## **Editors summary**

Softening of tomato is uncoupled from ripening by silencing a pectate lyase, thereby identifying a route to engineering or breeding tomatoes that have both shelf-life and flavor.

# Genetic improvement of tomato by targeted control of fruit softening

Selman Uluisik<sup>1</sup>, Natalie Chapman<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca Smith<sup>1†</sup>, Mervin Poole<sup>2</sup>, Gary Adams<sup>1</sup>, Richard B Gillis<sup>1</sup>, Tabot M.D. Besong<sup>1‡</sup>, Judith Sheldon<sup>3</sup>, Suzy Stiegelmeyer<sup>4††</sup>, Laura Perez<sup>5</sup>, Nurul Samsulrizal<sup>1</sup>, Duoduo Wang<sup>1</sup>, Ian D. Fisk<sup>1</sup>, Ni Yang<sup>1</sup>, Charles Baxter<sup>3</sup>, Daniel Rickett<sup>3</sup>, Rupert Fray<sup>1</sup>, Barbara Blanco-Ulate<sup>6</sup>, Ann L.T. Powell<sup>6</sup>, Stephen E. Harding<sup>1</sup>, Jim Craigon<sup>1</sup>, Jocelyn K.C. Rose<sup>7</sup>, Eric A. Fich<sup>7</sup>, Li. Sun<sup>8</sup>, David S. Domozych<sup>8</sup>, Paul D. Fraser<sup>5</sup>, Gregory A. Tucker<sup>1</sup>, Don Grierson<sup>1</sup>, and Graham B. Seymour<sup>1\*</sup>

- 1. School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough, Leics LE12 5RD, UK
- 2. Heygates Ltd, Bugbrooke Mills, Bugbrooke, Northampton, NN7 3QH, UK
- 3. Syngenta Seeds, Jealott's Hill International Research Station, Bracknell, Berkshire RG42 6EY, UK
- 4. Syngenta Crop Protection, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, USA
- 5. School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham Hill, Egham TW20 OEX,UK
- 6. Plant Sciences Department, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA
- 7. Plant Biology Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, 412 Mann Library, Cornell University, 14853, Ithaca, NY, USA
- 8. Department of Biology and Skidmore Microscopy Imaging Center, Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866, USA

- <sup>†</sup> Current address. Valley Produce, Springalls Farm, Reading, Berkshire, RG7 1RN, UK
- ‡ Current address. Physical Sciences and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia
- †† Current address. Q² Solutions, EA Genomics, 5927 South Miami Blvd, NC 27560, USA.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: graham.seymour@nottingham.ac.uk

Controlling the rate of softening to extend shelf-life was a key target for researchers engineering genetically modified (GM) tomatoes in the 1990s, but only modest improvements were achieved. Hybrids grown nowadays contain 'non-ripening mutations' that slow the wholeripening process, but these mutations adversely affect flavor and color. We report substantial, targeted control of tomato softening, without affecting other aspects of ripening, by silencing a gene encoding a pectate lyase.

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) is the fourth most important commercial crop in the world with an estimated global net production value of \$50bn¹. Tomatoes form an important part of the human diet, as they are a source of minerals, vitamins and phytochemicals. and Tomato breeders use the *ripening inhibitor* (*rin*) mutation²,³ to confer the long shelf life that is vital for the supply chain. Hybrids haboring *rin* produce firm fruits that ripen slowly⁴, but they often have poor flavor, fail to develop full color and have reduced nutritional value. Targeted control over ripening-related texture changes would ideally deliver all of the benefits of long shelf-life, improved transportability and disease resistance, without negative consequences for colour, aroma and taste. We report that a tomato *PL* gene is crucial for fruit softening and that silencing this *PL* alters texture without affecting other aspects of ripening. These findings provide insights into the mechanisms of cell wall remodelling in tomato.

