 2 villa, 8 Ind \(=4161.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279=1601.233654+\) 1533.18162ha Capidava surplus \(=3134.415274 \mathrm{ha}\) avail but 1835.55326 ha req 587.875269ha w 1154.043517ha b 93.63447451ha feed b``` | Needs net + <br> 1298.862014ha = to north | + 1298.862014ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=363466 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=604075 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 137,134 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ 148,228 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 7,532 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 226,332 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 455,847 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 36,986 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=837 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1674 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=2055 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=8219 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cius } \\ & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & =911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}^{114} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \text { vici } 1 \text { villa } 6 \text { Ind }=3061.2 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \quad 12 \times 0.769528 \\ & =1177.839404 \text { ha } \\ & 938.0794691 \quad \\ & 731.6165234 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & \text { 180.1898734ha b } \\ & 23.57642268 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | + 242.4565845 |  |  | + 242.4565845 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=281672 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 281672 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 71175 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ 10378 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads }=1038 \\ \text { Wagon days }=2076 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=2055 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=8219 \end{aligned}$ |  | +1541.318599 |
| \% of 4269.422877ha moved by travelling day | 2527.5041ha $=59.2001 \%$ |  | $1741.918786 \mathrm{ha}=40.7999 \%$ |  | 0\% |
| Wagon day sub totals | 5740 |  | 8219 |  |  |
| Wagon days this page | $13959=47$ pa |  |  |  |  |






[^49] mostly from Capidava) and Cius was divided evenly between both consumer sites, although this could have reached Troesmis in a shorter time than Arrubium.

| Garrison | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weights \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight and wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Deficita after 4 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day |  | Two days |  | Three days |  | Four days |  | Long distance solution |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Troesmis } \\ 6059+144+3200 \\ 4647 \mathrm{ha}+333 \mathrm{ha}+1718 \mathrm{ha} \\ =6697.775669 \mathrm{ha}^{115} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici, } 1 \text { villa } 4 \text { Ind }=2080.8 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \text { /2x } 0.769528 \\ & =800.6168272 \mathrm{ha} \\ & \\ & 447.662736 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 332.658228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 20.29586382 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{feed} \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | 5917.454706ha | ```3 vici 1 villae 6 Ind116 \(=\) 3061.2ha \(/ 2 \times 0.7695279=\) 1177.839404ha 1118.721369ha w 59.1180347ha feed b``` | 4798.733337ha | $\begin{aligned} & (9 \text { Ind } / 2=45.9)+(1 \text { vicus } 1 \text { Ind }) / 2= \\ & 485.1)=531 / 2 \times 0.7695279= \\ & 204.3096575 \\ & +63.61397317 \mathrm{ha} \text { Noviodunum } 2 \text { day } \\ & \text { surplus }+(1541.318599 \text { centre surplus } / 2= \\ & 770.6592995) \\ & =1038.58293 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail } \\ & 960.3392028 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 78.24372718 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - 3838.394134 | $\begin{aligned} & (1 \text { Ind })=10.2 / 2 \times 0.769528 \\ & 3.92459229 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 3.5301123 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 0.39448 \text { ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 3834.864022ha | 3834.864022ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=2450570 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=131400 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172,350 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 131,400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 8,017 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 430,708 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 23,352 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 369,731 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 30,906 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1359 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 156 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=891 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1782 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=1297 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=5189 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=1145 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=6868 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=4.3 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arrubium } \\ & 624+662+867 \\ & 479 \mathrm{ha}+1529 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =2473.372126 \mathrm{ha}^{117} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \text { vicus } 2 \text { Ind }=980.4 \\ 12 \times 0.7695279 \\ =377.2225766 \mathrm{ha} \\ =179.027825 \mathrm{ha} \\ 188.6112883 \mathrm{hab} \\ \quad 9.583462967 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{array}$ | 2105.733012ha | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 2 \text { villa } 5 \text { Ind }=1251 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 12 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =481.3397015 \\ & =216.1967234 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 240.6698508 \mathrm{hab} \\ & 24.47312738 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 1648.866438ha | $\begin{aligned} & (9 \text { Ind } / 2=45.9) / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =17.66066531 \\ & \\ & 7.482551786 \mathrm{haw} \\ & 8.83033266 \mathrm{~b} \\ & 1.347780869 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | - 1632.553554 | ```(1 vicus 1 Ind/2) = 485.1 /2 x 0.7695279 = 186.6489921 + (1541.318599 centre surplus /2 = 770.6592995) = 957.3082916ha avail 381.18074ha w, 478.65415ha b 97.473408ha feed b``` | - 772.718670ha | - 772.718670 ha |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Weights } \\ \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=363466 \mathrm{~kg} \\ \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }=604075 \mathrm{~kg} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 68,926 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{w} \\ 74,501 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ 3,785 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 82,236 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 95,065 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 9,667 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2881 kg w 3488 kg b 532kg feed b |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 146,755 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 189,068 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 38,502 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=421 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=841 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=537 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2148 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=20 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=118 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Loads }=1069 \\ \text { Wagon days }=8556 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (Barbosi) } \\ & 137+867 \\ & 105 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 570.551978 \mathrm{ha}^{118} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { vicus } 4 \mathrm{Ind}=1000.8 \mathrm{ha} \\ 12 \times 0.7695279 \\ =385.0717612 \mathrm{ha} \\ 375.414309 \mathrm{haw} \\ 9.657452607 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{gathered}$ | - 195.137669ha | No new solutions | - 195.137669ha | +211.0365291 ha Noviodunum 2 day <br> 195.137669ha w <br> 15.89886ha feed b | Needs met |  |  |  |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=219662 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144,534 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ 3,815 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 75128 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 6280 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=424 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=848 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=233 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1396 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dinogetia } \\ & 136+867 \\ & 104 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 569.7850039 \mathrm{ha}^{119} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 1 \text { vicus } 3 \text { Ind }=990.6 \mathrm{ha} \\ 12 \times 0.7695279 \\ =381.1471689 \\ 371.5881441 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ 9.559025332 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 198.196860ha | No new solutions | 198.196860ha | $\begin{aligned} & \hline+214.344968 \mathrm{ha} \text { Noviodunum } 2 \text { day } \\ & \text { surplus } \\ & 198.196860 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 16.148108 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=219367 \mathrm{~kg}$ wagons loads 628 | $14,3061 \mathrm{~kg}$ w <br> $3,776 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 76306 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 6379 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  |  |  |
| Wagon days | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Loads }=420 \\ \text { Wagon days } 839 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=236 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1417 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

[^50]| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noviodunum } \\ & 1200+867 \\ & 920 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =1385.845368 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici } 4 \text { villae 23 Ind }=3594.6 \\ & 12 \times 0.7655279 \\ & =1383.072495 \\ & 1348.365565 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 34.8869296 \mathrm{ha} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 37.459802ha | ```1 vicus 2 villae 17 Ind [excluding sites also within 2 days of Troesmis] \(=1373.4\) \(12 \times 0.7695279\) \(=528.4348089\) avail but 39.43933859ha req 37.459802ha w 1.979536586ha feed b``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Needs met } \\ & +488.9954703 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =214.344968 \mathrm{ha} \text { to Dinogetia } \\ & =211.0365291 \mathrm{ha} \text { to Barbosi } \\ & =63.61397317 \mathrm{ha} \text { to Troesmis } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }=533550 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 519,128 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ 13,701 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14422 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 782 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Loads }=1522 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=3045 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=43 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=174 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 11697.33014ha northern needs by travelling day | $3243.348094 \mathrm{ha}=27.727 \%$ |  | 1613.047746ha $=13.7899 \%$ |  | $1369.986617 \mathrm{ha}=11.711 \%$ | 863.365ha $=7.3809 \%$ | $4607.582692 \mathrm{ha}=39.39 \%$ 3995.044512 ha w 612.538180ha b |
| Wagon days sub total | 7354 |  | 7511 |  | 9712 | 8591 |  |
| Wagon days this page | $33255=110 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


4606ha deficit. Therefore, a long distance solution would have been necessary.