Softening in tomato fruit involves disassembly of polysaccharide-rich cell walls, a reduction in cell-to-cell adhesion and changes in cuticle properties that affect water loss<sup>5,6</sup>. The precise mechanism of softening, and the importance of each factor, has been the subject of decades of research, but has remained elusive. Sequencing the tomato genome revealed more than 50 structural genes encoding known, or putative, cell-wall modifying proteins that are expressed in developing and ripening fruits<sup>7</sup>. Of these, polygalacturonase (PG), pectin methyl esterase, βgalactanase and expansin were highly expressed during the ripening process, and all have been investigated as candidates for promoting changes in texture. However, silencing their expression in transgenic tomato lines has yielded very small or no detectable changes in fruit softening 8-15. Silencing of a strawberry gene encoding a pectate lyase (PL), using an antisense approach, was shown to reduce fruit softening<sup>16</sup>. However, the role of PL in tomato has never been investigated in any detail, probably because early attempts to detect PL enzyme activity were unsuccessful<sup>17</sup>. Using RT-qPCR we found five PL genes that are expressed in Ailsa Craig tomato fruits, but only one allele (Solyc03g111690) that was expressed at a high level (Supplementary Fig. 1). Transgenic tomato (cv Ailsa Craig) lines containing a 35S::RNAi construct targeting this PL were generated. PL::RNAi fruits had reduced PL expression (Fig. 1a), enzyme activity, (Fig. 1b) and significantly (Ftest; P<0.001) increased fruit firmness compared with the control azygous wild type line [AU state what the control is] (Fig. 1c,d, see also Supplementary Fig. 2). These fruits also retained their integrity following storage for 14 days [AU insert time] at 20°C, indicating potential for improved shelf-life (Fig. 1e). The increase in fruit firmness in the PL::RNAi lines was substantial when compared with effects on texture found when other tomato cell wall remodelling genes, including PG, have been down-regulated 10-15 (see Supplementary Fig. 3).

Silencing *PL* resulted in changes in fruit firmness with no obvious effects on either yield or weight, ethylene biosynthesis, colour and total soluble solids compared to the controls (Ftest; P>0.05) (**Supplementary Fig. 4**). No significant changes were found in silenced fruits for measurements of metabolites that influence fruit colour, taste or aroma compared with the azygous wild type control[**AU state control**] (**Supplementary Table 1**, **Supplementary Fig. 2 and 5**). The expression of fewer than 120 of c.15000 genes were altered in transcriptomes of the *PL*::RNAi fruits in the orange and red ripe stages compared with the azygous control[**AU state control**] (**Supplementary Tables 2-6**, **Supplementary Fig.6**). In addition to reduction in *PL* expression, *PROTODERMAL FACTOR 2-like* (Solyc06g035940) and *CER1* (Solyc03g065250) were upregulated (**Supplementary Tables 3-6**). Both genes encode proteins likely involved in regulating epidermal and

cuticle development, which might influence water loss and fruit shelf-life  $^{18,19}$ . However, the cuticle waxes of PL::RNAi and control fruits were not significantly different (**Supplementary Fig. 7**).

We examined the cell walls of wild-type and PL::RNAi fruits with light and transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 2). Using a chitin oligosaccharide probe<sup>20</sup>, (COS<sup>488</sup>), which recognizes pectins with regions of de-esterified homogalacturonans (HGs), we observed increased labelling density in the tricellular junction zones of PL::RNAi fruit pericarp parenchyma cells compared with the wild-type (Fig. 2a). Linear low ester HGs are concentrated in these tricellular regions and are also present in the middle lamellae of plant cells<sup>21,22</sup>. It is thought that tricellular junction zones are reinforced with these polymers because the biomechanical stresses that drive cell separation are concentrated at the cell corners<sup>21</sup>. Our data indicate that tricellular junctions are major sites of PL action. Immunogold localization of de-esterified HG using a monoclonal antibody (JIM5) revealed increased density labelling in the cell walls of the PL::RNAi lines compared with the wild-type (Supplementary Fig. 8). Notably, JIM5 labelled 'fibrous' material in the tricellular junction zones of the parenchyma cells of the PL::RNAi fruits (Fig. 2b). The immunoreactivity of the fibrous material suggests that these fibres might represent aggregates of crosslinked HGs<sup>22</sup>. PL-silenced fruits also had reduced amounts of water soluble pectins (WSP) (Fig. 2c), suggesting that more of the pectins in the transgenic fruit walls were covalently associated with the wall matrix. Additionally, the WSP fraction from the PL::RNAi fruit had a significantly (t-test; P<0.05) higher average molecular weight (~150 kDa) than that from the control (~88 kDa) (Fig. 2d). The molecular weights of the extracted WSP pectins from the PL::RNAi fruit were similar to those previously reported from unripe tomato fruits<sup>10</sup>. To summarize, our findings indicate that PL activity breaks down crosslinked HG polymers in both tricellular junctions and the middle lamella, thereby enabling the pectic polysaccharides in the cell wall to be further degraded by enzymes such as PG<sup>10</sup>, resulting in rapid fruit softening.

By texture analysis of a mapping population derived from a [AU briefly say how this was done] tomato wild species introgression line, IL3-4, <sup>23</sup> we show that the *PL* targeted in our experiments resides under a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) for firm fruit texture (Supplementary Fig 9 and 10).