## Appendix C.2a: Comparison table \% moved by travelling day - see also Table 4.2.3.1 in main thesis

| \% 21326.37074 to be moved by travelling day | Day one | Day two | Day three | Day four | Day five | deficit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of 5359.617718ha moved by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 4064.638175 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 75.84 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 201.9834233 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 3.77 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.596001928 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 0.067 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1089.059374 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 20.3197 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Number of wagon days for southern area 10167 = 34 pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 4269.422877ha moved by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 2527.504093 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 59.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1741.918786 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 40.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0\% |
| Total Number of wagon days for central area $=13959=46.5 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 11697.33014ha moved by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 3243.348094 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =27.73 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1613.047746 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =13.79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1369.986617 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 11.71 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 863.365ha } \\ & =7.38 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4607.582692 \mathrm{ha}= \\ 39.39 \% \\ \text { 3995.044512ha w } \\ \text { 612.538180ha b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total number of wagon days for north $33255=111 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For Whole Dobrogea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of original <br> 21,326.37074ha need <br> by travelling day | $\begin{aligned} & 9835.4903608 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 46.12 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3556.949954 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 16.68 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1373.61554 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 6.44 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 863.365 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 4.05 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5696.949887 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 26.71 \% \end{aligned}$ |

[^51]
## Appendix C.3: 50km Mule-drawn wagons, Dobrogea

| Garrison | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Deficit after Two days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day's distance, two days travelling $=32 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed from 0.08101265823 ha barley |  | Two days' distance, four days travelling $=64 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed from 0.1620253165 ha barley |  | Long Distance Solution |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Durostorum } \\ & 1515+36+1250 \\ & 1162+83+671 \\ & = \\ & 1916.232479 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici } 3 \text { Ind }=2910.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279=1119.893953 \text { ha Total Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 936.506776 \text { ha } w+83.164557 \text { ha b }+100.2226201 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 896.56114 \\ & 6 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |  | 896.561146ha | 896.561146ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 705731 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }= \\ & 32850 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 360,555 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 32,850 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 39,588 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=1237 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2474 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sucidava } \\ & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & = \\ & 911.8063968 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 5 \text { Ind }=1971 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =758.3697455 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b }))+\text { Feed b } \\ & 510.023736 \text { ha } w+180.1898734 \text { ha b }+68.1561365 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline- \\ & 221.59278 \\ & 8 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |  | $221.592788 \mathrm{ha}$ | $221.592788 \mathrm{ha}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \text { w = wheat = } \\ & 281672 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }= \\ & 71175 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196,359 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b} \\ & 26,922 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Loads $=841$ <br> Wagon days 1682 |  |  |  |  |
| Tropaeum Traiani $\begin{aligned} & 619+78+800 \\ & 475+180+430 \\ & =1084.45225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici } 3 \text { villae } 18 \text { Ind }=3423.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =1317.277859 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 904.262377 \text { ha } \mathrm{w}+180.1898734 \mathrm{ha})+106.8235992 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Needs met } \\ & +126.0020 \\ & 09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =54.31998594 \mathrm{ha} \text { to Axiopolis } \\ & =71.6820236 \mathrm{ha} \text { to Sacidava } \end{aligned}$ |  | Needs met |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ 348141 kg b = barley = 71175 kg | $348,141 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $71,175 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $42,195 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |  | Needs met |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Loads 1319 <br> Wagon days 2637 |  |  |  |  |
| Sacidava $\begin{aligned} & 273+867 \\ & 209+465 \end{aligned}$ <br> $=$ <br> 674.8604455ha <br> 124 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 6 \text { Ind }=1021.2 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =392.9209457 \text { ha Potential }+71.6820236 \text { ha Tropaeum surplus } \\ & =464.602969 \mathrm{ha} \text { avail } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}-\text { Feed b })+\text { Feed b }) \\ & =423.104321 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+41.49864792 \mathrm{ha} \text { Feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 251.75612 \\ & \text { 4ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Ind }=10.2 / 2 \times 0.7695279=3.92459229 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed }))+\text { Feed b } \\ & =3.221867601 \mathrm{ha}+0.702724689 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $248.534257 \mathrm{ha}$ | $248.534257 \mathrm{ha}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 259821 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 162,895 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 16,392 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1240kg w } \\ & 278 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon Days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=512 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=1024 \end{aligned}$ |  | Loads $=4.337$ <br> Wagon days $=17$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Axiopolis } \\ & 400+867 \\ & 307+465 \\ & = \\ & 772.2661468 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 14 \text { Ind }=2062.8 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =793.6910761 \text { Potential }+54.31998594 \text { ha Tropaeum Surplus within } 1 \\ & \text { day of Axiopolis }=848.011063 \text { ha } \\ & \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential w })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 772.266147 \text { ha }+75.74491521 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  |  | Needs met |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \text { w = wheat = } \\ & 297322 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 297,322 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 29,919 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Loads 935 <br> Wagon days 1870 |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 5359.617718ha moved by travelling day | $3989.70766=74.440 \%$ |  | $3.221867601 \mathrm{ha}=0.0601 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1366.688190 \mathrm{~h} \\ & \mathrm{a}=25.4997 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total Number of wagon days for southern area $9705=32$ wagons $p a$ |  |  |  |  |

With increased feed-barley requirements the deficit was greater than that seen with oxen above.

[^52]| Garrison | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Long <br> Distance Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day's distance, two days travelling $=32 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed from 0.08101265823 ha barley |  | Two days' distance, four days travelling $=64 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed from 0.1620253165 ha barley |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Capidava } \\ & 546+867 \\ & 419+465 \\ & = \\ & 884.2443546 \mathrm{ha}^{126} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { vici, } 5 \text { villae, } 15 \text { Ind }=10353 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =3983.461174 \text { ha avail but } 970.972245 \text { req } \\ & \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 884.244355 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+86.72789031 \text { ha b feed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Needs met } \\ & + \\ & 3012.4889 \\ & 29 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =1965.414684 \text { ha to Carsium within } 1 \text { day } \\ & =1047.074245 \text { ha to Troesmis within } 2 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 340434 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 340,434 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 34,258 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Loads 1071 <br> Wagon days 2141 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carsium } \\ & 624+662+867 \\ & 479+1529+465 \\ & = \\ & 2473.372126 \mathrm{ha}^{127} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 4 \text { Ind }=1960.8 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =754.4451532 \mathrm{ha} \text { Potential }+1965.414684 \mathrm{ha} \text { Capidava surplus within } \\ & \text { one day of Carsium }=2719.859837 \mathrm{ha} \text { req } \\ & \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential w }+ \text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & =944.0683286 \mathrm{ha} \text { w }+1529.303797 \mathrm{ha}+246.4877111 \text { ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 363466 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }= \\ & 604075 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363,466 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 604,075 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 97,363 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=3043 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=6085 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cius } \\ & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & = \\ & 911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}^{128} \end{aligned}$ | ```4 vici 4 villae 9 Ind \(=4411.8 / 2 \times 0.7695279\) \(=1697.501595\) ha avail but 1001.696656 ha req Load \(=(\) Cargo \(=\) Potential \(w+\) Potential \(b)+\) Feed \(b\) 731.6165234ha w +180.1898734 ha \(w+89.89025886\) ha feed b``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Needs met } \\ & + \\ & 695.80493 \\ & 93 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $=695.8049393$ ha Surplus to Arrubium within 2 days |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 281672 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }= \\ & 71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $281,672 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $71,175 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $35,507 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads = } 1110 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=2219 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 4269.422877ha moved by travelling day | 4269.422877ha $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Number of wagon days for central area $=10445$ wagons $=35 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |

When considering those sites between 50 and 100km from consumption centres, part of Noviodunum's surplus was directed to Dinogetia and [Barboşi], while those sites that were within 100 km of both Arrubium and Troesmis were allocated to Arrubium, whereas those that were only within 100 km of Troesmis were allocated to the fortress there. Similarly, the surpluses available from the south were divided between Troesmis and Arrubium, that from Capidava further south going to Troesmis, that from the more northerly Cius going to Arrubium; this division is of course arbitrary for the purposes of modelling and the surplus could have been directed in any proportion between the two sites.

| Garrison | Settlements, weight \& wagons | surplus - deficit | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Long <br> Distance Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One day's distance, two days travelling $=32 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed from 0.08101265823 ha barley |  | Two days' distance, four days travelling $=64 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed from 0.1620253165 ha barley |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Troesmis } \\ & 6059+144+ \\ & 3200 \\ & 4647 \mathrm{ha}+333 \mathrm{ha}+ \\ & 1718 \mathrm{ha} \\ & = \\ & 6697.775669 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici, } 1 \text { villa, } 5 \text { Ind }=2091 / 2 \times 0.7695279= \\ & 804.5414195 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & =399.249241 \text { ha } \mathrm{w}+332.658228 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b}+72.63395053 \mathrm{ha} \text { Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 5965.86 68200ha | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus, } 1 \text { villa, } 8 \text { Ind }=1161.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =446.9418043 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +1047.074245 \mathrm{ha} \text { from Capidava surplus } \\ & =1494.016049 \mathrm{ha} \text { Total Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & =1226.50241 \mathrm{ha}+267.5136385 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $4739.365790$ <br> ha | $4739.365790$ <br> ha |
| Weights $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 2450570 kg $\mathrm{b}=$ barle $\mathrm{y}=$ 131400 kg | $153,711 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $131,400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $28,690 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  | $\begin{aligned} & 472,203 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 105,668 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads | Loads $=897$ |  | Loads $=1651$ |  |  |

[^53]| Wagon days | Wagon days $=1793$ |  | Wagon days $=6604$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arrubium } \\ & 624+662+867 \\ & 479 \mathrm{ha}+1529 \mathrm{ha}+ \\ & 465 \mathrm{ha} \\ & = \\ & 2473.372126 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | ```1 vicus 2 Ind \(=980.4 / 2 \times 0.7695279=377.2225766\) Total Potential Load \(=((\) Cargo \(=(\) Potential \(w-\) Feed b \()+\) Potential b \()+\) Feed b \(=154.479934\) ha \(w+188.6112883\) ha \(b+34.13135429\) ha Feed \(b\)``` | 2130.28 <br> 0904ha | ```3 vici 16 Ind \(=3043.2 / 2 \times 0.7695279\) \(=1170.913653\) Potential +695.8049393 ha from Cius surplus \(=1866.718592 \mathrm{ha}\) Total Potential Load \(=((\) Cargo \(=(\) Potential \(w-\) Feed b \()+\) Potential b \()+\) Feed b \(=594.7698662\) ha \(w+933.359296\) ha b +338.5894298 ha Feed \(b\)``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 602.151742 \mathrm{~h} \\ & \mathrm{a}= \\ & 194.818528 \mathrm{~h} \\ & \text { aw } \\ & 407.333213 \mathrm{~h} \\ & \text { ab } \end{aligned}$ |
| Weights $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 363466 kg $\mathrm{b}=$ barle $\mathrm{y}=$ 604075 kg | $59,475 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $74,501 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $13,482 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  | $228,986 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $368,677 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $133,743 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads = } 421 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=843 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=2090 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=8359 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| (Barbosi) $137+867$ $105 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}=$ $570.551978 \mathrm{ha}^{131}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 4 \text { Ind }=1000.8 / 2 \times 0.7695279=385.0717612 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed } b))+\text { Feed } b \\ & =350.676894 \text { ha } w+34.39486725 \text { ha Feed } b \end{aligned}$ | 219.875 <br> 084ha | $\begin{aligned} & +187.018983 \text { ha from Noviodunum surplus } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & =153.5319742 \text { ha } w+33.4870082 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 66.343110ha | 66.343110ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ 219662 kg | $135,011 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $13,586 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  | $\begin{aligned} & 59,110 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 13,227 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Loads $=425$ <br> Wagon days $=849$ |  | Loads = 207 <br> Wagon days $=827$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dinogetia } \\ & 136+867 \\ & 104 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 569.7850039 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 132 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 3 \text { Ind }=990.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =381.1471689 \text { ha Total potential } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential } w)+\text { Feed b } \\ & =347.102849 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+34.04432004 \mathrm{ha} \text { Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $222.682$ <br> 155ha | $\begin{aligned} & +187.018983 \text { ha from Noviodunum surplus } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & =153.5319742 \text { ha } w+33.4870082 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 69.150181ha | 69.150181ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ 219367 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 133,635 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 13,448 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $59,110 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $13,227 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wagons loads }=420 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=840 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=207 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=827 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noviodunum } \\ & 1200+867 \\ & 920 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =1385.845368 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { vici, } 6 \text { villae }, 36 \text { Ind }=4927.2 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =1895.808934 \text { ha avail but } 1521.770968 \text { ha req } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential w })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 1385.8453674 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+135.9256006 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | Needs met + 374.037 966ha | $\begin{aligned} & =374.037966 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \\ & =187.018983 \mathrm{ha} \text { to Dinogetia } \\ & =187.018983 \mathrm{ha} \text { to (Barboşi) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Needs met |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 533550 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 533,550 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 53,691 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads 1524 <br> Wagon day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loads }=1678 \\ & \text { Wagon days }=3355 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% 11697.33014ha moved by travelling day | $3158.623801 \mathrm{ha}=27.00294 \%$ |  | $3061.695521 \mathrm{ha}=26.1743 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5477.010822 \\ & \text { ha = } \\ & 46.8227 \% \\ & =5069.67760 \\ & \text { 9ha w } \\ & 407.3332 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total number of wagon days for north $=24298 / 300$ working day year $=81 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C.3a: Comparison table \% moved by travelling day - see also Table 4.2.3.1 in main thesis


[^54]The total number of wagon days using mules is lower than those using oxen because they are moving faster: 148 would have been required rather than 191 on a 32 km travelling day and 223 on a 23 km travelling day. However, a smaller percentage of the need is provided from the immediate hinterland because a greater quantity of feed barley has been deducted, so that in this model only $68 \%$ of the overall need is available locally