Our findings show, to our knowledge for the first time, that specific control over tomato softening can been achieved without detrimental effects on other aspects of ripening, and provide a strategy for breeding tomatoes with an extended shelf-life, while maintaining optimum flavor. The *PL*::RNAi fruits also provide insights into the mechanism of tomato cell-wall remodeling during ripening. Taste tests will be needed to discover whether flavor of *PL*-silenced fruits is affected, but this would best be done using elite lines <sup>24</sup>. Modulating *PL* expression using natural variation, TILLING (Targeting Induced Local Lesions in Genomes) or genome-editing approach could bring the product to market. Indeed, initial experiments with CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in PL in transgenic tomato lines confirm the effectiveness of CRISPR edited alleles to alter firmness without affecting other aspects of ripening (**Supplementary Fig. 11**).

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

SU was funded by Ministry of Education of the Turkish Republic. The work was part funded by BBSRC and Syngenta Seeds Ltd through a BBSRC 'stand-alone LINK' grants to PDF and GBS (BB/J015598/1 and BB/J016071/1). As part of the BBSRC grant, Syngenta staff (J.S., S.S., C.B. and D.R.) provided support with generating the transgenic plants, the bioinformatics analysis, the microscopy and writing the paper. GBS and PDF acknowledge support from EU project FP6 EUSOL and the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) Action FA1106. DD was funded by National Science Foundation (USA) grants NSF-MRI 1337280 and NSF-MRI 0922805. JR was funded by a grant (IOS-1339287) from the Plant Genome Research Program of the National Science Foundation (USA). We acknowledge Syngenta Crop Protection, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina USA, Mariana Franco for cDNA library preparation and Junjian Ni for RNAseq quality checks, read alignment and gene

counting. We acknowledge Jonathan Jones, Vladimir Nekrasov and Sophien Kamoun, TSL and The Gatsby Charitable Foundation for provision of the CRISPR / Cas 9 vectors. We also thank Malcolm Bennett and John Labavitch for useful discussions.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

S.U., N.C., R. S., M. P., G. A., R. B.G, T.M.D.B, J.S., S.S., N.S., D.W., L. P., I.D.F., N.Y., B.B-U., E.F, L.S, D.D. performed the experiments and data analysis, J.C., statistical analysis. G.B.S. conceived and directed the project and G.B.S, J.C., D.G, C. B., D.R., R. F., A.L.T.P, S.E.H., P.D.F., G.A.T. and J.K.C.R. wrote the MS.

#### COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

J.S, S.S, C.B and D.R were full-time employees of Syngenta Seeds Ltd at the time of the study. **AU** those authors who work for Syngenta should provide a CFI statement.

## **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1: Silencing pectate lyase (PL) inhibits tomato fruit softening and could increase shelf-life. (a) Levels of *PL* mRNA in control azygous Ailsa Craig (WT) and transgenic *PL*::RNAi fruit at mature green (MG), breaker (BR), breaker + 4 days (BR4) and breaker +7 days (BR7), *PL* transcript levels in the RNAi line are plotted on a difference scale from WT, (b) PL enzyme activity from cell wall BR7 extracts in WT and *PL*::RNAi shown as the difference in OD at 232nm, see Methods (c) outer and (d) inner pericarp maximum load measurements at various stages of ripening in azygous wild type control (WT, orange bars) and *PL*::RNAi (green bars) fruits. For RNA and enzyme measurements, error bars are s.e.m, based on three individual fruit of each genotype (shown as dots). For the texture determinations where there were more fruits (n=26 WT-MG, 12 WT-BR, 8 WT-BR4, 11 WT-BR7 and 24 PL-MG, 25 PL-BR, 26 PL-BR4, 23 PL-BR7) dots represent plant means. Significant (P<0.001; F test) differences in pericarp texture between PL::RNAi and WT fruits at a specific developmental stages are indicated by \*\*\*. The data were obtained from tomato plants grown in a single trial in spring 2014, transgenic line PL5. (e) WT Ailsa Craig and *PL*::RNAi fruits harvested at BR7 were stored at room temperature for 14 days. Scale bar 1 cm.

**Figure 2:** The mechanism of PL action. (a) Detection of demethylesterified homogalacturonan (white arrows) in pericarp cell walls of azygous(WT) and *PL*::RNAi BR7 with a chitosan oligosaccharide COS pectin probe and calcofluor white for imaging cellulose. Panels show separate labelling and merged images. (b) TEM images (left) showing parenchyma cells (PC) from the RNAi line with a tricellular junction (TCJ). A higher magnification view (right) showing fibrous material (black arrows) within tricellular junction labelled with JIM5, a monoclonal antibody against demethylesterified homogalacturonan. (c) Levels of water soluble pectin extracted from cell wall preparations and (d) their weight average molecular weight from red ripe BR7 control azygous WT (orange) and *PL*::RNAi

fruits (green). Error bars are s.e.m, with measurements based on three individual fruits of each genotype (shown as dots). Significant (P<0.05; two-tailed t-test) differences between *PL*::RNAi and WT fruits are shown by \*. The data were obtained from tomato plants grown in spring 2013, transgenic line PL5.

-----

- 1. Vincent, H. et al. Biodiversity Conversation **167**, 265-275 (2013).
- 2. Robinson, R. W., & Tomes, M. L. Genet. Coop. 18, 36-37 (1968).
- 3. Vrebalov, J. et al. Science 296, 343-346 (2002).
- 4. Kitagawa, M. et al. Physiologia Plant. 123, 331-338 (2005).
- 5. Seymour, G.B. Østergaard, L., Chapman, N.H., et al. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 64, 219-241(2013).
- 6. Martin, L.B.B. & Rose, J.K.C. J. Expt. Bot. 65, 4639-4651 (2014).
- 7. The Tomato Genome Consortium. *Nature* **485**, 635-641 (2012).
- 8. Smith, C.J.S., et al. Nature 334, 724-726 (1988).
- 9. Sheehy, R.E., Kramer, M. & Hiatt, W.R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 85, 8805-8809 (1988).
- 10. Smith, C.J.S. et al. Plant Mol. Biol. 14, 369-379 (1990).
- 11. Tieman, D.M., Harriman, R.W., Ramamohan, G. & Handa, A.K. Plant Cell 4, 667-679 (1992).
- 12. Tieman, D.M. & Handa, A.K. Plant Physiol. 106, 429-436 (1994).
- 13. Hall, L.N. et al. Plant J. 3, 121–129 (1993).
- 14. Smith, D.L., Abbott D.A. & Gross K.C. *Plant Physiol.* **129**, 1755-1762 (2002).
- 15. Brummell, D.A. et al. Plant Cell 11, 2203-2216 (1999).

- 16. Jiménez-Bermúdez, S. et al. Plant Physiol. 128, 751-759 (2002).
- 17. Besford, R.T. & Hobson, G.E. Phytochem. 11, 2201-2205 (1972).
- 18. Abe, M., Katsumata, H., Komeda, Y. & Takahashi, T. Development 130, 635-643 (2003).
- 19. Yeats, T.H. & Rose, J.K.C. *Plant Physiol.* **163**, 5 (2013).
- 20. Mravec, J. et al. Development 141, 4841-4850 (2014).
- 21. Willats, W.G.T., et al. J. Biol. Chem, 276, 19404-19413 (2001).
- 22. Jarvis, M.C., Briggs, S.P.H. & Knox, J.P. Plant Cell Environ. 26, 977–989 (2003).
- 23. Eshed, Y. & Zamir, D. Euphytica 79, 175-179 (1994).
- 24. Causse, M. et al. J. Fd. Sci. **75**, S531-S541.

## **ONLINE METHODS**

#### **Plant Material**

All tomato lines were grown in the UK under standard glasshouse conditions of 16 hours day length, day temperature of 20°C, and night temperature of 18°C with supplemental lighting where required. At least three plants from each genotype were grown in "Pro C" coarse potting compost (Levington) in 7.5 L pots with irrigation supplemented with Vitax 214 with pot locations randomised throughout the glasshouse. Fruit were tagged at the first sign of colour (breaker) and harvested for physical, biochemical and molecular evaluation at various days post breaker, e.g. breaker + 4 (orange ripe) and breaker + 7 (red ripe). *Solanum lycopersicum* cv M82, and the *Solanum pennellii* introgression lines (ILs).<sup>23</sup> were obtained from the Tomato Genetics Resource Centre, Davis, USA (http://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/). The M82 x IL3-4 F<sub>2</sub> mapping population was generated in the current study. *Solanum lycopersicum* cv Ailsa Craig was used to generate the pectate lyase (PL) RNAi lines. Plant material was collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for the molecular analyses.

## Generation of transgenic pectate lyase (PL) lines

The full length sequence of the PL gene (Solyc03g111690.2) was obtained from www.solgenomics.net. The PL gene specific fragment for RNAi was amplified from breaker fruit cDNA (primers in table S8). Gateway cloning (Invitrogen) was utilized with the plasmid pK7GWIWG2, which has the 35S promoter, as a destination vector. **AU was sequencing done to confirm intact and correct?** Transgenic tomato plants (cv. Ailsa Craig) were obtained through University of California. (The Ralph M. Parsons Foundation, Plant Transformation Facility). Plantlets where confirmed as harbouring the appropriate transgene by PCR. Single integration events and homozygous lines were selected by quantitative PCR.