## Appendix C.4: 50km Mule-trains Dobrogea

| Garrison | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight \& wagons | surplus <br> - deficit | Deficit after Two days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 mule-train carrying 70 kg each less 2 kg feed per day, so 560 kg load less 32 kg feed b from 0.08101265823 ha for a day return |  | 8 mule-train carrying 70 kg each less 2.5 kg feed per day, so 560 kg load less 64 kg feed b from 0.1620253165 ha for two day return |  | Long Distance Solution |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Durostorum } \\ & 1515+36+1250 \\ & 1162+83+671 \\ & = \\ & 1916.232479 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 134 \end{aligned}$ | 3 vici 3 Ind $=2910.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279=1119.893953$ ha Total Potential Load $=(($ Cargo $=($ Potential $w-$ Feed b $)+$ Potential b $)+$ Feed b 974.14407ha w + 83.164557ha b + 62.58468905ha Feed b | $\begin{aligned} & 858.92321 \\ & 5 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 858.923215ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 705731 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }= \\ & 32850 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 375,046 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 32,850 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 24,720 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=773 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=1545 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sucidava $\begin{aligned} & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & = \\ & 911.8063968 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 5 \text { Ind }=1971 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =758.3697455 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b }))+\text { Feed b } \\ & 535.619314 \text { ha } w+180.1898734 \text { ha } b+42.56055775 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195.99720 \\ & 9 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 195.997209ha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 281672 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }= \\ & 71175 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206,213 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 16,811 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=525 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=1051 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Tropaeum Traiani $\begin{aligned} & 619+78+800 \\ & 475+180+430 \\ & =1084.45225 \end{aligned}$ | ```3 vici, 3 villae, 18 Ind = 3423.6 /2 x 0.7695279 = 1317.277859ha Potential avail but 1148.789191ha req Load = (Cargo = Potential w + Potential b ) + Feed b 904.262377ha w + 180.1898734ha) + 64.3369411ha``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Needs met } \\ & + \\ & 168.48866 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | +144.2945 ha to Sacidava +24.194168 ha to Axiopolis |  | Needs met |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ 348141 kg $\mathrm{b}=$ barley $=$ 71175 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 348,141 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 25,413 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=794 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=1588 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sacidava } \\ & 273+867 \\ & 209+465 \\ & = \\ & 674.8604455 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus, } 6 \text { Ind }=1021.2 \mathrm{ha} / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =392.9209457 \mathrm{ha} \text { Potential }+144.2945 \text { Tropaeum surplus } \\ & =537.2154457 \mathrm{ha} \text { Total Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & =507.251238 \mathrm{ha}+29.96420804 \mathrm{ha} \text { Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 167.60920 \\ & \text { 8ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Ind }=10.2 / 2 \times 0.7695279=3.92459229 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed } b))+\text { Feed } b \\ & =3.486151793 \text { ha }+0.438440497 \text { ha Feed } b \end{aligned}$ |  | 164.123056ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ 259821 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 195,292 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 11,836 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,342 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 173 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon Days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=370 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=740 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=2.7 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=11 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Axiopolis } \\ & 400+867 \\ & 307+465 \\ & = \\ & 772.2661468 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 138 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 14 \text { Ind }=2062.8 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =793.6910761 \text { Potential }+24.19416798 \text { ha Tropaeum surplus } \\ & =817.8852441 \text { ha } \\ & \\ & \text { Cargo w + Feed b } \\ & 772.2661468 \text { ha w }+45.61909714 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  |  | Needs met |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 297322 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 297,322 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 18,020 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=563 \\ & \text { Mule train days }=1126 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 5359.617718 ha moved by travelling day | $4137.0880874 \mathrm{a}=77.19 \%$ |  | $3.486151793 \mathrm{ha}=0.065 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1219.04348 \mathrm{ha} \\ & = \\ & 22.75 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total Number of mule train days for southern area 6061=20 pa |  |  |  |  |

[^55]| Garrison | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight \& wagons | surplus <br> - deficit | Long Distance Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 mule-train carrying 70 kg each less 2 kg feed per day, so 560 kg load less 32 kg feed b from 0.08101265823 ha for a day return |  | 8 mule-train carrying 70 kg each less 2.5 kg feed per day, so 560 kg load less 64 kg feed b from 0.1620253165 ha for two day return |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Capidava } \\ & 546+867 \\ & 419+465 \\ & = \\ & 884.2443546 \text { ha }^{139} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { vici, } 5 \text { villae, } 15 \text { Ind }=10353 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =3983.461174 \text { ha Potential avail but } 936.4781983 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{req} \\ & \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 884.2443546 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+52.23384357 \mathrm{ha} \text { b feed } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met $+$ $3046.9829$ <br> 76ha | $\begin{aligned} & =1867.379801 \text { ha to Carsium within } 1 \text { day } \\ & =1179.603175 \text { ha to Troesmis within } 2 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ 340434 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 340,434 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 20,632 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=645 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=1290 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carsium } \\ & 624+662+867 \\ & 479+1529+465 \\ & = \\ & 2473.372126 \mathrm{ha}^{140} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { vici } 4 \text { Ind }=1960.8 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =754.4451532 \text { ha Potential }+1867.379801 \text { ha Capidava surplus } \\ & =2621.824954 \text { ha Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & =944.0683286 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+1529.303797 \mathrm{ha}+148.4528275 \text { ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | Needs met |  |  |  |
| Weights $\mathrm{w}=$ wheat $=$ 363466 kg $\mathrm{b}=$ barle $\mathrm{y}=$ 604075 kg | 363.466 kg w $604,075 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $58,639 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=1832 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=3665 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cius } \\ & 347+78+867 \\ & 266+180+465 \\ & = \\ & 911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}^{141} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { vici } 4 \text { villae } 9 \text { Ind }=4411.8 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =1697.501595 \text { ha Potential avail but } 965.9448489 \text { ha req } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential } w+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 731.6165234 \text { haw }+180.1898734 \text { ha } w+54.13845193 \text { ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 731.55674 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | = 731.5567461 Surplus to Arrubium |  |  |
| Weights $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 281672 kg $\mathrm{b}=$ barle $\mathrm{y}=$ 71175 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 281,672 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 71,175 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 21,385 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=668 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=1337 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 4269.422877ha moved by travelling day | $4269.422877 \mathrm{ha}=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of mule-train days for central area $=6291 / 300=21 \mathrm{pa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

When considering those sites between 50 and 100km from consumption centres, part of Noviodunum's surplus was directed to Dinogetia and [Barboşi], while those sites that were within 100km of both Arrubium and Troesmis were allocated to Arrubium, and those that were only within 100km of Troesmis were allocated to the fortress there. Similarly, the surplus seen above at Capidava was directed to Troesmis and that seen at Cius was directed to Arrubium, a simple arbitrary division for modelling purposes.

| Garrison | Settlements, weight \& wagons | surplus <br> - deficit | Settlements, weight \& wagons | + surplus <br> - deficit | Long <br> Distance <br> Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 mule train carrying 70 kg each less 2.5 kg feed per day, so 560 kg load less 40 kg feed b from 0.10126582 ha barley |  | 8 mule train carrying 70 kg each less 2.5 kg feed per day, so 560 kg load less 80 kg feed b from 0.2025316456 ha barley |  |  |
| Troesmis $\begin{aligned} & 6059+144+ \\ & 3200 \\ & 4647 \mathrm{ha}+333 \mathrm{ha}+ \\ & 1718 \mathrm{ha} \\ & = \\ & 6697.775669 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 142 \end{aligned}$ | 2 vici, 1 villa, 5 Ind $=2091 / 2 \times 0.7695279=$ 804.5414195ha Potential $\begin{aligned} & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed } b)+\text { Potential } b)+\text { Feed b } \\ & =426.526433 \text { ha w }+332.658228 \text { ha b }+45.35675883 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $5938.59$ <br> 1009ha | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus, } 1 \text { villa, } 8 \text { Ind }=1161.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =446.9418043 \text { ha }+1179.603175 \text { ha from Capidava surplus } \\ & =1626.544979 \text { ha Total Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } \mathrm{w}-\text { Feed } b)+\text { Potential } b)+\text { Feed } b \\ & =1444.833572 \text { ha } w+181.7114075 \mathrm{ha} \text { Feed } \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4493.7574 \\ & 37 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4493.7574 \\ & \text { 37ha } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \mathrm{w}=\text { wheat }= \\ & 2450570 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \mathrm{~b}=\text { barley }= \\ & 131400 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $164,213 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $131,400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b $17,916 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  | $\begin{gathered} 556,261 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ 71,776 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=560 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=1120 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=1121.49 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=4486 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