# Genetic mapping of the fruit texture QTL on IL3-4

A total of 3000 M82 x IL3-4 F<sub>2</sub> seedlings were screened using a combination of Taqman probes and cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences designed to markers TG599, TG42 and CT243 that delineated and occurred within the IL3-4 introgression (<a href="https://solgenomics.net">https://solgenomics.net</a>) to allow for the identification of recombinants. A total of 96 recombinant individuals were identified and these were grown to fruiting and ten fruits per line were tagged at the breaker stage and harvested after 7 days and assessed for weight, colour, texture, and % Brix. The F<sub>3</sub> seeds from the recombinant lines were collected and the progeny screened to identify homozygous quantitative trait loci near isogenic lines (QTL-NILs). At least six fruit from each line were phenotyped for texture and colour to derive the mapping interval containing the texture QTL and a summary of the key recombinants is shown in Supplementary Fig. 9 and 10. The sites of recombination in these QTL-NILs were defined by molecular markers using information from the tomato genome assembly (2.40V and 2.50V) on the Sol Genomics Network Website (<a href="http://www.sgn.cornell.edu/">http://www.sgn.cornell.edu/</a>) (Supplementary Table 8).

## **Physiochemical Analysis**

Fruit colour was measured using a Minolta Chroma Meter and % Brix by a hand held refractometer. For ethylene analysis, fruits were harvested and stored in sealed containers for 1h. A 1 mL sample of head space was then used for ethylene determination by gas chromatography based on the method of Ward et al,<sup>25</sup>. For shelf life determinations fruits were harvested from the different lines at Br+7 stage and pooled (6-7 tomatoes) and held at room temperature.

## Mechanical measurement of fruit texture

The mechanical properties of fruit were investigated using probe penetration tests on 6mm equatorial sections of the outer and inner pericarp. This followed the method described in Chapman et al <sup>26</sup> where a 1.6 mm flat head cylindrical probe mounted on a 10N load cell is driven into the tissue at a constant speed and for a specific distance and the force required is then measured. The inner pericarp is defined by us as the cells between the vascular boundary and the endodermis and the outer pericarp as those below the skin, but before the vascular boundary.

## **Determination of PL enzyme activity**

For preparation of the acetone insoluble solids (AIS), 20g of fresh pericarp (breaker+7) was homogenised with cold 80% of acetone. The sample was washed with 100% acetone to remove all pigment and the powder left overnight to dry at room temperature. Then 40 mg of the AIS was stirred for 30 min in 1.9 ml of 8.5 M Tris-HCL at 20°C. The samples were then centrifuged for 30 minutes at 14000 rpm, and the absorbance of clear supernatant was measured at 232 nm, for determination of the level of reaction products with double bonds released as a result of PL activity 27,28

## **DNA and RNA extraction**

DNA was extracted from leaf material using the DNAeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For RNA extraction the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) was used for samples up to breaker + 4 and the RiboPure™ RNA Purification Kit (Life Technologies) was used for red ripe fruits. RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of RNA was determined using an Agilent Bioanalyser 2100 (Agilent Technologies). First-strand complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 0.5 μg of total RNA using 0.5 μg of random hexamers (Promega) in a 15-μL volume and incubated at 70°C for 5 min, followed by the addition of 0.5 mm deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (Promega), 25 units of RNase inhibitor (Promega), 5 μL of Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus reverse transcriptase (MMLV) buffer X5 (Promega), 1 μL of MMLV reverse transcriptase (Promega), and made up to 25 μL with distilled water. The mixture was incubated at 25°C for 10 min, followed by 42°C for 1 h.

Q-RTPCR was used to determine expression levels of PL. Three fruits at each stage of ripeness from each line were taken at the different developmental stages. Primers and dual-labelled fluorescent probes (5'FAM and 3'TAMRA) were designed using Primer3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/). The PCR reaction contained a 5-mL cDNA pool, 7.5 µL of 2X LightCycler480 Probe Master (Roche Applied Science), 10 mM forward primer, 10 mM reverse primer, and 10 mM probe in a final volume of 15 µL. Elongation factor gene primers were included in each reaction as an internal standard. Standard curves for each gene were run concurrently. TaqMan quantitative RT-PCR was run on a LightCycler480 System (Roche Applied Science), and PCR conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 50 s, and 72°C for 1 s, and a final cooling step of 40°C for 10 min. Standard curves were used to calculate relative mRNA concentrations from crossing point values using absolute quantification with LightCycler480

software release 1.5 (Roche Applied Science) and normalized to the reference gene EF (Supplementary Table 8)

## **RNASeq** analysis

RNA was prepared as described above. The resulting cDNA was cleaned using Ampure XP magnetic beads. It was fragmented to about 400bp using a Covaris S2 instrument and ligated to adapters using an Apollo 324 instrument and PrepX ILM DNA Library Kit. PCR amplification was performed using KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (2X). Six samples were pooled per lane and sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq to generate 100-nucleotide single end sequence reads.

After quality assessment by FastQC  $^{29}$ , untrimmed reads were aligned to the ITAG 2.3 tomato reference genome using Tophat version 2.0.12  $^{30}$  with Bowtie2 version 2.2.3  $^{31}$  i.e., tophat –N 6 –g 6 –no-novel-juncs. On average, 92% of the reads aligned per sample.

Reads aligned to annotated regions were counted using an in-house gene counting algorithm. Gene counts were then normalized using the R Bioconductor package EDASeq version 2.0.0 normalizing between lanes <sup>32</sup>.

Differentially expressed genes were determined by the R Bioconductor package edgeR version 3.8.4  $^{33}$ . To control the family-wise error rate, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg method producing an adjusted p-value or false discovery rate (FDR). An FDR < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Genes were considered to be differentially expressed if they had an FDR < 0.05 and a  $\log_2$  fold change greater than 1 or less than -1 (fold change of 2).

The gene ontology (GO) term enrichment process utilized GO terms extracted from the Gramene BioMart, http://ensembl.gramene.org/biomart/martview, as of November 7, 2014. The R Bioconductor package goseq version 1.18.0 <sup>34</sup> was used to perform GO enrichment analysis on differentially expressed genes from the downloaded terms correcting for gene length bias.

AU RNA-seq data must be deposited per NPG guidance and an accession number obtained.

## Metabolite analysis

# **Extraction and analysis of carotenoids**

Carotenoids and tocopherols were extracted from freeze dried fruit. Extractions were made from sample powder (10 mg) in 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes. Metabolites were extracted by the addition of chloroform and methanol (2:1). Samples were stored for 20 min on ice. Subsequently, water (1 vol.) was added. Samples were centrifuged for 5 min at top speed in a Heraeus Pico21 centrifuge (Thermo Scientific). The organic phase, containing the pigment extract, was placed in a fresh centrifuge tube and the aqueous phase re-extracted with chloroform (x2 by volume). Organic phases were pooled and dried using the Genevac EZ. Dried samples were stored at -20°C and dissolved in ethyl acetate prior to chromatographic analysis.

Carotenoids were separated and identified by Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography with photo diode array detection (UPLC-PDA). An Acquity™ UPLC (Waters) was used with a BEH C18 column (2.1 x 100 mm, 1.7 μm) with a BEH C18 VanGuard pre-column (2.1 x 50 mm, 1.7μm). The mobile phase used was A: MeOH/H2O (50/50) and B: ACN (acetonitrile)/ethyl acetate (75:25). All solvents used were HPLC grade and filtered prior to use through a 0.2μm filter. The gradient was 30% A: 70% B for 0.5 min and then stepped to 0.1% A:99.9% B for 5.5 min and then to 30% A:70% B

for the last 2 min n. Column temperature was maintained at 30°C and the temperature samples at 8°C. On-line scanning across the UV/Vis range was performed in a continuous manner from 250 to 600 nm, using an extended wavelength PDA (Waters). Carotenoids were quantified from dose-response curves. The HPLC separation, detection and quantification of carotenoids, tocopherols and chlorophylls have been described in detail previously <sup>35</sup>.

## Extraction and analysis of intermediary metabolites

Frozen material was freeze-dried and ground to a fine powder by using a tissue lyser (Qiagen). Then, 10 mg of powder was extracted with 1 mL of 50% methanol for 20 min at room temperature and shaking. 1 ml of chloroform was then added and centrifuged at top speed for 3 min to allow phase separation. 20  $\mu$ L of the polar phase containing intermediary metabolites was transferred to a HPLC glass vial and spiked with 10  $\mu$ L of the internal standard solution (1 mg/ml of ribitol in methanol). Samples were taken to dryness using a vacuum centrifuge Genevac EZ.27 and stored at -20°C until derivatisation and analysis.

Dried samples were derivatised to their methoxymated and silylated forms  $^{36}$ . First, 30  $\mu$ L of methoxyamine hydrochloride (20 mg/mL in pyridine anhydrous) was added to samples and incubated at 40° C for 1 hr. Following this reaction, samples were treated with 70  $\mu$ L of MSTFA and heated at 40° C for 2 hr. 1  $\mu$ l of the final solution was injected into a 7890B gas chromatograph online with a 5977A mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, California, US). Metabolites were separated in a DB-5MS 30 m x 250  $\mu$ m x 0.25  $\mu$ m column (J&W Scientific, Folsom, California, US) equipped with a 10 m guard column and using a temperature gradient ranging from 70° to 320° C at 5° C/min. Helium was employed as the carrier gas and the flow rate was set at 1 ml/min. The inlet was heated to 280° C and the mass spectrometer transfer line to 250° C. A mixture of n-alkanes

ranging from 8 to 32 carbons was used for retention index external calibration. Levels of metabolites analyzed by GC/MS were quantified relative to the internal standard and corrected by dried weight of biomass. AMDIS version 2.69 software was used for peak deconvolution and identification of metabolites <sup>37</sup>. Levels of metabolites analyzed by GC/MS were quantified relative to the internal standard and corrected by dried weight of biomass. AMDIS version 2.71 of the software was used for peak deconvolution and identification of metabolites <sup>37</sup>.