[^56]| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Arrubium } \\ & 624+662+867 \\ & 479 \mathrm{ha}+1529 \mathrm{ha}+ \\ & 465 \mathrm{ha} \\ & = \\ & 2473.372126 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus, } 2 \text { Ind }=980.4 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =377.2225766 \text { ha Total Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 167.297735 \text { ha } w+188.6112883 \text { ha b }+21.3135537 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | 2117.46 <br> 3103ha | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { vici, } 16 \text { Ind }=3043.2 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =1170.913653 \text { Potential }+731.5567461 \text { ha from Cius surplus } \\ & =1902.470399 \text { ha Total Potential } \\ & \text { Load }=((\text { Cargo }=(\text { Potential } w-\text { Feed b })+\text { Potential b })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 735.938238 \text { ha } w+951.2351995 \text { ha } b+215.2969615 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 430.28966 \\ & 6 \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 430.28966 \\ & = \\ & 40.832356 \\ & \text { ha w } \\ & 389.45731 \\ & \text { Oha b } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & w=\text { wheat }= \\ & 363466 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & b=\text { barley }= \\ & 604075 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $64,410 \mathrm{~kg}$ w $74,501 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b}$ $8,419 \mathrm{~kg}$ feed b |  | $\begin{aligned} & 283,336 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 375,738 \mathrm{~kg} \text { b } \\ & 85,042 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | - |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=263 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=526 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mule trains = } 1329 \\ & \text { Mule train days =5315 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Barbosi) } \\ & 137+867 \\ & 105 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 570.551978 \mathrm{ha}^{144} \end{aligned}$ | ```1 vicus 4 Ind = 1000.8 /2 x 0.7695279 = 385.0717612ha Potential Load = ((Cargo = (Potential w - Feed b)) + Feed b 363.593655ha w + 21.47810614ha Feed b``` | $206.958$ 323ha | +214.0496415 ha Noviodunum surplus Load $=($ Cargo $=$ Potential $w)+$ Feed $b$ 190.1368311ha w + 23.91281036ha | $16.821492$ ha | $16.821492$ ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 219662 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 139,984 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w} \\ & 8,484 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73,203ha w } \\ & \text { 9,446ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=265 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=530 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=148 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=590 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dinogetia } \\ & 136+867 \\ & 104 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 569.7850039 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { vicus } 3 \text { Ind }=990.6 / 2 \times 0.7695279 \\ & =381.1471689 \text { ha Total potential } \\ & \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential w })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 359.887964 \text { ha w }+21.25920458 \text { ha Feed b } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 215.221 \\ & 4682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +214.0496415 \text { ha Noviodunum surplus } \\ & \text { Load }=(\text { Cargo }=\text { Potential w })+\text { Feed b } \\ & 190.1368311 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w}+23.91281036 \mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.760208 \\ & \text { ha } \end{aligned}$ | $19.760208$ <br> ha |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 219367 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 138,557 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 8,397 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73,203ha w } \\ & \text { 9,446ha feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Wagons loads Wagon days | Trains $=262$ <br> Mule-train days $=525$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains }=148 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=590 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noviodunum } \\ & 1200+867 \\ & 920 \mathrm{ha}+465 \mathrm{ha} \\ & =1385.845368 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 146 \end{aligned}$ | ```4 vici,6 villae,36 Ind = 4927.2ha /2 x 0.7695279 = 1895.808934ha avail but 1467.709651req Load = (Cargo = Potential w) + Feed b 1385.845368ha w + 81.86428331ha feed b``` | Needs met + 428.099 283ha | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 428.099283ha } / 2 \\ & =214.0496415 \text { ha to Dinogetia } \\ & =214.0496415 \text { ha to (Barboşi) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Needs met |
| Weight $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{wheat}=$ 533550 kg | $\begin{aligned} & 533,550 \mathrm{~kg} \text { w } \\ & 32,336 \mathrm{~kg} \text { feed b } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wagons loads 1524 <br> Wagon day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trains = } 1011 \\ & \text { Mule-train days }=2021 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% 11697.33014ha moved by travelling day | $3224.420671 \mathrm{ha}=27.565 \%$ |  | $3512.280672 \mathrm{ha}=30.026 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4960.6288 } \\ & 03 \mathrm{ha}= \\ & 42.408 \% \\ & 4571.1714 \\ & 93 \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{w} \\ & 389.45731 \\ & \text { 0ha b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total number of mule-train days for north $=15704 / 300$ working day year $=52 p a$ |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C.4a: Comparison table \% moved by travelling day - see also Table 4.2.3.1 in main thesis


[^57]
## Observations

The total number of mule-train days is lower than wagon days pulled by mules because a mule train is estimated to have carried 560kg before feed while a wagon carried only 350 kg so that only 94 mule trains would have been required. Again, the total amount supplied from the forts' hinterland is decreased because of their greater requirement for feed-barley over oxen, but because mule-trains were more economical than wagons in terms of load carried, the deficit, that part of the need that would require an overseas solution, was less than with mule-drawn wagons.

Appendix C. 5 summary \% Arable Available by Travelling Day - see Table 4.2.3.1 in main thesis

|  | One day | Two days | Three days | Four Days | Five days | Long distance solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23km oxen-drawn wagon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South | 68.69\% | 9.77\% | 0.93\% | 0.07\% |  | 20.54\% |
| Centre | 59.2\% | 40.8\% |  |  |  | 0\% |
| North | 23.97\% | 4.8\% | 16.78\% | 7.15\% | 7.07\% | 40.23\% |
| Total | 42.27\% | 13.26\% | 9.44\% | 3.94\% | 3.88\% | 27.23\% |
| 32km oxen-drawn wagon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South | 75.84\% | 3.77\% | 0.07\% |  |  | 20.32\% |
| Centre | 59.2\% | 40.8\% |  |  |  | 0\% |
| North | 27.73\% | 13.79\% | 11.71\% | 7.38\% |  | 39.39\% |
| Total | 46.12\% | 16.68\% | 6.44\% | 4.05\% |  | 26.71\% |
| 50km mule-drawn wagon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South | 74.44\% | 0.06\% |  |  |  | 25.5\% |
| Centre | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 0\% |
| North | 27\% | 26.17\% |  |  |  | 46.82\% |
| Total | 53.54\% | 14.37\% |  |  |  | 32.09\% |
| 50km mule-train |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South | 77.19\% | 0.07\% |  |  |  | 22.75\% |
| Centre | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 27.565\% | 30.025\% |  |  |  | 42.41\% |
| Total | 54.54\% | 16.49\% |  |  |  | 28.98\% |

Appendix C. 6 Comparison of transport methods - see Table 4.2.3.2 in main thesis

| Model | \% deficit of original <br> needs | \% increase in overall <br> needs for feed-barley | Vehicles <br> required |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23km oxen-drawn wagon | $-27.23 \%$ | $+3.58 \%$ | 223 |
| 32km oxen-drawn wagon | $-26.71 \%$ | $+3.07 \%$ | 191 |
| 50k mule-drawn wagon | $-32.09 \%$ | $+8.44 \%$ | 148 |
| 50k mule-train | $-28.98 \%$ | $+5.33 \%$ | 94 |