#### **Determination of cuticular wax levels**

Analysis of the cuticular waxes of the ripe fruits of control and PL RNAi lines was carried out as described in  $^{38}$ . Sections of peel were collected from red ripe breaker + 7 stage azygous wild type control and PL RNAi transgenic tomato fruit, scraped to remove as much cellular material as possible, then air-dried. Wax was extracted from the peels by placing the peels in a beaker with  $^{\sim}100$  mL of chloroform containing 100  $\mu g$  of tetracosane as an internal standard, and swirling for 2 minutes. The peels were then taped flat and scanned to determine their surface area. The wax extract was concentrated by air drying and filtered through chloroform-rinsed filter paper (VWR) . An aliquot of each wax sample was dried by heating at 40°C under a stream of  $N_2$ , then derivatized with equal parts pyridine (EMD Millipore) and BSTFA (N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide) (Sigma) for 30 minutes at 70°C, dried again by heating under  $N_2$ , and resuspended in 100  $\mu L$  of chloroform. The samples were analyzed by gas chromatography using an Agilent GC 6850 with a Flame Ionization Detector. Compound identification was made based on comparisons of retention times with standards and also by performing GC-MS analysis of two of the samples using an Agilent GC 6890 coupled to a JOEL GC MATE II mass spectrometer. Levels of each wax compound were normalized to the internal standard and the surface area of the peels.

AU better to insert a method per our guidance, and the method can of course be referenced Done as above.

# Measurement of volatile compounds

Determination of volatile compounds followed the method developed from Buttery et.al. <sup>39</sup>. Samples were kept at -80 °C and each sample was defrosted and allowed 30 minutes to equilibrate before GC-MS analysis. Volatiles were collected using SPME Fibres (50/30μm DVB/CAR/PDMS, Supelco,

Sigma Aldrich, UK) and separated and analysed by GCMS using a ZB-WAX Capillary GC Column (30 m, 0.25mm I.D., 1.00µm Film Thickness) on a Trace 1300 series GC coupled with the Single-Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Volatiles were identified by comparison of each mass spectrum with spectra in reference collections (Microsoft WindowsTM Version 2.0 of the NIST Mass Spectral Search Program for the NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library).

## **Cell Wall Analysis**

For the preparation of a crude cell wall material (CWM), fresh tomato pericarp (40g) was peeled, cubed and boiled in 95% EtOH (100 mL) at 80° C for 30 min. The sample was cooled to room temperature, homogenised using a coffee grinder then filtered through Miracloth and washed successively with hot 85% EtOH (200 mL), chloroform:methanol (1:1 v/v) (200 mL) and 100% acetone. The samples were then air dried overnight. For fractionation of tomato CWM, 7.5 mg was placed into tube with 1.5 mL of dH2O. The sample was stirred 4 hours at room temperature and then centrifuged for 20 minutes at 10,000g. The supernatant which contained the water soluble pectin was filtered through GF/A paper. The supernatant was made to a known volume (1.5 mL) using dH2O. Uronic acid assays were performed using the method of Blumenkrantz and Asboe-Hansen<sup>40</sup>.

# Determination of pectin heterogeneity and molecular weights

Polyuronide heterogeneity and molecular weights were determined as follows. The distribution of the sedimentation coefficients (heterogeneity) were determined from sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation (rotor speed of 45000 rpm, at 20.0°C in a Beckman (Palo Alto) Optima XL-I win interference optics) and a loading concentration of 0.3mg/mL to minimize any non-ideality effects. The data was analysed using the SEDFIT procedure of Dam & Schuck, <sup>41</sup> and showed all pectin samples to be very polysdisperse with material extending to at least 8S and as high as 17S in some cases. To obtain the (weight average) molecular weights sedimentation equilibrium experiments (on the same equipment) were then undertaken on samples from three separate fruits from each treatment at a rotor speed of 15000 rpm, other conditions the same, and data analysed using the SEDFIT-MSTAR procedure of Schuck, Harding and co-workers <sup>42</sup> to estimate the weight average molar masses for pectic polysaccharides.

## **Immunocytochemistry**

Tomato fruit were harvested at Breaker +7 and 2 mm cubes of pericarp tissue were fixed in 0.1M Sodium Cacodylate Buffer, 2% Paraformaldehyde, pH6.9 overnight at 4°C. The tissue samples were then dehydrated through an Ethanol series and embedded in LR White resin prior to sectioning.