## Appendix D. 1 Long distance calculations summary

| Model | Deficit, that part not available locally of original needs | Total needs \% increase in needs | Wagons /trains local supply | Wagons/ trains overseas supply | Total wagons/ trains |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South central \& North by Noviodunum |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23k oxen overseas t/port feed | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 5806.28 \mathrm{ha} \\ 27.23 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 22430.89 \mathrm{ha} \\ +5.18 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 223 | 100 | 323 |
| 23k oxen local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6170.17 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 28.93 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22453.31ha } \\ & +5.28 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 221 | 109 | 330 |
| 32k oxen overseas t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5696.95ha } \\ & 26.71 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,215.57 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +4.17 \% \end{aligned}$ | 191 | 69 | 260 |
| 32k oxen local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5941.45ha } \\ & 27.85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,275.97 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +4.21 \% \end{aligned}$ | 189 | 73 | 262 |
| 50k mule-wagon overseas t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6843.70ha } \\ & 32.09 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24010.82ha } \\ & +12.59 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 148 | 73 | 221 |
| 50k mule-wagon local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & 7809.74 \\ & 36.62 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 24092.88 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +12.97 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 140 | 87 | 227 |
| 50k mule-train overseas t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6179.67ha } \\ & 28.98 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22935.61ha } \\ & +7.55 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 94 | 39 | 133 |
| 50k mule-train local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6673.14ha } \\ & 31.29 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22956.27ha } \\ & +7.64 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 91 | 43 | 134 |
| South central \& North by Histria |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23k oxen overseas t/port feed | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 5806.28 \mathrm{ha} \\ 27.23 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22,850.55ha } \\ & +7.15 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 223 | 223 | 446 |
| 23k oxen local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6592.16ha } \\ & 30.91 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22875.29 \\ & +7.26 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 199 | 254 | 453 |
| 32k oxen overseas t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5696.95 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 26.71 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22,537,79ha } \\ & +5.68 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 191 | 163 | 354 |
| 32k oxen local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6316.73ha } \\ & 29.62 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,548.47 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +5.73 \% \end{aligned}$ | 178 | 180 | 358 |
| 50k mule-wagon overseas t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6843.70ha } \\ & 32.09 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24,727.66ha } \\ & +15.95 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 148 | 132 | 280 |
| 50k mule-wagon local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & 8572.68 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 40.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24855.81ha } \\ & +16.55 \% \end{aligned}$ | 121 | 169 | 290 |
| 50k mule-train overseas $\mathbf{t}$ /port feed | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 6179.67ha } \\ 28.98 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23,285.29 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +9.19 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 94 | 68 | 162 |
| 50k mule-train local t/port feed | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 7035 \mathrm{ha} \\ 32.99 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23,318.13 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +9.34 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 86 | 78 | 164 |
| South central \& North by Tomis |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23k oxen overseas t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5806.28ha } \\ & 27.23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23.088 .87 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +8.26 \% \end{aligned}$ | 223 | 292 | 515 |
| 23k oxen local t/port feed | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 6879.32ha } \\ 32.25 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 23,162ha } \\ & +8.61 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 188 | 349 | 537 |
| 32k oxen overseas t/port feed | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5696.95 \\ 26.71 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,682.15 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +6.36 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 191 | 205 | 396 |
| 32k oxen local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6429.83ha } \\ & 30.15 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,712.96 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +6.50 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 173 | 233 | 406 |
| 50k mule-wagon overseas t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6843.70 \mathrm{ha} \\ & 32.09 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25503.14 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +19.59 \% \end{aligned}$ | 148 | 195 | 343 |
| 50k mule-wagon local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9663.94ha } \\ & \text { 45.31\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25,947.08 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +21.67 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 101 | 279 | 380 |
| 50k mule-train overseas t/port feed | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6179.67 \mathrm{ha} \\ 28.98 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23645.49 \mathrm{ha} \\ & +10.87 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 94 | 97 | 191 |
| 50k mule-train local t/port feed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7466.08ha } \\ & 35.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23749.21ha } \\ & +11.36 \% \end{aligned}$ | 81 | 118 | 199 |

Map 1


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cicero Verr 2.3.112; Varro Rust 1.44.1; Columella Rust 2.9.1, 11.2.75; \& Medieval records collated by Slicher van Bath 1963; Titow 1972; Brandon 1972; Campbell 2007.
    ${ }^{2}$ Imperial bushel for ancient conversions; Winchester bushel for Medieval figures
    ${ }^{3}$ A specific weight of $75 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hl}$ is assumed for bread wheat.
    ${ }^{4} 1$ Sicilian medimnus $=6$ modii .

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Imperial bushels for ancient conversions; Winchester bushels for Medieval figures.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}$ specific weight of $64 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hl}$ is assumed.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ A specific weight of $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hl}$ is assumed for emmer, $76 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hl}$ for durum, $68 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hl}$ for millet.
    ${ }^{8} 1$ Sicilian medimnus $=6$ modii.
    ${ }^{9}$ Sowing rate assumed.

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ Beef divided by 200kg carcass weight x 6ha per animal, pork divided by 50 kg carcass weight divided again by 15 pigs per ha, mutton divided by 20 kg carcass weight divided again by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{11}$ Divided by $(6-(0.767 \times 2))=4.466$ ha, for number of workers.

[^4]:    ${ }^{12}$ Beef divided by 200kg carcass weight x 6ha per animal, pork divided by 50 kg carcass weight divided again by 15 pigs per ha, mutton divided by 20 kg carcass weight divided again by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{13}$ Divided by $(6 \mathrm{ha}-(0.767 \mathrm{x} 2))=4.466 \mathrm{ha}$, for number of agricultural workers.
    ${ }^{14}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.5368818 \times 2))=4.926236364 h a$, for number of agricultural workers.

[^5]:    ${ }^{15}$ Beef divided by 200 kg carcass weight x 6ha per animal, pork divided by 50 kg carcass weight divided again by 15 pigs per ha, mutton divided by 20 kg carcass weight divided again by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{16}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.767 \times 2 \times 2))=2.932$ ha, for number of agricultural workers.
    ${ }^{17}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.5368818 \times 2 \times 2))=3.8525 h a$, for number of agricultural workers.

[^6]:    ${ }^{18}$ Beef divided by 200 kg carcass weight x 6 ha per animal, pork divided by 50 kg carcass weight divided again by 15 pigs per ha, mutton divided by 20 kg carcass weight divided again by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{19}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.767 \times 2))=4.466 h a$, for number of agricultural workers.
    ${ }^{20}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.5368818 \times 2))=4.926236364$ ha, for number of agricultural workers.

[^7]:    ${ }^{21}$ Beef divided by 200kg carcass weight x 6 ha per animal, pork divided by 50 kg carcass weight divided again by 15 pigs per ha, mutton divided by 20 kg carcass weight divided again by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{22}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.767 \times 2 \times 2))=2.932$ ha, for number of agricultural workers.
    ${ }^{23}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.5368818 \times 2 \times 2))=3.8525 h a$, for number of agricultural workers.

[^8]:    ${ }^{24}$ Divided by $(6 \mathrm{ha}-(0.5368818 \times 2))=4.926236364 \mathrm{ha}$, for number of agricultural workers.
    ${ }^{25}$ Divided by $(6 h a-(0.5368818 \times 2 \times 2))=3.8524728$ ha, for number of agricultural workers.