Light microscopy/COS<sup>488</sup> labelling - 0.5 μm sections were cut using a diamond knife (Diatome, USA) on a Leica ultramicrotome and collected onto the wells of 10-welled immunoslides (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Ft. Washington, PA, USA) coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma Chemical; St. Louis, MO, USA). After drying at room temperature for 2h, the sections were incubated for 30 min in 50 mM MES buffer (pH 5.7), labelled with COS<sup>488</sup> diluted 1/1000 in MES buffer for 90 min in the dark at room temperature (COS was kindly provided by Jozef Mravec and William G. T. Willats of the Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences of the University of Copenhagen) and subsequently washed three times with MES buffer. The sections were subsequently labelled for 2 min in 1 μg/mL

Calcofluor dissolved in deionized water and washed three times with deionized water. The sections were covered with a coverslip and viewed with an Olympus Fluoview 1200 confocal laser scanning microscope. Merged images of COS<sup>488</sup> and Calcofluor labelling were obtained using the Olympus Fluoview 1200 software program.

*Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)* - 60 nm sections were cut using a diamond knife with a Leica Ultramicrotome and collected on Formvar coated nickel grids. The sections were then immunolabelled using JIM5 (Plant Probes, Leeds, UK) as described in <sup>43</sup>. The sections were stained for 2 min in uranyl acetate, washed extensively with deionized water and dried before viewing on a Zeiss Libra 120 TEM. For control experiments, the primary antibody was eliminated from the labelling protocol.

## **Statistical Analysis**

The mechanical measurements of fruit texture and other storage properties were analysed as the dependant variables in linear mixed models, fitted using the Restricted Maximum Liklihood (REML) routines in the Genstat 17 statistical package. The independent variables fitted as fixed effects were genotype and ripening stage and the individual plants and fruits within plants were included as random effects in the model. The covariance model takes into account that measurements on fruits from the same plant were likely to be more highly correlated than those from different plants. It also ensured that the variation between plants of different genotypes was tested against the variation among plants of the same genotype and that the variation among fruits at different stages of ripening and its interaction with genotype was tested against the random variation among fruits of the same genotype. Where pre-planned comparisons between particular groups of genotypes were

of interest this was achieved by including orthogonal contrasts describing these comparisons in the fixed effects to further partition the between genotype variation.

## References

- 25. Ward, T.M. *et al.* Isolation of Plant Growth Substances 4: Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. pp. 135–151. (1978).
- 26. Chapman, N.H. et al. Plant Physiol, 159, 1644-1657 (2012).
- 27. Marín-Rodríguez, M.C. et al. Plant Mol Biol. **51**, 851-857 (2003).
- 28. Collmer, A., Ried, J.L. & Mount, M.S. Meth. Enzymol. 16, 329-335 (1988).
- 29. Andrews, S. FastQC: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc (2010).
- 30. Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. & Salzberg, S.L. TopHat: Bioinformatics 25, 1105-1111 (2009).
- 31. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. *Nature Methods* **9**, 357-359 (2012).
- 32. Risso, D., Schwartz, K., Sherlock, G. & Dudoit S. BMC Bioinformatics 12, 480 (2011).
- 33. Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J. & Smyth, G.K. Bioinformatics 26, 139-140 (2010).
- 34. Young, M.D., Wakefield, M.J., Smyth, G.K. & Oshlack, Genome Biol 11, R14 (2010).
- 35. Halket, J.M., Waterman, D., Przyborowska, A.M., Patel, R.K., Fraser, P.D. & Bramley, P.M. *J Exp Bot.* **56**, 219-243 (2005).
- 36. Fraser, P.D., Elisabete, M., Pinto, S., Holloway, D.E. & Bramley, P.M. *Plant J.* **24**, 551-558 (2000).
- 37. Perez-Fons, L. et al. Scientific Reports 4, Article number: 3859 (2014).
- 38. Bolger, A. et al. Nature Genetics 46, 1034-1038. (2014).

- 39. Buttery, R.G., Teranishi, R. & Ling, L.C. J. Agric. Food Chem. **35**, 540-544 (1987).
- 40. Blumenkrantz, N. & Asboe-Hansen, G. Anal. Biochem. **54**, 484-489 (1973).
- 41. Dam, J. & Schuck, P. Meth. Enzymol. 384, 185-212 (2004).
- 42. Schuck, P. et al. SEDFIT-MSTAR: Analyst 139, 79-92 (2014).
- 43. Domozych, D.S., Serfis, A., Kiemle, S.N. & Gretz, M.R. Protoplasma 230, 99-115 (2007).
- 44. Livak, K.J. & Schmittgen, T.D. *Methods* **25**: 402-8 (2001).
- 45. Nekrasov V., Staskawicz B., Weigel D., Jones J.D. & Kamoun S. Nat Biotechnol 31: 691-693 (2013).