[^9]:    ${ }^{26}$ Survey area $=11,488 \mathrm{~km}^{2} ;$ Total dry land [less inland water area] $=11,005 \mathrm{~km}^{2} ; \mathrm{E}=\%$ of land multiplied by total $\mathrm{n}=242$, divided by 100.

[^10]:    ${ }^{27} \mathrm{E}=\%$ of land multiplied by $\mathrm{n}=2248$, divided by 100 .

[^11]:    ${ }^{28}$ It is necessary to catalogue from 0 within ArcGIS hence I catalogue sites $0-356$, there are 357 sites in total.

[^12]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{30}$ Divided by $(6-(1.476$ ha $\times 2))=3.04715$ ha, for number of workers.

[^13]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{32}$ Divided by $(6-0.767 \times 2)=4.466 h a$, for number of workers.

[^14]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{34}$ Divided by $(6-(0.492141 \times 2))=5.015717$ ha, for number of workers.

[^15]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{36}$ Divided by $(6-1.476425)=4.523575$ ha, for number of workers.

[^16]:     3 by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{38}$ Divided by $(6-0.767)=5.233 \mathrm{ha}$, for number of workers.

[^17]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{40}$ Divided by $(6-0.492141)=5.50786$ ha, for number of workers.

[^18]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{42}$ Divided by $(3-1.476$ hax 2$)=0.04715$ ha ha, for number of workers.

[^19]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{44}$ Divided by $(3-0.767 \times 2)=1.466$ ha, for number of workers.

[^20]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{46}$ Divided by $(3-(0.492141 \times 2))=2.01572$ ha, for number of workers.

[^21]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{48}$ Divided by $(3-1.476425)=1.523575$ ha, for number of workers.

[^22]:     ${ }_{50}$ by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{50}$ Divided by $(3-0.767)=2.233$ ha, for number of workers.

[^23]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{52}$ Divided by $(3-0.49214)=2.507858$ ha, for number of workers.

[^24]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{54}$ Divided by $(6-(1.476$ ha x $2 \times 2))=0.096$ ha unviable.

[^25]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{56}$ Divided by $(6-(0.767 \times 2 \times 2))=2.932$ ha, for number of workers.

[^26]:     ${ }_{58}$ by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{58}$ Divided by $(6-(0.49214 \times 2 \times 2))=4.0314$, for number of workers.

[^27]:     ${ }_{60}$ by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }_{60}$ Divided by $(6-1.476425 \times 2)=3.04715$ ha, for number of workers.

[^28]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{62}$ Divided by $(6-0.767 \times 2)=.4.466 \mathrm{ha}$, for number of workers.

[^29]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{64}$ Divided by $(6-(0.492141 \times 2))=5.0157$ ha, for number of workers.

[^30]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{66}$ Divided by $(3-(1.476$ ha $x 2 \times 2))=3-5.904$, unviable for number of workers.

[^31]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{68}$ Divided by $(3-0.767 \times 2 \times 2)=3-3.835=$ unviable for number of workers.

[^32]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{70}$ Divided by $(3-0.49214 \times 2 \times 2)=1.03143333$, for number of workers.

[^33]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{12}$ Divided by $(3-1.476425 \times 2)=0.04715$, for number of workers.

[^34]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{74}$ Divided by $(3-0.767 \times 2)=1.466 h a$, for number of workers.

[^35]:     by two sheep per ha.
    ${ }^{76}$ Divided by $(3-(0.492141 \times 2))=2.015716$ ha, for number of workers.

[^36]:    71 soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506 ha and 11368 kg w from 29.5285ha $=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }_{78} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,011 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^37]:    41 soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506 ha and 11368 kg w from $29.5285 \mathrm{ha}=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{80} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,011 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w}$ from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^38]:    ${ }^{81} 41$ soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506ha and 11368 kg w from 29.5285ha $=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{82} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b}$ from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,01 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w}$ from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$

[^39]:    ${ }^{33} 41$ soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506ha and 11368 kg w from $29.5285 \mathrm{ha}=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{84} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w}$ from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~b}$ from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,01 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w}$ from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$

[^40]:    41 soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506ha and 11368 kg w from 29.5285ha $=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$
    ${ }^{86} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,011 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w}$ from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^41]:    ${ }^{87} 41$ soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506 ha and 11368 kg w from 29.5285ha $=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{88} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,011 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^42]:    41 soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506ha and 11368 kg w from $29.5285 \mathrm{ha}=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{90} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,011 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1} 41$ soldiers 55 service providers $=12107 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from 31.44593506 ha and 11368 kg w from $29.5285 \mathrm{ha}=60.97443506 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }_{92} 6059$ soldiers, 144 horse 7465 service providers $=1,789,132 \mathrm{~kg}$ w from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 131400 \mathrm{~kg}$ b from $332.658228 \mathrm{ha}, 1,543,011 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{w}$ from $4007.822773 \mathrm{ha}=8987.576624 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^44]:    ${ }_{3}^{3} 1515$ men, 36 horse, 1250 service providers $=447357 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, $32,850 \mathrm{~kg}$ barley, $25,8374 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $1161.965649 \mathrm{ha}, 83.164557$ and $671.102273 \mathrm{ha}=1916.232479 \mathrm{ha}$
    94315 men, 36 horse, 1250 service providers $=447357 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, $32,850 \mathrm{~kg}$ barley, $25,8374 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $1161.965649 \mathrm{ha}, 83.164557$ and $671.102273 \mathrm{ha}=1916.23249 \mathrm{ha}$.
    867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from $266.139987 \mathrm{ha}, 180.1898734 \mathrm{ha}$ and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{9} 547$ men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from $266.139987 \mathrm{ha}, 180.1898734 \mathrm{ha}$ and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=911.80639 \mathrm{c}$
    273 men, 867 service providers $=80613 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from 674.860445 ha .
    ${ }^{97} 400$ men, 867 service providers $=118114 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from 772.266146 ha .

[^45]:    ${ }^{98} 546$ men, 867 service provider $=161225.61 \mathrm{~kg}+179208.46 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $418.767818+465.4765364=884.2443546 \mathrm{ha}$.
    99.

    100347 men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from 266.139987ha, 180.1898734ha and 465.4765364 ha respectively $=911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^46]:    ${ }^{101} 6059$ men, 144 horse, 3200 service providers $=1789132$ bread wheat, 131400 kg barley, 661438 kg bread wheat from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 332.6582278 \mathrm{ha}$ and $1718.021818 \mathrm{ha}=6697.775669 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{102}$ Including sites closer to Noviodunum, but still within 2 days travel of Troesmis and while Noviodunum has a surplus from two days travel it is logical to divert these to Troesmis.
    ${ }^{103} 624$ men, 662 horse, 867 service providers $=184258 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 604075 kg barley 179208kg bread wheat, from 478.5917922ha, 1529.303797ha and 465.4765364ha $=2473.37126 \mathrm{ha}$.
    104137 men, 867 service providers $=40454 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $105.0754416+465.4765364=570.551978 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^47]:    ${ }^{105} 136$ men, 867 service providers $=40159 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $104.3084675+465.4765364=569.7850039 \mathrm{ha}$
    ${ }^{106} 1200$ men, 867 service providers $=354342 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $920.3688312+465.4765364=1385.8453676 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^48]:    ${ }^{07} 1515$ men, 36 horse, 1250 service providers $=447357 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, $32,850 \mathrm{~kg}$ barley, $25,8374 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $1161.965649 \mathrm{ha}, 83.16455696 \mathrm{and} 671.1022727 \mathrm{ha}=1916.23247866 \mathrm{ha}$
    ${ }_{08} 1515$ men, 36 horse, 1250 service providers $=447357 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, $32,850 \mathrm{~kg}$ barley, $25,8374 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat froml $161.965649 \mathrm{ha}, 83.16455696 \mathrm{and} 671.1022727 \mathrm{ha}=1916.23247866 \mathrm{ha}$
    347 men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from $266.139987 \mathrm{ha}, 180.1898734 \mathrm{ha}$ and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=911.8063968 \mathrm{k}$
    619 men, 78 horse, 800 service providers $=182781 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 165360 kg bread wheat from $474.756922 \mathrm{ha}, 180.1898734 \mathrm{ha}$ and $429.5054545 \mathrm{ha}=1084.45225 \mathrm{ha}$.
    273 men, 867 service providers $=80613 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $209.3839091+465.4765364=674.8604455 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{111} 400$ men, 867 service providers $=118114 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $306.7896104+465.4765364=772.2661468 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^49]:    ${ }^{12} 546$ men 867 service provider $=161225.61 \mathrm{~kg}+179208.46 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $418,7678182 \mathrm{ha}+465.4765364=884.2443546 \mathrm{ha}$
    113624 men 662 horse 867 service providers $=184258 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 604075 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from $478.5917922 \mathrm{ha}, 1529.303797 \mathrm{ha} 465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}$ respectively $=2473.372126 \mathrm{ha}$
    114347 men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from 266.139987ha, 180.1898734ha and 465.4765364 ha respectively $=911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^50]:    ${ }^{115} 6059$ men, 144 horse, 3200 service providers $=1789132$ bread wheat, 131400 kg barley, 661438 kg bread wheat from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 332.6582278 \mathrm{ha}$ and $1718.021818 \mathrm{ha}=6697.775669 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{116}$ Including sites closer to Noviodunum, but still within 2 days travel of Troesmis and while Noviodunum has a surplus within two days' travel it is logical to divert these to Troesmis.
    117624 men, 662 horse, 867 service providers $=184258 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 604075 kg barley 179208 kg bread wheat, from $478.5917922 \mathrm{ha}, 1529.303797 \mathrm{ha}$ and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=2473.37126 \mathrm{ha}$.
    137 men, 867 service providers $=40454 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $105.0754416+465.4765364=570.551978 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{119} 136$ men, 867 service providers $=40159 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $104.3084675+465.4765364=569.7850039 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^51]:    ${ }^{20} 1200$ men, 867 service providers $=354342 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $920.3688312+465.4765364=1385.8453676 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^52]:    ${ }^{121} 1515$ men, 36 horse, 1250 service providers $=447357 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, $32,850 \mathrm{~kg}$ barley, $25,8374 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from1161.965649ha, $83.16455696 \mathrm{and} 671.1022727 \mathrm{ha}=$ 1916.23247866ha.

    347 men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from 266.139987ha, 180.1898734 ha and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=$ 911.8063968ha.
    ${ }^{123} 619$ men, 78 horse, 800 service providers $=182781 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 165360 kg bread wheat from $474.7569221 \mathrm{ha}, 180.1898734 \mathrm{ha}$ and $429.5054545 \mathrm{ha}=$ 1084.45225 ha .
    ${ }^{124} 273$ men, 867 service providers $=80613 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $209.3839091+465.4765364=674.8604455 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{125} 400$ men, 867 service providers $=118114 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $306.7896104+465.4765364=772.2661468 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^53]:    ${ }^{126} 546$ men, 867 service provider $=161225.61 \mathrm{~kg}+179208.46 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $418.767818+465.4765364=884.2443546 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{127} 624$ men, 662 horse 867 service providers $=184258 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 604075 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from $478.5917922 \mathrm{ha}, 1529.303797 \mathrm{ha} 465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}$ respectively $=2473.372126$ ha.
    ${ }^{128} 347$ men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from 266.139987ha, 180.1898734ha and 465.4765364 ha respectively $=911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{129} 6059$ men, 144 horse, 3200 service providers $=1789132$ bread wheat, 131400kg barley, 661438 kg bread wheat from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 332.6582278 \mathrm{ha}$ and 1718.021818 ha $=6697.775669 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^54]:    ${ }^{130} 624$ men, 662 horse, 867 service providers $=184258 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 604075 kg barley 179208 kg bread wheat, from $478.5917922 \mathrm{ha}, 1529.303797 \mathrm{ha}$ and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=$ 2473.37126 ha .
    ${ }^{131} 137$ men, 867 service providers $=40454 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $105.0754416+465.4765364=570.551978 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{132} 136$ men, 867 service providers $=40159 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $104.3084675+465.4765364=569.7850039 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{133} 1200$ men, 867 service providers $=354342 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $920.3688312+465.4765364=1385.8453676 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^55]:    ${ }^{134} 1515$ men, 36 horse, 1250 service providers $=447357 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, $32,850 \mathrm{~kg}$ barley, $25,8374 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from1161.965649ha, $83.16455696 \mathrm{and} 671.1022727 \mathrm{ha}=$ 1916.23247866ha.
    ${ }^{135} 347$ men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from 266.139987ha, 180.1898734ha and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=$ 911.8063968ha.
    ${ }^{136} 619$ men, 78 horse, 800 service providers $=182781 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 165360kg bread wheat from $474.7569221 \mathrm{ha}, 180.1898734 \mathrm{ha}$ and $429.5054545 \mathrm{ha}=$ 1084.45225 ha .
    ${ }^{137} 273$ men, 867 service providers $=80613 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $209.3839091+465.4765364=674.8604455 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{138} 400$ men 867 service providers $=118114 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $306.7896104+465.4765364=772.2661468 \mathrm{ha}$

[^56]:    ${ }^{39} 546$ men, 867 service provider $=161225.61 \mathrm{~kg}+179208.46 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $418.767818+465.4765364=884.2443546 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{140} 624$ men, 662 horse 867 service providers $=184258 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 604075 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from $478.5917922 \mathrm{ha}, 1529.303797 \mathrm{ha} 465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}$ respectively $=2473.372126$ ha.
    ${ }^{141} 347$ men, 78 horse and 867 service providers $=102464 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 71175 kg barley, 179208 kg bread wheat from 266.139987ha, 180.1898734ha and 465.4765364 ha respectively $=911.8063968 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{142} 6059$ men, 144 horse, 3200 service providers $=1789132$ bread wheat, 131400kg barley, 661438 kg bread wheat from $4647.095623 \mathrm{ha}, 332.6582278 \mathrm{ha}$ and 1718.021818 ha $=6697.775669 \mathrm{ha}$.

[^57]:    ${ }^{143} 624$ men, 662 horse, 867 service providers $=184258 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat, 604075 kg barley 179208 kg bread wheat, from $478.5917922 \mathrm{ha}, 1529.303797 \mathrm{ha}$ and $465.4765364 \mathrm{ha}=$ 2473.37126 ha
    ${ }_{144} 137$ men, 867 service providers $=40454 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $105.0754416+465.4765364=570.551978 \mathrm{ha}$.
    ${ }^{145} 136$ men, 867 service providers $=40159 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $104.3084675+465.4765364=569.7850039 \mathrm{ha}$
    ${ }^{146} 1200$ men, 867 service providers $=354342 \mathrm{~kg}+179208 \mathrm{~kg}$ bread wheat from $920.3688312+465.4765364=1385.8453676 \mathrm{ha}$.

